RIVERSIDE COUNTY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT (CAPER)

JULY 1, 2013 - JUNE 30, 2014

I.

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

Executive Summary

This is the Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report for the County of Riverside's Department of Housing and Urban Development CPD-funded programs (CDBG, ESG, and HOME) for the reporting period July 1, 2013, through June 30, 2014.

The 2013-2014 HUD-CPD appropriations were: \$7,562,655 CDBG program, including Lake Elsinore (Metro City- \$382,565); \$1,666,308 HOME program, including the Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDO); and \$472,464 ESG program.

The County expended \$10,367,831 in CPD program funding (including Program Income (PI) during the 2013-2014 program year that included \$6,061,880 in CDBG funds, including Lake Elsinore (Metro City), \$3,496,395 in HOME, and \$809,556 in ESG funds.

Urban County

The County of Riverside is the fourth most populated county in the State, consisting of urbanized neighborhoods, suburban cities, and rural communities. According to the Department of Finance (2013) population estimates, the County has a population of over two million residents, of which, more than one million live in the Urban County area. In fiscal year 2013-2014 the County's Urban County CPD Program consisted of approximately sixty-four (64) unincorporated communities and neighborhoods, fourteen (14) participating cities, and five (5) Supervisorial Districts.

Thirteen (13) cities participate as cooperating cities and one as a Joint/Metropolitan City. The participating cities were: Banning, Beaumont, Blythe, Canyon Lake, Coachella, Desert Hot Springs, Eastvale, Indian Wells, La Quinta, Murrieta, Norco, San Jacinto, and Wildomar. With the exception of Murrieta and Eastvale, these cities do not have sufficient populations to receive Community Planning and Development (CPD) program funds directly from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Consequently they have elected to participate in the CPD programs through the County of Riverside.

The City of Lake Elsinore is considered a CDBG Entitlement City but has elected to participate in the County's Urban County program as a Joint Metro City/Urban County applicant. In FY 2013-2014, the County received CDBG funding in the amount of \$382,565 for the City of Lake Elsinore which was included in the County allocation received from HUD.

The County is committed to the efficient, effective, and established distribution of CDBG, HOME, and ESG funds within each Supervisorial District, Cooperating City, and Metropolitan City to address the priority community development needs.

Evaluation of Past Performance

The County has been successful in implementing its public improvement and community service projects and programs as well as meeting the established objectives and foresees continued progress through the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan and Annual Action Plans. Key data related to each of the Consolidated Plan goals and accomplishments are summarized in the Housing, Homeless, Non-Homeless, and Community Needs Tables. These tables are generated to project and measure outputs and outcomes for CDBG, HOME, and ESG. HUD implemented this ongoing performance process to assess efficiency, cost effectiveness, and the impact of projects and programs in County neighborhoods.

As with most of the priority goals, the needs far outweigh the County's available resources which are not sufficient to address all of the needs of low- and moderate-income persons or special needs residents in the County's CPD program area. However, the County continues to make progress in implementing its public improvement and community service projects and programs as well as meeting the established objectives. As a requirement of HUD, the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) is prepared annually to capture progress toward meeting identified needs and achieving strategies. The County's priority goals and needs are continuously being reassessed through ongoing monitoring, performance measures, operational improvements, resource allocation issues, and comprehensive need assessments.

In accordance with HUD CPD program regulations, Grantees are responsible for managing the day-to-day operations and must monitor grant and sub-grant supported activities to assure compliance with applicable Federal requirements and that performance goals are being achieved. Grantee monitoring must cover each program, function, or activity. Accordingly, the County of Riverside continually monitors all CPD-funded sub-recipients and their activities.

The County also strongly supports and encourages community-wide participation, input, cooperation, and feedback from all residents of the County in the administration of its CPD-funded programs. The County continues its commitment to meeting priority goals outlined in the 2009-2014 Five Year Consolidated Plan. Further, the County plans, designs, and implements new and effective management controls, reporting, program monitoring, performance measures, and policies and procedures, where practical and feasible as part of its ongoing administration of the CPD-funded programs.

General Assessment

The County of Riverside receives an annual allocation of Community Planning and Development (CPD) funding from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to

undertake housing and community development activities. The County is an Urban County under the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) programs and a Participating Jurisdiction under the Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME). The County of Riverside operated these programs in the unincorporated areas of the County and within thirteen (13) cooperating cities and one (1) joint/metropolitan city.

In March of 2009, the County adopted a five-year (2009-2014) Consolidated Plan, a comprehensive planning document, that identifies the County's overall need for affordable and supportive housing as well as economic and community development and establishes objectives to address those needs. The Strategic Plan section of the document outlines a five-year strategy for the use of projected resources to meet identified needs. Each year of the five-year plan, the County adopts a One-Year Action Plan to further implement the goals and objectives of the Consolidated Plan.

This Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report (CAPER) provides a narrative summary of the performance and accomplishments during the 2013-2014 Program Year and was prepared in accordance with applicable HUD requirements. This document represents a comprehensive and consolidated year-end report of the County's use of CDBG, ESG, and HOME Programs.

This performance report will summarize the short-term goals and objectives set forth in the 2013-2014 One Year Action Plan and present a comprehensive analysis of the County's performance and accomplishments relative to those goals and objectives. Furthermore, this report will evaluate the performance and accomplishments of the five year 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan and present a progress report on how effective the County has been in meeting its projected long-range goals and objectives.

Planning and Administration

<u>CDBG</u>

The County expended \$1,342,400 from the CDBG administration allocation for staffing and overall program management, coordination, monitoring, and evaluation for the CDBG program.

The County received \$45,908 (12%) of the City of Lake Elsinore's, metro city, total allocation in FY 2013-2014. A total of \$23,293 was expended for staffing and overall program management, coordination, monitoring, and evaluation of the CDBG program.

The County expended \$139,918 from the CDBG administration allocation to affirmatively further fair housing by providing a fair housing community outreach program to various groups, including, but not limited to, the following: farm workers, low income individual, tenants, homeowners, landlords, realtors, and County officials. Elements of the program will include antidiscrimination, landlord/tenant services, education-outreach activities, training/technical assistance, and enforcement-complaint screening. In summary, the total amount of funds that were expended from the CDBG administration allocation during the 2013-14 FY was \$1,505,611.

<u>ESG</u>

The County expended \$72,935 from the ESG allocation to provide staffing and overall program management, coordination, monitoring, and evaluation for the ESG program.

HOME

The County expended \$148,286 from the HOME allocation to provide staffing and overall program management, coordination, and monitoring/evaluation of the County's HOME program.

II.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Refer to Appendix D, Tables 6/9 (Outcome Performance Measurement Tables) and Table 4 (Priority levels)

Use of CDBG Funds in Relation to Consolidated Plan Priorities, Needs, Goals, and Objectives

The highest priority need categories identified in the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan are:

- Housing Objectives: Improve the conditions of substandard housing for low-income homeowners and low- and moderate-income senior homeowners or disabled persons
- Homeless shelters and programs
- Public Services: senior services, health services, handicapped services, and youth services
- Public Improvements: streets, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, parks/recreational facilities, and Child Care Centers
- Economic Development and Job Creation
- Code Enforcement and Interim Assistance

CDBG, HOME, and ESG funds were provided to support activities in each category. Refer to the section below for the Assessment of Five-Year Goals and Objectives, for specific activities undertaken and accomplishments achieved.

Assessment of Five-Year Goals and Objectives

The 2013-2014 CAPER provides a general assessment of activities undertaken during the year by the County and sub-recipients to address priorities, goals, and objectives identified in the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan. The CAPER further describes actions taken to affirmatively further fair housing, provide affordable housing, address the Continuum of Care, and leverage resources. The remaining sections of the CAPER address specific accomplishments in the CDBG, HOME, and ESG programs during 2013-2014, the **fifth** year of implementing the goals and objectives of the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan.

The County expended \$6,061,880 in CDBG program funding during the 2013-2014 FY. These funds were used to assist in the development of viable urban communities, provide decent housing, to encourage a suitable living environment, and to expand economic opportunities, primarily for persons of low- and moderate - income. The funds were distributed between the five Supervisorial Districts, thirteen (13) cooperating cities, and one (1) metro City using a "fair-share" formula, which is based on a formula that accounts for population levels, overcrowding, and poverty.

The commitment of CDBG funds for housing programs in the County varies year-by-year. Specific programs identified in the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan included the Housing Rehabilitation Program, Enhanced Senior Home Repair, and Fair Housing Administrative Services.

Basis for Allocating Investments and Assigning Priorities

The overall priority is to use these Federal funds to increase self-sufficiency and economic opportunity for lower-income residents and individuals with special needs so that they can achieve a reasonable standard of living. The national objectives and performance outcome measurement system established by HUD are the basis for assigning priorities to needs for which funding may be allocated.

In order for an activity or project to be eligible for funding, it must qualify as meeting one of the three national objectives of the program:

- 1) Principally benefit (at least 51%) low and moderate income persons;
- 2) Aid in the prevention of slums or blight; or
- 3) Meet community development needs having a particular urgency.

The objective and outcome that is to be achieved is included in each of the planned activities and is identified using a numbering system that ties to the <u>Community Planning and</u> <u>Development Performance Measurement System developed by HUD.</u>

The primary objective of the CDBG program is to develop viable urban communities. Based upon this intent, it must be determined which of the following three objectives best describe the purpose of an activity:

- Provide decent housing (DH);
- Provide a suitable living environment (SL); and/or
- Expand economic opportunities, principally for lower income persons (EO).

Each activity must <u>also</u> meet a specific performance outcome that is related to at least one of the following:

- Availability/Accessibility (1);
- Affordability (2); or
- Sustainability Promoting Livable or Viable Communities (3)

	Availability/ Accessibility (1)	Affordability (2)	Sustainability (3)
Decent Housing (DH)	DH -1	DH- 2	DH - 3
Suitable Living Environment (SL)	SL- 1	SL - 2	SL-3
Economic Opportunity (EO)	EO -1	EO - 2	EO-3

HUD Performance Measurement Criteria:

In addition to national objectives and performance measurements, the County must weigh and balance the input from different groups and assign funding priorities that best bridge the gaps in the County's service delivery system. The County received input through outreach efforts helping to prioritize funding for community facilities, community services, homeless facilities and services, housing, economic development, and public improvements. Projects are reviewed and funding allocations are made based upon the above criteria, including the projects ability to reach and serve the areas and persons with the greatest need.

Objective/Outcome	Total 13/14 CDBG Expended	13/14 Number of Completed
Suitable Living Environment - Availability/Accessibility SL-1	\$1,972,469	50 Completed
Suitable Living Environment - Affordability SL-2	\$188,008	13 Completed
Suitable Living Environment – Sustainability SL-3	\$2,234,615	13 Completed
Decent Housing- Availability/Accessibility DH -1	\$148,677	22 Completed
Economic Opportunity – Availability/Accessibility EO -1	\$12,500	1 Completed
TOTAL	\$4,556,269	99

Summary of 2013-14 Specific Annual CDBG-Funded Activities by Objective/Outcome (IDIS PR-03)

Excludes Admin expenditures \$1,505,611

1. Affordable Housing

2009-2014 Consolidated Plan priorities for affordable housing are:

- 1. Provide homeownership opportunities for first-time homebuyers and for the lowand moderate-income community;
- 2. Improve the conditions of substandard housing and substandard existing rental housing affordable to low-income families;
- 3. Address farm worker and migrant farm worker housing needs in western Riverside County and in the Coachella Valley;
- 4. Expand the affordable rental housing stock for low-income and special needs households;
- 5. Provide shelter to the homeless; and
- 6. Stabilize declining neighborhood conditions due to foreclosures.

	2009-2014 Objectives/ FY 2013/2014	
Program	Objectives	FY 2013/2014 Accomplishments
First Time Home Buyer	5-Year: 50	During the FY 2013-2014:
(FTHB) Program	households FY 13/14: 10 households	 The County provided down-payment assistance to 6 low-income households and expended a total of \$180,600 in HOME FTHB funds. 6 low-income households. 1 household purchased new homes and 5 households purchased existing homes. The average assistance per household was \$30,100. The average home purchase price was \$150,500 The average first mortgage loan was \$122,192. 2 of the households used FTHB down-payment assistance to purchase foreclosed homes.
NSP1-1 Acquisition/Rehab/Resale of foreclosed and bank-owned Single-Family properties	5-Year (2009-2014): 150 FY 13/14: 0	 During the FY 2013-2014: A total of 23 foreclosed homes were acquired, rehabilitated and sold. 15 homes were sold to VLI households, and 8 homes were sold to LMMI households. A total of \$1,361,703 was provided for purchase price assistance under this activity (NSP1H)

Priority #1: Provide homeownership opportunities for first-time homebuyers and for the low- and moderate-income community

	E.V. 100	
NSP3-1 Acquisition/Rehab/Resale of	5-Year: 190 households	During the FY 2013-2014: • A total of 7 foreclosed homes were acquired,
foreclosed and bank-owned	nouscrioius	rehabilitated and sold. 3 homes were sold to VLI
Single-Family properties	FY 12/13: 0	households and 4 were sold to LMMI households.
single runny properties	households	• A total of \$437,640 was provided for purchase
	nouscholus	price assistance under this activity (NSP3H).
		price assistance under this activity (NSI 517).
	5-Year: 190	During the FY 2013/2014:
NSP-3 and NSHP	households	• Due to the difficulty of locating foreclosed
Neighborhood Stabilization		properties and recent market conditions, the
Homeownership Program	FY 13/14: 0	County is not capitalizing this program further.
(formerly Enhanced FTHB)	households	
(
Redevelopment	5-Year: 31	During the FY 2013-2014:
Homeownership Program	households	• The Redevelopment Homeownership Program
(RHP)		(RHP) was administered by the Redevelopment
	FY 13/14: 1	Agency for the County of Riverside (RDA). On
	household	June 29, 2011 Governor Brown signed into law
		Assembly Bill ABx1 26, proposing the elimination
		of all RDAs in the state of California. The
		California Supreme Court upheld the legality of
		ABx1 26, thereby eliminating Redevelopment
		Agencies throughout the state of California,
		including the RDA for the County of Riverside.
		Subsequently, the RHP program has been
		effectively eliminated and discontinued.
American Dream Down-	5-Year: 6 households	During the FY 2013-2014:
payment Initiative (ADDI)		The County did not receive ADDI funding
Program	FY 13/14: 0	and therefore no closing cost and/or
	households	down-payment assistance was provided to
		any households during the reporting
		period.
Individual Development	5-Year: 15	During the FY 2013-2014:
Account (IDA)	households	The County completed 0 CDBG funded
Matrix code- 13	Accomp units revised	IDA activity. There were \$0 funds
	due to 2 Canceled IDA	expended in FY 2013/14.
	Activities (2008-2009)	
	FY 13/14:	
	0 Households	

Mortgage Credit Certificate	5-Year: 100	During the FY 2013-2014:
(MCC)	5-Year: 100 households FY 13/14: 20 households	 A total of 17 MCC's were issued for a total use of \$635,872 in tax credit; There were no extremely low-income households that were assisted, 3 very low-income households were assisted, 9 low-income households were assisted and 5 moderate-income households
		 were assisted. The average MCC assistance per homebuyer was \$37,404. The average home purchase price was \$208,165. The average first mortgage loan amount was \$209,403.
Home Investment Partnerships Act (HOME) Program – Self-Help Housing Projects	5-Year: 25 households FY 13/14: 5 households	 During the FY 2013-2014: Construction is underway for 9 of the 11 single-family mutual self-help homes. Construction will begin on the 2 remaining homes once families are identified and approved. Project is located in the City of Desert Hot Springs (<i>Sunset Springs Self-Help Project</i>). Construction has been completed on 6 of the 11 single-family mutual self-help homes. Construction will begin on the 5 remaining homes once all 5 families are identified and approved. Project is located in the unincorporated community of North Shore (<i>North Shore Groups 5 & 6</i>).
Redevelopment Agency for the County of Riverside (RDA) Infill Housing Program	5-Year: 4 households FY 13/14: 0 household	 During the FY 2013-2014: The Housing Authority of the County of Riverside, in its capacity as housing successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency for the County of Riverside will be managing this program until projects are completed. Construction and sale completed on a single- family home located in the City of Jurupa Valley (Molino Way Infill Housing Project). The sale of the home was restricted to first time homebuyers and low- to moderate-income households for a period of 45 years. Construction in progress for 2 single-family home located in the City of Jurupa Valley (Mira Loma Infill Housing Project). Completion expected in July 2014 and the properties will be marketed for sale to a qualified first-time homebuyers. The sale of these homes will be restricted to low- to moderate- income households for a period of 45 years.

Redevelopment Agency for	5-Year: 20	During the FY 2013-2014:
the County of Riverside	households	• (RDA-1) Acquisition, rehabilitation, and resale of
(RDA)		single-family foreclosed homes in the former
Low and Moderate Income	FY 13/14: 5	Jurupa Valley Project Area and unincorporated
Housing Set-Aside Fund –	households	areas of Riverside County. There are no projects to
Single-family Housing		report for this reporting period. No new funding
Projects		as of February 1, 2012, due to the dissolution of
-		redevelopment.

First-Time Home Buyer (FTHB) Program: The FTHB Program provides HOME funds for downpayment assistance to low- and very low-income households that have not owned homes within a three-year period. The program is available for households with an annual income that is no greater than 80% of the area median income as published by HUD. The FTHB Program provides up to 20% of the purchase price with a 15-year affordability period as a "silent second" loan. A variety of outreach was done to inform the public and potential homebuyers of the homebuyer assistance program. Brochures were distributed to businesses, and other public areas. Community organizations, realtor associations, employment centers, fair housing groups and housing counseling agencies were also informed.

For FY 2013-2014, the FTHB Program assisted a total of 6 first-time homebuyer households and expended a total of \$180,600 in FTHB HOME funds. All households were low-income. One household purchased a new home and the other 5 households purchased existing homes. The average assistance per household was \$30,100. The average home purchase price was \$150,500. The average first mortgage loan was \$122,192. Two of the households used FTHB down-payment assistance to purchase foreclosed homes. None of the households used FTHB down-payment assistance and ADDI for closing cost towards the purchase homes. FTHB projects were located throughout the County. Two households purchased a home in Eastern Riverside County and 4 households purchased homes in Western Riverside County. Three homes were in the 3rd Supervisorial District, 2 homes were in the 4th Supervisorial District, and 1 home was in the 5th Supervisorial District.

Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP): On July 28, 2008, the United States Congress passed the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (HERA) which included the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) to address the subprime mortgage crisis by stabilizing neighborhoods in areas of greatest need and stemming the decline of house values of neighboring homes. This first round of NSP (NSP1) provided \$3.92 billion on a formula basis to 307 state and local governments. On February 25, 2009, the County of Riverside was allocated \$48,567,786. In addition, Congress also passed the Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act) on July 15, 2010 which included appropriations for a third round of NSP (NSP3) to continue activities to stabilize neighborhoods damaged by the economic effects of foreclosed properties. On February 28, 2011, the County of Riverside was allocated an additional \$14,272,400 in NSP3 funds.

In partnership with public agencies, non-profit and for-profit affordable housing developers, the County of Riverside successfully obligated and expended NSP funds to acquire and rehabilitate foreclosed homes for sale to qualified first-time homebuyers. Subsequent to the sale of each rehabilitated home, proceeds from the sale minus transaction costs, fees, and purchase price assistance for the first-time homebuyer were returned to the County as program income to further NSP activities.

As of June 30, 2014, since commencement of the County's NSP1 program, a total of 249 foreclosed single-family homes were acquired. Of that, 244 homes underwent rehabilitation and were sold to very low-income (VLI) households and low-, moderate- and middle-income (LMMI) households. As of June 30, 2014, the NSP1 budget was \$86,615,154 which included the original grant of \$48,567,786 plus \$38,047,368 in program income. During this reporting period, approximately \$5,112,050 in NSP1 funds was expended for acquisition, rehabilitation and resale of 23 foreclosed homes to VLI and LMMI households (15 VLI and 8 LMMI). A total of \$1,361,703 in purchase price assistance was provided to qualified first-time homebuyers from the proceeds of the sale of each home.

Under NSP3, the total budget was \$23,811,571 which included the original grant of \$14,272,400 plus \$9,539,171 in program income. During this reporting period, approximately \$1,880,895 in NSP3 funds was expended for acquisition, rehabilitation and resale of 7 foreclosed homes to VLI and LMMI households (3 VLI and 4 LMMI). A total of \$437,640 in purchase price assistance was provided to qualified first-time homebuyers from the proceeds of the sale of each home.

NSP-3, **Neighborhood Stabilization Homeownership Program (NSHP):** NSHP provides NSP funds in the form of purchase price assistance with optional rehabilitation and repair assistance to low-, moderate-, and middle-income ("LMMI") first-time homebuyer households to purchase bank-owned, foreclosed properties within specific NSP target areas in Riverside County. NSHP is available for households with an annual income that is no greater than 120% of the area median income as published by HUD and must not have owned homes within the past three-year period. NSHP provides 20% of the purchase price with a 15-year affordability period as a "silent second" loan with an optional "silent third" loan with the same terms for minor rehabilitation and repair for a total not to exceed \$75,000. Due to the difficulty of locating foreclosed properties and recent market conditions, the County is not capitalizing this program further.

Redevelopment Homeownership Program (RHP): RHP provided RDA funds for downpayment assistance to low- and moderate-income households that have not owned homes within a three year period. On June 29, 2011 Governor Brown signed into law Assembly Bill ABx1 26, proposing the elimination of all RDAs in the state of California. The California Supreme Court upheld the legality of ABx1 26, thereby eliminating Redevelopment Agencies throughout the state of California, including the RDA for the County of Riverside. Subsequently, the RHP program was effectively eliminated, only to wind down existing enforceable obligations and discontinued.

Mortgage Credit Certificate (MCC): MCC was authorized by Congress in the 1984 Tax Reform Act as a means of providing housing assistance to families of low- and moderate-income. MCC offers qualified applicants with incomes up to 115% of the State median income to take an annual credit against their federal income taxes of up to 15% of the annual interest paid on the applicant's primary mortgage. Through the tax credit, the homeowner's federal income taxes are reduced by the amount of the credit therefore increasing their disposable income and allowing the homeowner's to afford higher housing costs given their income. For a homeowner with a MCC, this benefit of 15% of the annual mortgage interest will be a direct federal tax credit, resulting in a dollar-for-dollar reduction of the annual federal income tax liability. The remaining 85% of the annual mortgage interest will continue to qualify as an itemized tax deduction.

On December 3, 2013, the Board of Supervisors approved the proposed increase in the Mortgage Credit Certificate program tax credit rate from 15% to 20% and on December 10, 2014, the tax credit rate increase was approved by the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee.

For FY 2013-2014, a total of 17 MCC's were issued for a total tax credit of \$365,872. The average home purchase price was \$208,165. Three very low-income households, 9 low-income households, and 5 moderate-income households were assisted. The average MCC assistance per homebuyer was \$37,404. Five homebuyers were assisted in the 1st Supervisorial District; 1 homebuyer was assisted in the 2nd Supervisorial District; 5 homebuyers were assisted in the 3rd Supervisorial District; 1 homebuyers were assisted in the 5th Supervisorial District.

Home Investment Partnerships (HOME) Program: HOME is the largest Federal block grant to State and local governments designed exclusively to create affordable housing for low-income households. The County of Riverside has been an active Participating Jurisdiction (PJ) since the inception of the HOME Program in 1992. The overall goals of the HOME program are: (1) To provide decent affordable housing to lower-income households; (2) Expand the capacity of nonprofit housing providers; (3) Strengthen the ability of state and local governments to provide housing; and (4) Leverage private-sector participation.

HOME Program – Self-Help Housing Projects: Self-Help homes are built through a partnership with a non-profit affordable housing developer, a Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO), and the CHDO's mutual self-help construction program. The mutual self-help construction method enables groups of qualified low-income families to become first-time homeowners by requiring each family to contribute in the building of each

other's homes under the skilled supervision of the developer. Families working together earn "sweat-equity" towards the down-payment of their homes.

The following projects are currently underway:

- **1.** Sunset Springs Self-Help Project, Desert Hot Springs: In June 2011 the County committed \$152,000 in HOME funds to The Coachella Valley Housing Coalition (CVHC), a certified Community Housing Development Organization, for the development, construction and mortgage assistance for 11 single family homes. The homes will be built through the CVHC's mutual self-help construction program which enables qualified very low and low income families to become first time homeowners by earning "sweat-equity" towards the down payment of their home. The project will consist of 9 four-bedroom and 2 three-bedroom single-story homes. Other funding sources include a \$1,097,400 loan from the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Section 502 Program, a \$203,500 grant from the Affordable Housing Program, a subsidy of \$249,600 from CVHC, and \$18,500 in buyer sweat equity. All 11 homes will be designated as HOME units for households whose incomes do not exceed 80% of the Area Median Income for a period of at least 15 years. Construction is underway on 9 of the 11 homes. The remaining two families have been identified and waiting to be approved for an USDA loan; construction for these two homes is expected to start by November 2014.
- 2. North Shore Groups 5 & 6, North Shore: In June 2011, the County committed \$600,000 in HOME funds to The Coachella Valley Housing Coalition (CVHC), a certified Community Housing Development Organization, for the development and new construction of 11 single family homes. The homes will be built through CVHC's mutual self-help construction program which enables gualified low income families to become first time homebuyers by earning "sweat equity" towards the down payment of their home. The project will consist of 11 four-bedroom and two-bathroom single story homes. Other funding sources include a \$784,000 loan from the U.S. Department of Agriculture Section 502 Program, an \$82,000 grant from the Affordable Housing Program, a \$74,000 loan from the Joe Serna, Jr. Farmworker Housing Grant Program, and \$5,500 in buyer sweat-equity. The total development costs are estimated to be \$1,545,500. All 11 homes will be designated as HOME units for households whose incomes do not exceed 50% of the Area Median Income for a period of at least 15 years. Construction is underway on 6 of the 11 homes. The five remaining families have been identified and are waiting to be approved for an USDA loan; construction for these homes is expected to start by September 2014.

RDA Infill Housing Program

Redevelopment Agency for the County of Riverside ("RDA") Infill Housing Program: The Infill Housing Program was designed to promote the development of affordable single-family housing on previously vacant or blighted lots. On June 29, 2011 Governor Brown signed into law

Assembly Bill ABx1 26, proposing the elimination of all RDAs in the state of California. The California Supreme Court upheld the legality of ABx1 26, thereby eliminating Redevelopment Agencies throughout the state of California, including the RDA for the County of Riverside. Subsequently, the RDA Infill Housing program was effectively eliminated, only to wind down existing enforceable obligations and discontinued.

Pursuant to Assembly Bill x1 26, the redevelopment dissolution bill, the Riverside County Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 2012-035 on January 10, 2012, which designated the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside as the successor agency for the redevelopment housing function. On the same date, the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside Board of Commissioners accepted, via adoption of Resolution No. 2012-001, the responsibility for performing all activities as the successor to the redevelopment housing function. On February 1, 2012, all California redevelopment agencies were eliminated and the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside assumed the redevelopment housing functions of the successor agency.

The following projects have been completed:

1. <u>Molino Way Infill Project, Jurupa Valley:</u> In May 2011, the RDA approved a DDA with HACR to transfer land owned by the RDA and provide assistance in the amount of \$173,000 for the development and construction of a single-family home in the City of Jurupa Valley. The total construction cost was approximately \$332,557. The home has been completed and sold; the home will be restricted to low and moderate-income households who are first-time homebuyers with a 45 years affordability restriction.

The following projects are currently underway:

2. <u>Mira Loma Infill Housing Project, Jurupa Valley:</u> In June 2006, the former RDA approved a DDA with the HACR including a grant for \$350,000 in RDA Housing Set-Aside funds for the development of 2 new single-family homes on vacant land located between Bellegrave Avenue and 48th Street in the City of Jurupa Valley. The homes will be restricted to low-income first-time homebuyer households with an affordability restriction for a period of at least 45 years. Construction of the project is in progress, completion is expected by July 2014.

RDA Low and Moderate Housing Fund – Single Family Housing Projects

RDA Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund (RDA Housing Set-Aside Fund): On June 29, 2011 Governor Brown signed into law Assembly Bill ABx1 26, proposing the elimination of all RDAs in the state of California. The California Supreme Court upheld the legality of ABx1 26, thereby eliminating Redevelopment Agencies throughout the state of California, including the

RDA for the County of Riverside. Subsequently, RDA Housing Set-Aside Funds were effectively eliminated, only to wind down existing enforceable obligations and discontinued.

Pursuant to Assembly Bill x1 26, the redevelopment dissolution bill, the Riverside County Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 2012-035 on January 10, 2012, which designated the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside as the successor agency for the redevelopment housing function. On the same date, the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside Board of Commissioners accepted, via adoption of Resolution No. 2012-001, the responsibility for performing all activities as the successor to the redevelopment housing function. On February 1, 2012, all California redevelopment agencies were eliminated and the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside assumed the redevelopment housing functions of the successor agency.

The following project was completed:

Nothing to report for this reporting period.

The following projects are currently underway:

3. RDA Single-Family Acquisition, Rehabilitation or New Construction, Jurupa Valley: In May 2011, the RDA identified a need in the county to stabilize neighborhoods whose viability has been damaged by the economic effects of properties that have been foreclosed upon, abandoned or subjected to blighted conditions. The RDA entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with a non-profit affordable housing developer to provide a total grant of \$1,500,000, not to exceed \$500,000 annually, to acquire foreclosed, abandoned or blighted single-family homes or vacant residential lots within the RDA's Jurupa Valley Project Area with the objective to rehabilitate or construct new homes. All homes will be sold to very low-income, first-time homebuyer households earning less than 50% of the county area median income with the commitment to occupy the homes as their principal residence for a minimum period of 45 years. To date, five properties have been acquired and completed rehabilitation; four of the five homes have been sold to first time homebuyers; the remaining home is in escrow and scheduled to close by August 2014.

	2009/2014	
	Objectives/	
Program	FY 2013/2014 Objectives	FY 2013/2014 Accomplishments
Home Rehabilitation Program (HRP)/	5-Year: 300	During the FY 2013-2014:
Home Rehabilitation Loan Program	5 1641. 500	 A total of 6 HRLP projects were completed.
(HRLP)	FY 13/14: 5	 6 completed HRLP project used CDBG funds with a
× ′	-,	total expenditure of \$54,959.
		• The average assistance per unit was \$9,160.
		• 1 was extremely low-income, and 5 low-income
		households. All HRLP-assisted units are restricted for
		a period of 10 years.
Senior Home Repair Grant (SHRG)	5-Year: 195	During the FY 2013-2014:
Program		• 14 Senior Home Repair Grant Projects (SHRG) were
	FY 13/14: 15	completed.
		• 14 completed SHRG projects used CDBG funding with
		a total expenditure of \$71,975.
		 The total expended CDBG for completed and underway SHRG projects in FY 2013-2014 is
		\$71,975.54.
		 Of the 14 completed projects, 2 of the homeowners
		assisted were extremely low-income and 12 were very
		low income.
Enhanced Home Repair (EHR) Program	5-Year: 4	During the FY 2013/2014:
		No EHR projects were completed during the FY.
	FY 13/14: 1	
NSP1-1 Acquisition/Rehab/Resale of	5-Year (2009-	During the FY 2013-2014:
foreclosed and bank-owned Single-	2014) (Priority	• A total of 23 foreclosed homes were acquired,
Family properties	#6): 150	rehabilitated and sold. 15 homes were sold to VLI
	FY 13/14: 0	households and 8 were sold to LMMI households.Approximately \$5,112,050 in NSP1 funds was
	11 13/14.0	expended for acquisition, rehabilitation and resale.
NSP3-1	5-Year	During the FY 2013-2014:
Acquisition/Rehab/Resale of foreclosed	(Priority	• A total of 7 foreclosed homes were acquired,
and bank-owned Single-Family	#6): 190	rehabilitated and sold. 3 homes were sold to VLI
properties		households and 4 were sold to LMMI households.
	FY 13/14: 0	Approximately \$1,880,895 in NSP3 funds was
		expended for acquisition, rehabilitation and resale.

Priority #2: Improve the conditions of substandard housing and substandard existing rental housing affordable to low-income families

Redevelopment Agency for the County of Riverside (RDA) Low and Moderate	5-Year: 15	During the FY 2013-2014: • Construction of the 16 unit supportive multifamily
Income Housing Set-Aside Fund – Rehabilitation Projects	FY 13/14: 0	housing is completed. The project is located in the community of Thousand Palms (Operation Safehouse). Eight units are restricted to households whose incomes do not exceed 50% of the area median income.

Home Rehabilitation Program (HRP): HRP provides one-time grants of up to \$20,000 to qualified low-income homeowners to repair or improve the quality of their homes. The grant allows homeowners to address both interior and exterior health and safety issues, housing quality standards (HQS), handicapped accessibility improvements, and enhance the exterior appearance of their properties.

Home Rehabilitation Loan Program (HRLP): In order to assist more homeowners and to better secure affordability restrictions, HRP was modified into the **Home Rehabilitation Loan Program (HRLP)** to provide one-time assistance of up to \$10,000 to qualified low income homeowners to repair or improve their homes. HRLP allows homeowners to address both interior and exterior health and safety issues, housing quality standards (HQS), handicapped accessibility improvements, and addresses concerns with major housing systems. HRLP assistance is provided in the form of a silent mortgage loan at 0% interest secured by a Deed of Trust and Covenant Agreement with a 10 year affordability period. The loan is forgiven at the end of the affordability period. Eligible properties must be owner occupied and built in 1978 or after.

During FY 2013-2014, a total of 6 HRLP projects were completed using CDBG funds. The total expenditure for the 6 completed HRLP projects was \$54,959. The average assistance per unit was \$9,160.

A total of 1 household assisted was extremely low-income, and 5 households were low-income. All HRLP-assisted units are restricted for a period of 10 years.

This program has been eliminated because all the funding for this program has been exhausted.

Senior Home Repair Grant (SHRG) Program: SHRG provides one-time grants of up to \$6,000 to qualified extremely low-income and very low-income senior homeowners (62 years or older) or extremely low-income and very low-income disabled persons of any age to repair or improve their homes. The grant requires that the repairs address health and safety issues and handicapped accessibility improvements.

During the FY 2013-2014, a total of 14 SHRG projects were completed. All 14 SHRG projects were completed using CDBG funding in the amount of \$71,975. Of the 14 completed projects, 2 of the homeowners assisted were extremely low-income and 12 were very low-income. This program has been eliminated because all the funding for this program has been exhausted.

Enhanced Home Repair (EHR) Program: The EHR Program provides one-time grants up to \$6,000 to extremely low-income and very low-income households for home repair or enhancements to address health and safety issues. The program is available to all homeowners regardless of age and it does not require a specific disability. During the FY 2013-2014, 0 EHR project were completed.

This program has been eliminated because all the funding for this program has been exhausted.

Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP): During this reporting period, approximately \$5,112,050 in NSP1 funds was expended for acquisition, rehabilitation and resale of 23 foreclosed homes to VLI and LMMI households (15 VLI and 8 LMMI). A total of \$1,361,703 in purchase price assistance was provided to qualified first-time homebuyers from the proceeds of the sale of each home. Under NSP3, the total budget was \$23,811,571 which included the original grant of \$14,272,400 plus \$9,539,171 in program income. During this reporting period, approximately \$1,880,895 in NSP3 funds was expended for acquisition, rehabilitation and resale of 7 foreclosed homes to VLI and LMMI households (3 VLI and 4 LMMI). A total of \$437,640 in purchase price assistance was provided to qualified first-time homebuyers from the proceeds of the sale of each homes to VLI and LMMI households (3 VLI and 4 LMMI). A total of \$437,640 in purchase price assistance was provided to qualified first-time homebuyers from the proceeds of the sale of each home.

The following activities were completed during the reporting period:

Completed projects for all NSP activities are reported under Priority # 6. **RDA Low and Moderate Housing Fund – Rehabilitation Projects:**

On June 29, 2011 Governor Brown signed into law Assembly Bill ABx1 26, proposing the elimination of all RDAs in the state of California. The California Supreme Court upheld the legality of ABx1 26, thereby eliminating Redevelopment Agencies throughout the state of California, including the RDA for the County of Riverside. Subsequently, RDA Low and Moderate Housing Funds were effectively eliminated, only to wind down existing enforceable obligations and discontinued.

The following projects have been completed:

1. <u>Operation Safehouse, Thousand Palms</u>: On March 23, 2010, the RDA conveyed a .41 acre lot to Operation Safehouse and approved a loan in the amount of \$1,100,000 for the development of a 16 unit affordable rental housing complex for kids that age out of foster care. Other funding sources include a loan from Department Of Housing & Community Development Emergency Housing & Assistance Program Capital Development (EHAP CD) for \$1,000,000, a loan from HUD Homeless Continuum of Care funds for \$ 354,937, deferred developer fee of \$52,279, a loan from the Amenar LLC for \$500,000, and a loan from Department of Housing & Community Development Multifamily Housing Program-Supportive Housing \$2,083,862. The total cost of development is estimated to be \$5,091,078. A total of 8 units will be reserved for extremely low-income households for an affordability period of at least 55 years. Construction was completed July 2013 and the project has been closed out.

The following projects are currently underway:

2. Orange Blossom Apartments, Valle Vista: In March, 2013 the County committed \$1,320,000 in HOME funds for the acquisition and rehabilitation of three-fourplex properties, located in the unincorporated community of Valle Vista. The project will consist of 12 two-bedroom units for rent to qualified low-income families. Other funding sources include a conventional loan in the amount of \$542,500. The total project cost is estimated to be \$1,862,500. A total of 11 units will be designated as HOME-assisted units limited to households whose incomes do not exceed 80% of the area median income for the County of Riverside for a period of at least 55 years. Construction was completed and the project is anticipated to be closed out in September 2014.

3. Orange Blossom II Apartments, Valle Vista: In June, 2013 the County committed \$474,000 in HOME funds for a second phase project to acquire and rehabilitate one additional property consisting of 3 units located at 41945 Orange Blossom Lane. All units will be designated as HOME-assisted units pursuant to HOME regulations limited to households whose incomes do not exceed 80% of the area median income for the County of Riverside with respect to household size. The HOME-assisted units will be restricted for a period of at least 55 years from the recorded Notice of Completion. The total development cost for the project is estimated at \$594,000 which includes a \$120,000 conventional loan to be secured as lien against the property. The property is in escrow and pursuant to the HOME agreement, the acquisition must be completed by September 30, 2014.

4. Middleton Crossings, Thermal: The Housing Authority of the County of Riverside, in its capacity as housing successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency for the County of Riverside (RDA) will be managing this project until it is completed. The RDA acquired the property located in the unincorporated area of the Eastern Coachella Valley in an effort provide much needed affordable housing to the community of Thermal and outlying areas. The 23.87 acre property was purchased in December 2006 for \$4,393,000. In addition, the acquisition of this property allowed for the demolition of ten substandard and dilapidated housing structures located at the property. Acquisition and relocation of the residents were the first steps toward overall improvements that will benefit the entire community. A request for qualifications is underway for the selection of a qualified developer to develop this property. The developer selected will be responsible for entitling the proposed development and obtaining financing.

Priority #3: Address Farm Worker and Migrant Farm Worker Housing Needs in
Western Riverside County and in the Coachella Valley.

Program	2009-2014 Objectives/ FY 2013/14 Objectives	FY 2013-2014 Accomplishments
Mobile Home Tenant Loan (MHTL) Assistance Program	5-Year: 50 FY 13/14: 10	 During the FY 2013-2014: There are no projects to report for this reporting period. No new funding as of February 1, 2012, due to the dissolution of redevelopment.
Fee Land Mobile Home Loan (FLMHL) Program	5-Year: 50 FY 13/14: 10	During the FY 2013-2014: • No activity to report for this reporting period.
HOME Program – Farm Worker Housing Projects	5-Year: 50 FY 13/14: 10	 During the FY 2013-2014: Construction is complete for an 85-unit farm worker housing complex located in the City of Indio (<i>Vista Hermosa Apartments</i> (<i>aka. Fred Young Farmworker Apartments</i>)). 41 units are designated as HOME restricted uses, limited to households whose incomes do not exceed 50% of the area median income. 9 of the 41 HOME units are restricted to households whose incomes do not exceed 30% of the area median income. Construction is complete for a 50-unit farmworker multi-family affordable housing complex in the City of Coachella (<i>Pueblo Nuevo Apartments</i>). 11 HOME units are set aside for extremely low-income households. Development of an 84 space (plus 1 managers unit) mobile home park located in the unincorporated community of Mecca (<i>Los Vinedos Mobile Home Park</i>). The project is a 2 phase project and the first phase consists of 41 units. Anticipated start of construction is scheduled for January 2015.
Redevelopment Agency for the County of Riverside (RDA) Low and Moderate Income Housing Set-Aside Fund – Farm Worker Housing Projects	5-Year: 40 FY 13/14: 10	 During the FY 2013-2014: A request for qualifications is underway for the selection of a qualified developer to develop the site known as Middleton Crossings, located in the community of Thermal. The developer selected will be responsible for entitling the proposed development, obtaining financing, and building the project. Acquisition was completed in an effort to rehabilitate the property, which is located in the unincorporated community of Thermal (<i>Hernandez Mobile Home Park</i>). Rehabilitation is underway and work is expected to be completed by December 2014.

Mobile Home Tenant Loan (MHTL) Program: MHTL was established to improve substandard living conditions of mobile home owners living in un-permitted mobile home parks. This program provides financial assistance to mobile home owners by providing a zero percent (0%) interest loan in an amount up to \$45,000. The funds from the loan are used to purchase a unit that will replace the existing substandard unit and will be installed in a permitted site.

This program has been eliminated as a direct result of the elimination of the RDA.

Fee Land Mobile Home Loan (FLMHL) Program: FLMHL was established to improve substandard living conditions of mobile home owners living in un-permitted mobile home parks within the Torres Martinez Desert Cahuilla Indians (TM) tribal boundaries. The FLMHL program is modeled after the existing Mobile Home Tenant Loan Program and provides a loan in an amount up to \$60,000.

For FY 2013-2014, there were no projects funded.

This program has been eliminated because all the funding for this program has been exhausted.

<u>CAIHome Mobile Home Replacement Program</u>: The California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) awarded \$1,500,000 to the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside so that it can continue to offer a mobile home replacement program, similar to the MHTL and FLMHL Programs that the former RDA offered. Funding for the MHTL Program is no longer available as a result of the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency and funding for the FLMHL Program has been exhausted.

This program is modeled after the MHTL and FLMHL Programs; the Housing Authority will provide homeownership opportunities to eligible households by replacing their substandard mobile homes with new mobile homes. This program will be made available to very low income households located within Community Revitalization census tracts in the Eastern Coachella Valley in Riverside County.

HOME Program – Farm Worker Housing Projects

The following project is underway:

1. Los Vinedos, Mecca: On June 17, 2014, the County committed \$1,000,000 in HOME funds for the construction of a 41-unit farmworker mobile home park. The project consists of 41 three and four bedroom units. One unit will be set aside as a manager's unit. Project amenities include a 1,500 square foot community center, computer lab and open space. Project services will include tutoring, nutrition programs, English as a Second Language and after-school programs. Other funding sources include a \$2,300,000 loan from the United States Department of Agriculture Farm Labor Housing Program Section 514, a \$700,000 grant from the United States Department of Agriculture Farm Labor Housing Program Section 516, a \$900,000 loan from the United States Department of Agriculture Rural Utilities Grant, a \$1,000,000 loan from the Rural Community Assistance Corporation Agriculture Worker Health and Housing Program (RCAC), a \$990,000 loan from the Rural Community Assistance Corporation, a \$410,000 deferred loan from the Federal Home Loan Affordable Housing Program. The total project cost is

estimated to be \$7,691,100. 11 units will be designated as HOME assisted units for households whose incomes do not exceed 30% of the Area Median Income for a period of at least 55 years. The first phase of this mobile home park is anticipated to commence in January 2015 and be completed in October 2015.

The following projects have been completed:

2. Vista Hermosa Apartments, Indio (aka. Fred Young Farmworker Apartments): On June 2011, the County provided \$1,000,000 in HOME funds to a non-profit affordable housing developer for the Phase I development of an 85 rental unit (including 1 on-site manager unit) farm worker housing complex for families in the City of Indio. The Phase I portion of the development is approximately 7.52 acres of vacant land adjacent to the existing Fred Young Farm Labor Center (apartments), which currently houses farm worker tenants. The current tenants of the Fred Young Farm Labor Center were relocated to the new development. The project consists of 12 one-bedroom units, 33 two-bedroom units, 28 three-bedroom units, and 11 four-bedroom units. The project also consists of various amenities that include a community room, pool, laundry facilities, picnic areas, basketball courts, and community/vegetable gardens. Other funding sources include a \$1,000,000 loan from the State of California Housing and Community Development Department Joe Serna Jr. Farmworker Housing Grant Program (JSJFHGP), a \$3,359,952 loan from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Rural Development, a \$5,321,531 loan from the State of California Housing and Community Development Department Multi-Family Housing Program, an \$840,000 loan from the Affordable Housing Program, Developer Equity of \$1,100,000, and the balance of \$9,781,355 from tax credit proceeds. The total development cost was \$22,402,838. A total of 41 units were designated as HOME-assisted units limited to households whose incomes do not exceed 50% of the area median family income; 9 of the 41 HOME-assisted units are limited to households whose incomes do not exceed 30% of the area median family income. The HOME-assisted units will be restricted for a period of at least 55 years from the issuance of Certificate of Occupancy. Construction was completed in February 2014 and the project was closed out this FY.

3. Pueblo Nuevo Apartments, Coachella: On July 2012, the County committed \$500,000 in HOME funds for the rehabilitation of a 50-unit farmworker multi-family affordable housing complex. The project consists of 10 two-bedroom units, 20 three-bedroom units and 20 four-bedroom units. 1 three-bedroom unit will be set aside as the manager's unit. Project amenities include an 836 square foot community room, laundry facility, basketball court, four outdoor barbeque grills, playground area and a tot lot. The units are equipped with double-pane low-E windows, blinds, air conditioning, heating systems, water heaters, new kitchen cabinets and counter tops, flooring, roofing, light fixtures, plumbing fixtures and solar tubes. The units are also equipped with energy star rated appliances to include refrigerators, stoves and ovens. Existing asphalt and concrete within the complex was replaced as well as all carports. Other funding sources include a \$1,000,000 loan from the California Community Reinvestment Corporation, \$324,058 in a seller take back loan, \$244,000 in general partner equity, \$39,648 in

deferred developer fee, \$325,942 from the U.S. Department of Agriculture and \$2,537,132 from California tax credit proceeds. The total project cost was \$4,970,780. 11 units are designated as HOME assisted units to households whose incomes do not exceed 30% of the Riverside County Area Median Income for a period of at least 55 years. Construction was completed in March, 2014 and the project was closed out this FY.

RDA Low and Moderate Housing Fund – Farm Worker Housing Projects

The following projects have been completed:

4. Mountain View Estates, Oasis: In April 2008, the RDA approved a Grant Agreement for the construction of a 398 space mobile home park. Mountain View Estates is situated on approximately 50 acres located south of 66th Avenue, north of 70th Avenue, west of Polk Street and on the east side of Harrison Street in the unincorporated community of Oasis. The first phase consists of 180 spaces plus one manager's unit and a community center of approximately 2,200 sq. ft., the second phase is to be constructed at a future date, not yet determined. The project utilized \$6,429,149 in RDA Set Aside Funds, a grant from the United States Department of Agriculture Rural Business Enterprise Grant in the amount of \$675,000 and United States Department of Agriculture Water and Waste Disposal Loan and Grant funds in the amount of \$6,600,000. A minimum of 90 mobile home park spaces in the development are set aside for very low-income households for a period of at least fifty-five years. The first phase of this mobile home park was completed in December 2012 and the project was closed out June 30, 2014.

The following projects are currently underway:

5. Middleton Crossings, Thermal: The Housing Authority of the County of Riverside, in its capacity as housing successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency for the County of Riverside (RDA) will be managing this project until it is completed. The RDA acquired the property located in the unincorporated area of the Eastern Coachella Valley in an effort provide much needed affordable housing to the community of Thermal and outlying areas. The 23.87 acre property was purchased in December 2006 for \$4,393,000. In addition, the acquisition of this property allowed for the demolition of ten substandard and dilapidated housing structures located at the property. Acquisition and relocation of the residents were the first steps toward overall improvements that will benefit the entire community. A request for qualifications is underway for the selection of a qualified developer to develop this property. The developer selected will be responsible for entitling the proposed development and obtaining sufficient financing to develop the project.

<u>6. Hernandez Mobile Home Park, Thermal</u>: The RDA acquired the property located in the unincorporated area of the Eastern Coachella Valley in an effort to rehabilitate the property and provide much needed affordable housing to the community of Thermal and outlying areas. This property is approximately 1.95 acres. Upon the dissolution of the RDA the property was

transferred to the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside (HACR), which it now manages. The HACR is currently rehabilitating the property, and all the work is expected to be completed by December 2014. It is not necessary to relocate the families while the park is being rehabilitated; all the tenants are currently living at the park while work is being performed.

Priority #4: Expand the Affordable Rental Housing Stock for Low Income and
Special Needs Households

2009-2014				
Objectives/ FY 2013/14 Program Objectives FY 2013/2014 Ac	complishments			
HOME Program – 5-Year: 200 During the FY 2013-2014:				
Affordable Multi- 1. Construction is completed	for an 85-unit farm worker			
	ity of Indio (<i>Vista Hermosa</i>			
	ng Farmworker Apartments)).			
-	seholds whose incomes do not			
exceed 50% of the area medi	an income. 9 of the 41 HOME			
units are restricted to house	eholds whose incomes do not			
exceed 30% of the area media	an income.			
2. Construction is completed for	or the rehabilitation of three-			
	unincorporated community of			
-	Apartments). 11 HOME units			
	households whose incomes do			
	median income. Construction			
	l, and project will be closed out			
in FY 14/15. 3. Construction is completed fo	or a 50 unit formworker multi			
·	mplex in the City of Coachella			
). 11 HOME units are set aside			
for extremely low-income hou				
4. Construction is completed for				
	side (Cedar Glen). 11 HOME			
units are set-aside for house	eholds whose incomes do not			
exceed 50% of the area med	lian income. Construction was			
	project will be closed out in FY			
14/15.				
5. Construction is underway for				
	s (Perris Family Apartments).			
	side for extremely low-income			
households	(alug 1 managers with mark th			
6. Development of an 84 space				
	unincorporated community of <i>Home Park</i>). The project is a 2			
phase project and the first pha				
7. Construction is underway for				
	of North Shore (<i>North Shore</i>			
	he Coachella Valley Housing			
	construction program. All 11			
HOME units will be set aside f	or low-income household.			

HOME Security Deposit Assistance		 Construction is underway for 11 single family homes in the City of Desert Hot Springs (<i>Sunset Springs</i>) through the Coachella Valley Housing Coalitions' mutual self-help construction program. All 11 HOME units will be set aside as for very low income households. A total of \$31,494 was expended this FY. A total of 720 households have been assisted since the program's inception. The average per unit assistance this FY was \$375.
Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)		The goal of the program is to provide permanent housing and supportive services to homeless residents living in encampments.
Redevelopment Agency for the County of Riverside (RDA) Low and Moderate Income Housing Set-Aside Fund – Affordable Multi-family and Special Needs Rental Housing	5-Year: 200 FY 13/14: 40	 Completed construction and mobile home purchases for 180 mobile home park spaces in a two phase mobile home park (<i>Mountain View Estates</i>) in the community of Oasis. 90 mobile home park spaces will be set aside for very low-income households for a period of 55 years. Construction is underway for an 89-unit affordable housing project in the unincorporated community of Highgrove (<i>Highgrove Family Apartments</i>). Entitlements completed for an affordable housing project in the unincorporated community of Rubidoux (<i>Vista Rio Apartments</i>). Currently the developer is in the process of permitting the project. The developer will be applying for tax credit funding and other viable leveraging funding sources in the upcoming year. It is anticipated that construction will commence no later than 2017.

HOME Program – Affordable Multi-family and Special Needs Rental Housing

The following projects have been completed:

1. Vista Hermosa Apartments, Indio (aka. Fred Young Farmworker Apartments): On June 2011, the County provided \$1,000,000 in HOME funds to a non-profit affordable housing developer for the Phase I development of an 85 rental unit (including 1 on-site manager unit) farm worker housing complex for families in the City of Indio. The Phase I portion of the development is approximately 7.52 acres of vacant land adjacent to the existing Fred Young Farm Labor Center (apartments), which currently houses farm worker tenants. The current tenants of the Fred Young Farm Labor Center were relocated to the new development. The project consists of 12 one-bedroom units, 33 two-bedroom units, 28 three-bedroom units, and 11 four-bedroom units. The project also consists of various amenities that include a community room, pool, laundry facilities, picnic areas, basketball courts, and community/vegetable gardens. Other funding sources include a \$1,000,000 loan from the State of California Housing and Community Development Department Joe Serna Jr. Farmworker Housing Grant Program (JSJFHGP), a \$3,359,952 loan from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Rural Development, a \$5,321,531 loan from the State of California Housing and Community Development Multi-Family Housing Program, an \$840,000 loan from the Affordable

Housing Program, Developer Equity of \$1,100,000, and the balance of \$9,781,355 from tax credit proceeds. The total development cost was \$22,402,838. A total of 41 units were designated as HOME-assisted units limited to households whose incomes do not exceed 50% of the area median family income; 9 of the 41 HOME-assisted units are limited to households whose incomes do not exceed 30% of the area median family income. The HOME-assisted units will be restricted for a period of at least 55 years from the issuance of Certificate of Occupancy. Construction was completed in February 2014 and the project was closed out this FY.

2. Pueblo Nuevo Apartments, Coachella: In July 2012, the County committed \$500,000 in HOME funds for the rehabilitation of a 50-unit farmworker multi-family affordable housing complex. The project consists of 10 two-bedroom units, 20 three-bedroom units and 20 fourbedroom units. 1 three-bedroom unit will be set aside as the manager's unit. Project amenities include an 836 square foot community room, laundry facility, basketball court, four outdoor barbeque grills, playground area and a tot lot. The units are equipped with double-pane low-E windows, blinds, air conditioning, heating systems, water heaters, new kitchen cabinets and counter tops, flooring, roofing, light fixtures, plumbing fixtures and solar tubes. The units are also equipped with energy star rated appliances to include refrigerators, stoves and ovens. Existing asphalt and concrete within the complex was replaced as well as all carports. Other funding sources include a \$1,000,000 loan from the California Community Reinvestment Corporation, \$324,058 in a seller take back loan, \$244,000 in general partner equity, \$39,648 in deferred developer fee, \$325,942 from the U.S. Department of Agriculture and \$2,537,132 from California tax credit proceeds. The total project cost was \$4,970,780. 11 units are designated as HOME assisted units to households whose incomes do not exceed 30% of the Riverside County Area Median Income for a period of at least 55 years. Construction was completed in March, 2014 and the project was closed out this FY.

The following activities are currently underway:

3. Orange Blossom Apartments, Valle Vista: In March, 2013 the County committed \$1,320,000 in HOME funds for the acquisition and rehabilitation of three-fourplex properties, located in the unincorporated community of Valle Vista. The project will consist of 12 two-bedroom units for rent to qualified low-income families. Other funding sources include a conventional loan in the amount of \$542,500. The total project cost is estimated to be \$1,862,500. A total of 11 units will be designated as HOME-assisted units limited to households whose incomes do not exceed 80% of the area median income for the County of Riverside for a period of at least 55 years. Construction was completed and the project is anticipated to be closed out in September 2014.

<u>4. Orange Blossom II Apartments, Valle Vista:</u> In June, 2013 the County committed \$474,000 in HOME funds for a second phase project to acquire and rehabilitate one additional property consisting of 3 units located at 41945 Orange Blossom Lane. All units will be designated as HOME-assisted units pursuant to HOME regulations limited to households whose incomes do

not exceed 80% of the area median income for the County of Riverside with respect to household size. The HOME-assisted units will be restricted for a period of at least 55 years from the recorded Notice of Completion. The total development cost for the project is estimated at \$594,000 which includes a \$120,000 conventional loan to be secured as lien against the property. The property is in escrow and pursuant to the HOME agreement, the acquisition must be completed by September 30, 2014.

5. Perris Family Apartments, Perris: In June, 2013 the County committed \$1,000,000 in HOME funds for the construction of a 75 unit multi-family affordable housing complex. The project consists of 21 one-bedroom units, 30 two-bedroom units and 24 three-bedroom units. One of the three bedroom units will be set aside for an on-site residential manager. Project amenities include open space, tot lots/play areas, basketball courts, laundry facilities, and a 2,800 square foot community center equipped with a full kitchen, computer learning center, lap top computers, educational software and internet access. Project services include parenting classes, tutoring, nutrition programs, English as a Second Language, GED preparation and after school programs. Other funding sources include a \$2,497,992 loan from Mental Health Services Act through California Housing Financing Agency, a \$905,300 conventional loan, a \$740,000 loan from the Affordable Housing Program, a \$200,000 deferred developers fee and \$16,009,700 from the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee. The total project cost is estimated to be \$21,352,992. 11 units will be designated as HOME assisted units to households whose incomes do not exceed 30% of the Riverside County Area Median Income for a period of at least 55 years. Construction commenced in December, 2013 and is anticipated to be completed in May, 2015.

6. Cedar Glen Apartments, Riverside: In July 2012, the County committed \$550, 000 in HOME funds for the construction of a 51-unit multi-family housing complex. The project consists of 14 one-bedroom units, 14 two-bedroom units, 17 three-bedroom units and 6 four-bedroom units. Project amenities will include a 3,000 square foot community building, pool/splash pad, picnic areas, tot-lots, low impact cardio walking circuit, assigned carports, surveillance cameras with web access and laundry facilities. Other funding sources include a \$2,497,992 loan from Mental Health Services Act through California Housing Financing Agency, Deferred Developer Fee of \$2,000 and \$16,968,585 from the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee. The project cost is estimated to be \$20,468,577. 11 units will be designated at HOME assisted units to households whose incomes do not exceed 50% of the Riverside County Area Median Income for a period of at least 55 years. Construction commenced in June 2013 and was completed in April 2014; project will be closed out FY 14/15.

7. Los Vinedos, Mecca: In June 17, 2014, the County committed \$1,000,000 in HOME funds for the construction of a 41-unit farmworker mobile home park. The project consists of 41 three and four bedroom units. One unit will be set aside as a manager's unit. Project amenities include a 1,500 square foot community center, computer lab and open space. Project services will include tutoring, nutrition programs, English as a Second Language and after-school programs. Other funding sources include a \$2,300,000 loan from the United States Department

of Agriculture Farm Labor Housing Program Section 514, a \$700,000 grant from the United States Department of Agriculture Farm Labor Housing Program Section 516, a \$900,000 grant from the United States Department of Agriculture Rural Utilities Grant, a \$1,000,000 loan from the Rural Community Assistance Corporation Agriculture Worker Health and Housing Program (RCAC), a \$990,000 loan from the Rural Community Assistance Corporation Ford Foundation Loan; a \$391,000 grant from the Rural Community Assistance Corporation, a \$410,000 deferred loan from the Federal Home Loan Affordable Housing Program. The total project cost is estimated to be \$7,691,100. 11 units will be designated as HOME assisted units for households whose incomes do not exceed 30% of the Area Median Income for a period of at least 55 years. The first phase of this mobile home park is anticipated to commence in January 2015 and be completed by November 2015.

8. North Shore Groups 5 & 6, Community of North Shore: In June 2011, the County committed \$600,000 in HOME funds to The Coachella Valley Housing Coalition (CVHC), a certified Community Housing Development Organization, for the development and new construction of 11 single family homes. The homes will be built through The Coachella Valley Housing Coalition's mutual self-help construction program which enables qualified low income families to become first time homebuyers by earning "sweat equity" towards the down payment of their home. The project will consist of 11 four-bedroom and two-bathroom single story homes. Other funding sources include a \$784,000 loan from the U.S. Department of Agriculture Section 502 Program, an \$82,000 grant from the Affordable Housing Program, a \$74,000 loan from the Joe Serna, Jr. Farmworker Housing Grant Program, and \$5,500 in buyer sweat-equity. The total development costs are estimated to be \$1,545,500. All 11 homes will be designated as HOME units for households whose incomes do not exceed 50% of the Area Median Income for a period of at least 15 years. Construction has been completed on 6 of the 11 homes, and those families have moved in. Construction will begin on the 5 remaining homes once families are identified and approved.

9. Sunset Springs Self-Help, Desert Hot Springs: In June 2011 the County committed \$152,000 in HOME funds to The Coachella Valley Housing Coalition (CVHC), a certified Community Housing Development Organization, for the development, construction and mortgage assistance for 11 single family homes. The homes will be built through the Coachella Valley Housing Coalition's mutual self-help construction program which enables qualified very low and low income families to become first time homeowners by earning "sweat-equity" towards the down payment of their home. The project will consist of 9 four-bedroom and 2 three-bedroom single-story homes. Other funding sources include a \$1,097,400 loan from the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Section 502 Program, a \$203,500 grant from the Affordable Housing Program, a subsidy of \$249,600 from CVHC, and \$18,500 in buyer sweat equity. All 11 homes will be designated as HOME units for households whose incomes do not exceed 80% of the Area Median Income for a period of at least 15 years. Construction is underway on 9 of the 11 homes. Construction will begin on the 2 remaining homes once families are identified and approved.

10. Security Deposit Assistance (SDA), Countywide Program: The County and the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside (HACR) have existing agreements for the HACR to manage the Security Deposit Assistance Program. The goal of the program is to remove the initial barrier that families encounter as they attempt to find a suitable, decent housing unit to rent. The program is designed to provide a one-time grant to pay for the security deposit for very low-income families earning 50% of the median income. The maximum grant per family is limited to the lessor of 50% of the actual total security deposit or half of the Section 8 contract rent. The applicants are limited to eligible participants who have recently been selected from the waiting list, have a Section 8 Voucher, and are in the process of finding a unit to rent. Existing Section 8 Voucher holders are also eligible if the owner of the unit has selected to sell the property, the property is under foreclosure, or there is an unforeseen emergency condition that is through no fault of the family. A total of \$31,494 was expended this FY. A total of 720 households have been assisted since the program's inception. The average per unit assistance this FY was \$375.

11. Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) Program: On June 17, 2014, the County awarded the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside \$445,995, in HOME funds to provide permanent housing to homeless households living in the County. The goal of the program is to provide permanent housing and supportive services to homeless residents living in encampments. The objectives of the TBRA Program are to: rapidly re-house homeless encampment residents; provide one year of rental assistance; provide targeted supportive services to support recovery and self-sufficiency; promote housing stability; and reduce the number of homeless encampments in Riverside County and the re-population of abated encampments. It is anticipated that the TBRA Program will benefit approximately 45 households at an average cost of \$9,911 per household. The Housing Authority will provide in-kind leveraging in the form of staff support, program supplies, and transportation costs. Other funding sources in the approximate amount of \$75,000 may include grant funding from the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), United Way, Southern California Edison, Emergency Shelter Grants, and Emergency Food and Shelter Funds. In the event HACR is successful in obtaining the aforementioned grants, the average benefit to each of the targeted 45 households will increase by \$1,667 to \$11,578. The total estimated project cost is \$520,995. The Housing Authority's goal is to identity additional Local, State or Federal grant funding to be able to expand the TBRA Program.

RDA Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund – Multi-family and Special Needs Rental Housing

On June 29, 2011 Governor Brown signed into law Assembly Bill ABx1 26, proposing the elimination of all RDAs in the state of California. The California Supreme Court upheld the legality of ABx1 26, thereby eliminating Redevelopment Agencies throughout the state of California, including the RDA for the County of Riverside. Subsequently, RDA Low and Moderate Housing Funds were effectively eliminated, only to wind down existing enforceable obligations and discontinued.

The following projects were completed during the reporting period:

1. Mountain View Estates, Oasis: In April 2008, the RDA approved a Grant Agreement for the construction of a 398 space mobile home park. Mountain View Estates is situated on approximately 50 acres located south of 66th Avenue, north of 70th Avenue, west of Polk Street and on the east side of Harrison Street in the unincorporated community of Oasis. The first phase consists of 180 spaces plus one manager's unit and a community center of approximately 2,200 sq. ft., the second phase is to be constructed at a future date, not yet determined. The project utilized \$6,429,149 in RDA Set Aside Funds, a grant from the United States Department of Agriculture Rural Business Enterprise Grant in the amount of \$675,000 and United States Department of Agriculture Water and Waste Disposal Loan and Grant funds in the amount of \$6,600,000. A minimum of 90 mobile home park spaces in the development are set aside for very low-income households for a period of at least fifty-five years. The first phase of this mobile home park was completed in December 2012 and the project was closed out June 30, 2014.

The following projects are currently underway:

<u>2. Highgrove Family Apartments, Highgrove:</u> The RDA purchased a 7.43 acre parcel located in the unincorporated community of Highgrove for the purpose of carrying out its obligation to help eliminate blight and providing safe and decent affordable housing to its residents. A public library has since been built on a section of the parcel (.72 acres) and the housing project is being proposed on the balance of the parcel (6.71 acres). The section of the parcel on which the housing project is to be built was purchased for \$1,006,952. On July 26, 2011, the RDA committed \$7,475,000 in RDA funds for the construction of an 89-unit multi-family housing complex. The project consists of 61 two-bedroom units, and 28 three-bedroom units. Project amenities will include a 2,411 square foot community building, picnic areas, tot-lots, assigned carports, and laundry facilities. Other funding sources include a \$350,000 AHP loan, a \$2,675,457 conventional loan, and \$9,197,628 from the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee. The project cost is estimated to be \$19,698,086. 44 units will be designated as RDA assisted units to households whose incomes do not exceed 50% of the Riverside County Area Median Income for a period of at least 55 years. Construction is anticipated to be completed by November 2014.

3. Vista Rio Apartments, Jurupa Valley: The RDA purchased and assembled parcels to create an approximate 15-acre site for a multi-phase affordable housing project located in the City of Jurupa Valley for the purpose of carrying out its obligation to help eliminate blight and providing safe and decent affordable housing to its residents. In June 2011, the RDA approved an Exclusive Negotiating Agreement/Pre-development Loan in the amount of \$618,000 for entitlement expenses. The entitlements for the phase 1 portion (approximate 3.57 acres) of the project have been approved. Due to the demise of redevelopment the property was transferred to the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside as the successor agency to the former

redevelopment agency. The Housing Authority has entered into a ground lease for the purposes of development of the property. Currently the developer is in the process of permitting the project. The developer will be applying for tax credit funding and other viable leveraging funding sources in the upcoming year. It is anticipated that construction will commence no later than 2017.

Program	2009-2014 Objectives/ FY 2013-2014 Objectives	FY 2013-2014 Accomplishments
Redevelopment Agency for the County of Riverside	The Redevelopment Agency for the County of Riverside will	There are no projects to report for this reporting period. No new funding as of February 1, 2012,
(RDA) Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund – Housing for the Homeless	continue to address the need for housing the homeless.	due to the dissolution of redevelopment.
HOME Special Needs New Construction – Permanent or Transitional Supportive Housing for Homeless (not emergency shelters)	5-Year (2009-2014): 50 FY 13/14: 10	There are no projects to report for this reporting period. Although HOME regulations prohibit the use of funds for shelters, the County seeks to construct transitional to permanent housing for homeless.
RDA Special Needs New Construction – Permanent or Transitional Supportive Housing for Homeless (not	5-Year (2009-2014): 40 FY 13/14: 0	There are no projects to report for this reporting period. No new funding as of February 1, 2012, due to the dissolution of redevelopment.
emergency shelters) Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)		The goal of the program is to provide permanent housing and supportive services to homeless residents living in encampments.

Priority #5: Sheltering the Homeless

Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) Program: On June 17, 2014, the County awarded the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside \$445,995, in HOME funds to provide permanent housing to homeless households living in the County. The goal of the program is to provide permanent housing and supportive services to homeless residents living in encampments. The objectives of the TBRA Program are to: rapidly re-house homeless encampment residents; provide one year of rental assistance; provide targeted supportive services to support recovery and self-sufficiency; promote housing stability; and reduce the number of homeless encampments in Riverside County and the re-population of abated encampments. It is anticipated that the TBRA Program will benefit approximately 45 households at an average cost of \$9,911 per household. The Housing Authority will provide in-kind leveraging in the form of staff support, program supplies, and transportation costs. Other funding sources in the approximate amount of \$75,000 may include grant funding from the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), United Way, Southern California Edison, Emergency Shelter Grants, and Emergency Food and Shelter Funds. In the event HACR is successful in obtaining the aforementioned grants, the average benefit to each of the targeted

45 households will increase by \$1,667 to \$11,578. The total estimated project cost is \$520,995. The Housing Authority's goal is to identity additional Local, State or Federal grant funding to be able to expand the TBRA Program.

_	2009-2014 Objectives/	
Program Activity	FY 2013/14 Objectives	FY 2013/14 Accomplishments
NSP-1	5-Year (2009-2014): 150	During the FY 2013-2014:
Acquisition/Rehab/Resale of		• A total of 23 foreclosed homes were acquired,
foreclosed and bank-owned	FY 13/14: 0	rehabilitated and sold. 15 homes were sold to VLI
Single-Family properties		households and 8 were sold to LMMI households.
		• Approximately \$5,112,050 in NSP1 funds was
		expended for acquisition, rehabilitation and resale.
		• A total of \$1,361,703 was provided for purchase
		price assistance under this activity (NSP1H).
NSP3-1	5-Year (2009-2014): 150	During the FY 2013-2014:
Acquisition/Rehab/Resale of		• A total of 7 foreclosed homes were acquired,
foreclosed and bank-owned	FY 13/14: 0	rehabilitated and sold. 3 homes were sold to VLI
Single-Family properties		households and 4 were sold to LMMI households.
		Approximately \$1,880,895 in NSP3 funds was
		expended for acquisition, rehabilitation and resale.
		• A total of \$437,640 was provided for purchase price
		assistance under this activity (NSP3H)
RDA-1	5-Year: 15	During the FY 2013-2014:
Acquisition/Rehab/Resale of		There are no projects to report for this reporting
foreclosed and bank-owned	FY 13/14: 0	period. No new funding as of February 1, 2012,
Single-Family properties		due to the dissolution of redevelopment.
NSP-2	5-Year (2009-2014): 10	During the FY2013-2014:
Acquisition/Rehab/Rental of	51/10/11/0	• No activity to report for this program for this FY.
foreclosed and bank-owned	FY 13/14: 0	
Single-Family properties NSP-3	5-Year: 190 households	During the $FV 2012 2014$
Neighborhood Stabilization	5-Year. 190 households	During the FY 2013-2014:Due to the difficulty of locating foreclosed
Homeownership Program	FY 13/14: 0 households	properties and recent market conditions, the
(NSHP, formerly Enhanced	TT 15/14. O Households	County is not capitalizing this program further.
FTHB)		county is not cupituizing this program further.
NSP-4	5-Year (2009-2014): 120	During the FY 2013-2014:
Acquisition/Rehab/Resale of	FY 13/14: 0	 No activity to report for this program for this FY.
foreclosed and bank-owned		
Multi-Family properties		
71 11 11 11		

Priority #6: Stabilize declining neighborhood conditions due to foreclosures.

The following activities were completed during the reporting period:

Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP): On July 28, 2008, the United States Congress passed the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (HERA) which included the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) to address the subprime mortgage crisis by

stabilizing neighborhoods in areas of greatest need and stemming the decline of house values of neighboring homes. This first round of NSP (NSP1) provided \$3.92 billion on a formula basis to 307 state and local governments. On February 25, 2009, the County of Riverside was allocated \$48,567,786. In addition, Congress also passed the Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act) on July 15, 2010 which included appropriations for a third round of NSP (NSP3) to continue activities to stabilize neighborhoods damaged by the economic effects of foreclosed properties. On February 28, 2011, the County of Riverside was allocated an additional \$14,272,400 in NSP3 funds.

In partnership with public agencies, non-profit and for-profit affordable housing developers, the County of Riverside successfully obligated and expended NSP funds to acquire and rehabilitate foreclosed properties for sale to qualified first-time homebuyers. Subsequent to the sale of each rehabilitated home, proceeds from the sale minus transaction costs, fees, and purchase price assistance for the first-time homebuyer were returned to the County as program income to further NSP activities.

As of June 30, 2014, since commencement of the County's NSP1 program, a total of 249 foreclosed single-family homes were acquired. Of that, 244 homes underwent rehabilitation and were sold to very low-income (VLI) households and low-, moderate- and middle-income (LMMI) households. As of June 30, 2014, the NSP1 budget was \$86,615,154 which included the original grant of \$48,567,786 plus \$38,047,368 in program income. During this reporting period, approximately \$5,112,050 in NSP1 funds was expended for acquisition, rehabilitation and resale of 23 foreclosed homes to VLI and LMMI households (15 VLI and 8 LMMI). A total of \$1,361,703 in purchase price assistance was provided to qualified first-time homebuyers from the proceeds of the sale of each home.

Under NSP3, the total budget was \$23,811,571 which included the original grant of \$14,272,400 plus \$9,539,171 in program income. During this reporting period, approximately \$1,880,895 in NSP3 funds was expended for acquisition, rehabilitation and resale of 7 foreclosed homes to VLI and LMMI households (3 VLI and 4 LMMI). A total of \$437,640 in purchase price assistance was provided to qualified first-time homebuyers from the proceeds of the sale of each home.

For FY 2013-2014, there was no activity to report for the following NSP activities:

- (NSP1-2 or NSP3-2) Acquisition, rehabilitation, and rental (of foreclosed single-family properties) to very low-income persons;
- (NSP1-3 or NSP3-3) Neighborhood Stabilization Homeownership Program (NSHP) purchase price assistance (for qualified VLI and LMMI households);

- (NSP1-4 or NSP3-4) Acquisition and rehabilitation of foreclosed, vacant multifamily properties, or new construction of multi-family rental projects; and
- (NSP1-5 or NSP3-5) Acquisition and Reconstruction, Redevelopment of Foreclosed, Blighted, Vacant Properties, or New Construction of Single Family, and Multi-Family Housing Projects.

The County has drawn down a total of \$78,486,586. The discount rate for the acquisition of properties was an average of 7.0%. Other funding sources leveraged with Riverside County NSP include an initial grant of \$448,897 in State NSP funds. The County also received \$746,283 in State NSP program income from the cities of Coachella Valley, Palm Desert and Palm Springs. During FY 2013-2014, the County utilized State NSP funds and assisted 4 households (2 VLI households and 2 LMMI households).

RDA-1 Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resale of Foreclosed Single-Family Homes, Incorporated and Unincorporated Areas of the County: The former RDA committed \$4,500,000 with a developer for the acquisition, rehabilitation and resale of vacant, foreclosed and bank-owned single-family homes to qualified low- and moderate-income first-time homebuyers within the former Jurupa Valley Redevelopment Project Area or within unincorporated areas of the County of Riverside. A total of 32 homes were acquired and rehabilitated. There are no projects to report for this reporting period. No new funding as of February 1, 2012, due to the dissolution of redevelopment.

RDA Single-Family Acquisition, Rehabilitation or New Construction, Jurupa Valley:

In May of 2011, the former RDA identified a need in the county to stabilize neighborhoods affected by properties that have been foreclosed upon, abandoned or subjected to blighted conditions. The former RDA entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with a non-profit affordable housing developer to provide a total grant of \$1,500,000 to acquire and rehabilitate foreclosed, abandoned or blighted single-family homes, or construct new homes on vacant residential lots within the former RDA Jurupa Valley Project Area. All homes will be sold to very low-income, first-time homebuyer households earning less than 50% of the county area median income with the commitment to occupy the homes as their principal residence for a minimum period of 45 years. To date, five single-family properties were acquired, of which four were rehabilitated and one was newly constructed. There are no projects to report for this reporting period. No new funding as of February 1, 2012, due to the dissolution of redevelopment.

Worst Case Housing Needs

Households with "worst case needs" or "acute housing needs" are defined by HUD as: unassisted renters with very low-incomes, below fifty-percent (50%) of the area median income (AMI), and either 1) paying more than half of their income for housing; 2) living in severely substandard

housing; or 3) involuntarily displaced.

According to research from the California Department of Finance, the County of Riverside grew from an estimated population of 2,255,653 in January of 2013 to an estimated population of 2,279,967 in January of 2014, an increase of 1.1 percent.

The State of the Cities Data Systems (SOCDS) Building Permit Database shows a steady increase in the production of housing units, based on building permits, from 4,072 in 2009 to 5,924 in 2013. Output of units in single-family structures increased slightly from 3,406 in 2009 to 4,432 in 2013. Output of units in all multi-family structures increased slightly from 666 in 2009 to 1,492 in 2013.

On October 4, 2012, the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) Regional Council approved a Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) Allocation Plan projecting housing needs by income level with a planning period from January 1, 2006 to June 30, 2014. The RHNA analyzed the existing unmet housing needs of the County as of 2007. The assessment projected the number of new housing units required to meet the need of each representative income category within 2006 to 2014. The following table presents the RHNA projections:

County of Riverside	Very Low- Income Households (0-50% AMI)	Low-Income Households (51-80% AMI)	Moderate- Income Households (81-120% AMI)	Above Moderate- Income Households (>120% AMI)	Total
Percentage Allocated	23.8%	16.1%	18.2%	41.9%	100%
Number of households	21,599	14,622	16,551	38,110	90,882

Estimated Housing Need in Riverside County (2006-2014)

Two sub-regional councils of governments including the Western Riverside Council of Governments (WRCOG) and Coachella Valley Association of Governments (CVAG) represent Riverside County. Through delegation agreements with SCAG, both of these sub-regions assumed responsibility for administering the RHNA distribution among the individual jurisdictions within their respective sub-regions, as shown below:

Estimated Housing Need in WRCOG and CVAG sub-regions (2006-2014)

WRCOG Region	Very Low- Income Households (0-50% AMI)	Low-Income Households (51-80% AMI)	Moderate- Income Households (81-120% AMI)	Above Moderate- Income Households (>120% AMI)	Total
Percentage Allocated	23.9%	16.1%	18.2%	41.7%	100%
Number of households	17,837	12,043	13,588	31,116	74,584

CVAG Region	Very Low- Income Households (0-50% AMI)	Low-Income Households (51-80% AMI)	Moderate- Income Households (81-120% AMI)	Above Moderate- Income Households (>120% AMI)	Total
Percentage Allocated	23.5%	16.0%	18.2%	42.3%	100%
Number of households	10,935	7,450	8,497	19,719	46,601

In a special tabulation of homeowner and renter data utilizing HUD and Census 2000 data, CHAS data provides the following housing problems statistics related to cost burden, elderly, substandard housing and overcrowding:

Cost burden is the percentage of a household's total gross income spent on housing costs. For renters, housing costs include rent paid by the tenant plus utilities. For owners, housing costs include mortgage payment, taxes, insurance, and utilities.

Housing Problems relate to cost burden greater than 30% of income and/or overcrowding and/or without complete kitchen or plumbing facilities.

Elderly households are 1 or 2 person households where either person is 62 years or older.

Renter Households	Household Income <=30% MFI	Housing Problems	% Cost Burden >30% MFI	% Cost Burden >50% MFI
Elderly (1 & 2 members)	9,620	75.3	75.0	57.0
Small Related (2 to 4 members)	16,540	90.0	88.0	81.0
Large Related (5 or more members)	7,170	97.0	94.0	81.0
All Other	9,990	75.7	74.1	68.8
Total Renters	43,320	84.4	83.0	72.8

Renter Households in Riverside County

Renter Households	Household Income >30 to <=50% MFI	Housing Problems	% Cost Burden >30% MFI	% Cost Burden >50% MFI
Elderly (1 & 2 members)	8,510	23.0	75.9	44.1
Small Related (2 to 4 members)	17,105	89.4	87.4	48.1
Large Related (5 or more members)	7,025	92.9	86.1	41.1
All Other	7,315	87.8	87.1	54.5
Total Renters	39,955	87.0	84.7	47.2

Renter Households	Household Income >50 to <=80% MFI	Housing Problems	% Cost Burden >30% MFI	% Cost Burden >50% MFI
Elderly (1 & 2 members)	5,605	46.7	68.5	22.5
Small Related (2 to 4 members)	20,745	68.7	63.2	17.1
Large Related (5 or more members)	8,900	87.6	60.5	14.2
All Other	9,075	71.7	69.5	18.8
Total Renters	44,325	70.0	64.6	17.5

Renter Households	Household Income >80% MFI	Housing Problems	% Cost Burden >30% MFI	% Cost Burden >50% MFI
Elderly (1 & 2 members)	8,045	28.7	27.7	5
Small Related (2 to 4 members)	38,170	24.0	19.1	1.3
Large Related (5 or more members)	12,754	49.8	19.5	0.7
All Other	20,930	20.8	18.7	2.3
Total Renters	79,899	27.7	19.9	1.8

Renter data do not include renters living on boats, recreational vehicles (RVs) or vans.

Owner Households in Riverside County

Owner Households	Household Income <=30% MFI	Housing Problems	% Cost Burden >30% MFI	% Cost Burden >50% MFI
Elderly (1 & 2 members)	14,670	75.2	74.4	58.9
Small Related (2 to 4 members)	8,395	82.4	81.5	73.5
Large Related (5 or more members)	4,370	88.9	83.5	73.6
All Other	5,755	64.3	64.0	56.8
Total Owners	33,190	76.9	75.7	64.2

Owner Households	Household Income >30 to <=50% MFI	Housing Problems	% Cost Burden >30% MFI	% Cost Burden >50% MFI
Elderly (1 & 2 members)	20,045	22.0	54.8	32.4
Small Related (2 to 4 members)	12,750	78.4	77.9	57.3
Large Related (5 or more members)	7,460	92.0	81.0	57.7
All Other	4,010	79.8	79.1	64.0
Total Owners	44,265	61.0	68.0	46.7

Owner Households	Household Income >50 to <=80% MFI	Housing Problems	% Cost Burden >30% MFI	% Cost Burden >50% MFI
Elderly (1 & 2 members)	26,370	20.0	40.0	19.5
Small Related (2 to 4 members)	24,105	71.8	70.6	44.6
Large Related (5 or more members)	15,415	84.8	69.3	37.4
All Other	5,990	68.6	66.4	42.2
Total Owners	71,880	62.8	58.8	33.7

Owner Households	Household Income >80% MFI	Housing Problems	% Cost Burden >30% MFI	% Cost Burden >50% MFI
Elderly (1 & 2 members)	73,300	23.0	22.7	6.9
Small Related (2 to 4 members)	158,010	37.3	36.5	8.2
Large Related (5 or more members)	51,440	52.4	38.8	8.1
All Other	33,305	42.5	42.4	13.5
Total Owners	316,055	37.0	34.3	8.4

Renter Households: Approximately 83.0% or 43,320 renter households of extremely low-income were cost burden, spending more than 30% of the household's total gross income towards rent and utilities.

Owner Households: Approximately 75.7% or 33,190 owner households of extremely low-income were cost burden, spending more than 30% of the household's total gross income towards a mortgage payment, taxes, insurance, and utilities.

Elderly Households: Approximately 75.0% or 9,620 elderly renter households were cost burden with greater than 30% of income towards rent and utilities and/or overcrowding and/or without complete kitchen or plumbing facilities.

Approximately 74.4% or 14,670 elderly owner households were cost burden with greater than 30% of income towards rent and utilities and/or overcrowding and/or without complete kitchen or plumbing facilities.

Substandard Housing Conditions: exist to an extent that it endangers the life, health, property, safety or welfare of the public or the occupants of the housing: inadequate sanitation, structural hazards, nuisances, faulty weather protection, fire hazards, inadequate maintenance, overcrowding, or hazardous wiring, plumbing or mechanical equipment. The California Statewide Housing Plan estimates 10% of the housing stock is in need of rehabilitation or replacement. Substandard housing units were either not constructed properly, were constructed to a building code that is now outdated, or have been allowed to deteriorate as the unit aged. Although the Census 20100 data did not tabulate the total number of substandard units, data

was available for certain conditions related to substandard conditions or need for rehabilitation. Approximately 85,775 dwelling units were built prior to 1960; 6,161 units lacked complete kitchens and 3,035 units lacked complete plumbing.

Large Families: A total of 23,095 renter households with 5 or more members, at or below 80 percent MFI, were considered large families. Approximately 94.0% or 7,170 renter households were large families and cost burden with greater than 30% of income towards rent and utilities and/or overcrowding and/or without complete kitchen or plumbing facilities.

A total of 27,245 owner households with 5 or more members, at or below 80% MFI, were considered large families. Approximately 83.5% or 4,370 owner households were large families and cost burden with greater than 30% of income towards rent and utilities and/or overcrowding and/or without complete kitchen or plumbing facilities.

Section 8 and Public Housing Programs: As of June 30, 2014 there were 14,195 registered on the Section 8 waiting list. As of June 30, 2014 there were 34,972 registered on the public housing waiting list.

HIV/AIDS: Riverside County is disproportionately impacted by the HIV/AIDS epidemic. Riverside County has the 6th most cases of people infected with the Aids virus in the State of CA. Per data provided by the California Office on AIDS, there were 3,356 Persons Living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA) in Riverside County as of June 30, 2014. The City of Riverside allocates approximately 60% of the HOPWA formula grant directly to the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside to address the housing needs of low-income PLWHA within Riverside County. The average household income of a PLWHA in Riverside County is \$1,078 per month which means the majority of these households will qualify for HOPWA services if needed.

Housing Needs of Persons with Disabilities

According to SOCDS CHAS, households with Mobility or Self Care Limitations are defined as one or more persons that have 1) a long-lasting condition that substantially limits one or more basic physical activity, such as walking, climbing stairs, reaching, lifting, or carrying and/or 2) a physical, mental, or emotional condition lasting more than 6 months that creates difficulty with dressing, bathing, or getting around inside the home.

Based on SOCDS CHAS data for Riverside County, the following information for households with Mobility or Self-Care Limitations was provided:

• Renter Household Incomes 50% or below AMI with Mobility or Self Care Limitations:

A total of 16,170 households were very low-income Renters in Riverside County. Approximately 83.7% or 13,536 Renter households spent more than 50% of their gross income on housing costs.

• 3,155 households were very low-income Elderly Renters (1 & 2 members) 75 years or

older. Approximately 79.1% or 2,495 Elderly Renter households spent more than 50% of their gross income on housing costs.

2,850 households were very low-income Elderly Renters 62 to 74 years. Approximately 73.2% or 2,085 Elderly Renter households spent more than 50% of their gross income on housing costs.

• The remaining 10,165 Renter households were categorized as All Other Households with incomes 50% or below AMI with Mobility or Self Care Limitations. Approximately 88.0% or 8,949 Owner households spent more than 50% of their gross income on housing costs.

• Owner Household Incomes 50% or below AMI with Mobility or Self Care Limitations:

A total of 15,890 households were very low-income Owners in Riverside County. Approximately 67.1% or 10,667 Owner households spent more than 50% of their gross income on housing costs.

- 5,535 households were very low-income Elderly Renters (1 & 2 members) 75 years or older. Approximately 55.1% or 3,048 Elderly Renter households spent more than 50% of their gross income on housing costs.
- 3,850 households were very low-income Elderly Renters (1 & 2 members) 62 to 74 years. Approximately 66.2% or 2,549 Elderly Renter households spent more than 50% of their gross income on housing costs.
- The remaining 6,505 Owner households were categorized as All Other Households with incomes 50% or below AMI with Mobility or Self Care Limitations. Approximately 77.8% or 5,064 Owner households spent more than 50% of their gross income on housing costs.

Out of a total of 32,060 very low-income Renter and Owner households, 75.4% or 24,185 Riverside County households with Mobility or Self Care Limitations spent more than 50% of their gross income on housing costs.

For FY 2013-2014, a total of 6 housing projects addressed the needs of persons with disabilities. Below is a table showing households addressed per housing program.

Program	Households with Disabilities
Enhanced Home Repair (EHR)	0
Home Rehabilitation Program (HRP)	0
Manufactured Home Replacement Program (MHRP)	1
First Time Home Buyer (FTHB) Program	0
Neighborhood Stabilization Homeownership Program (NSHP1H)	2
Neighborhood Stabilization Program Homebuyer Assistance (NSP3H)	1
Redevelopment Agency (RDA) and Special Needs Housing, and Emergency Housing Response Program	0

RDA Infill Housing	0
Senior Home Repair Grant (SHRG)	0
Mortgage Credit Certificate	0
Total	4

The HOME Program also addresses special housing needs of persons with disabilities. Under HOME regulations, 24 CFR §92.251, the housing must meet the accessibility requirements at 24 CFR part 8, which implements Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794). Under Section 504 unit requirements, a minimum of five percent (5%) of the total dwelling units or at least one unit in a multi-family housing project, whichever is greater, shall be made accessible for persons with mobility impairments. A unit that is on an accessible route and is adaptable and otherwise in compliance with the standards set forth in §8.32 is accessible. An additional two percent (2%) of the units (but not less than one unit) in such a project shall be accessible for persons with hearing or vision impairments. All HOME projects constructed are required to meet the Section 504 requirements.

Fostering and Maintaining Affordable Housing

To ensure that HOME investments yield affordable housing over the long term, HOME imposes rent and occupancy requirements over the length of an affordability period. For homebuyer and rental projects, the length of the affordability period varies per program and project. The FTHB Program imposes 15-year affordability periods. HOME Self-Help projects require a 20-year affordability period and HOME rental projects enforce a 55-year affordability period. Throughout the affordability period, the HOME-assisted housing must be occupied by incomeeligible households. For rental housing, when units become vacant during the affordability period, subsequent tenants must be income-eligible and must be charged the applicable HOME rent. For homebuyer assistance, if a home purchased with HOME assistance is sold during the affordability period, recapture provisions apply to ensure the continued provision of affordability homeownership. In addition to HOME affordability requirements, RDA projects also impose affordability period requirements. Homeownership projects require a 45-year affordability period and rental projects require an affordability period of at least 55-years. RDA-assisted units must also be occupied by income-eligible households.

Geographic Distribution

Program	Geographic Distribution
 First Time Home Buyer (FTHB) Program Program Home Investment Partnerships Act (HOME) Program Home Rehabilitation Program (HRP) Senior Home Repair Grant (SHRG) Program Home Improvement Program (HIP) 	Limited to unincorporated areas of the County of Riverside and fourteen (14) cooperating cities 2013- 2014: Banning, Beaumont, Blythe, Canyon Lake, Coachella, Desert Hot Springs, Eastvale, Indian Wells, La Quinta, Murrieta, Norco, San Jacinto, and Wildomar).
• Mortgage Credit Certificate (MCC) Program	The MCC Program may be utilized to purchase a home in the following locations: All Unincorporated Areas of Riverside County and within the City Limits of the following jurisdictions: Banning, Beaumont, Blythe, Calimesa, Canyon Lake, Cathedral City, Coachella, Corona, Eastvale, Hemet, Indio, La Quinta, Lake Elsinore, Moreno Valley, Murrieta, Norco, Palm Springs, Perris, Riverside, San Jacinto, Temecula, and Wildomar. The following cities are not participating in the County's MCC Program and MCC's cannot be issued to purchasers of homes located within the City Limits of these cities: Desert Hot Springs, Indian Wells, Jurupa Valley, Menifee, and Rancho Mirage. Assisted homebuyers purchasing within Target Area census tracts are not required to meet the first time buyer requirement and higher income and sales price limits apply. Target areas are Census Tracts designated by the Federal government to encourage investment. There are seventy three (73) target areas in Riverside County, however only sixty three (63) target areas are inside the participating jurisdiction of the MCC Program.
 Mobile Home Tenant Loan (MHTL) Program Redevelopment Agency (RDA) Infill Housing Program RDA Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund 	Limited to the unincorporated areas of the County of Riverside and Redevelopment Project Areas
Neighborhood Stabilization Program	Limited to Target Areas as defined in the Substantial Amendment to the County of Riverside 2012-2013 One-Year Action Plan.

Housing Accomplishments within the Cooperating Cities

The following section reports on housing activities within each of the County's Cooperating Cities for FY 2013-2014. A total of \$16,682,373 was expended among the 14 Cooperating Cities for housing activities.

	CDI	BG		HOME			NSP		
Cooperating City	HRP	SHRG	FTHB	Security Deposit Assist. (SDA)	New Constr.	NSHP	NSP1-1, 2 & 4 Acquisition & Rehabilitation NSP1H Homebuyer Assistance	мсс	Total
1. Banning	-	\$6,000		-	-	-	\$338,133	-	\$344,133
2. Beaumont	-	-			-		\$550,585	\$31,457	\$582,042
3. Blythe	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$0
4. Calimesa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$42,858	\$42,858
5. Canyon Lake		-	-		-	-		-	\$0
6. Cathedral City	-		-		-		\$1,054,664	-	\$1,054,664
7. Coachella	-	-	\$25,400	-	\$500,000	-	-	\$41,989	\$567,389
8. Corona	-	-	-		-	-	-	\$0	\$0
9. Desert Hot Springs	\$7785	\$18,957	-			-	\$3,427,210	-	\$3,453,951
10. Eastvale	\$10,800	-	-	-	-	-	-		\$10,800
11. Hemet	-	\$0	-		-	-	\$0	-	\$0
12. Indio	-	-	-	-	\$1,000,000	-	\$681,985		\$1,681,985
13. Jurupa Valley					-		\$181,829		\$181,829
14. La Quinta	-	-	\$38,400		-	-	-	-	\$38,400
15. Lake Elsinore		\$5255					\$2,049,857	\$117,002	\$2,172,114
16. Menifee				-	-		\$1,978,698		\$1,978,698
17. Moreno Valley	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$59,159	\$59,159
18. Murrieta	\$7841	-						\$102,803	\$110,645
19. Norco	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$68,241	\$68,241
20. Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1,302,126		\$1,302,126
21. Riverside	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$28,640	\$28,640
22. San Jacinto	\$9,700	\$11,049	\$82,400	-	-	-	\$758,922	\$45,096	\$907,167
23. Temecula	-	-		-	-		\$2,740,842	\$23,611	\$2,764,453
24. Wildomar	-		-	-	-		\$253,614	-	\$253,614
Totals	\$36,126	\$40,901	\$146,200		\$1,500,000		\$14,429,747	\$529,399	\$16,682,373

Affordable Housing Section 215 Goals and Accomplishments

Section 215 Goals are affordable housing units that fulfill the criteria of the National Affordable Housing Act. For rental housing, a Section 215 unit occupied by a low/moderate income household and bears a rent that is less than the Fair Market Rent or 30 percent of the adjusted income of a family whose income does not exceed 65 percent of the area median income. For ownership housing, a Section 215 for-sale unit is one to be purchased by a low/moderate income household and the sale price does not exceed HUD mortgage limit. A Section 215 rehabilitated unit is one occupied by a low/moderate income household and has a value after rehabilitation that does not exceed the HUD mortgage limit.

The County's affordable housing goal, as provided for in the 2009-2014 Five Year Consolidated Plan, is to produce 200 Section 215 Renter units and 75 Section 215 Owner units. For FY 2013/2014, the County produced 332 Section 215 Renter units and 53 Section 215 Owner units. After the fourth year of the 5 Year Consolidated Plan, the County has produced a total of 437 Section 215 Renter units and 523 Section 215 Owner units.

The County's progress in providing affordable housing that meets the Section 215 definition are shown in Appendix D – Priority Housing Needs/Investment Plan Table (Table 2A). The Annual Housing Completion Goals (Table 3B) table provides a breakdown of the goals and actual production.

Five-Year Summary of Housing Production and Assistance

2009-2014 Consolidated Plan priorities for affordable housing:

- 1. Provide homeownership opportunities for first-time homebuyers for the low- and moderate-income community.
 - The 5-year goal for the FTHB program for homeownership assistance is to assist 50 households. For FY 2013-2014, the County provided down-payment assistance to 6 low-income households and expended a total of \$180,600 in HOME FTHB funds.
 - The 5-year goal for the ADDI program for homeownership assistance is 6. No households were assisted during FY 2013-2014 as HUD did not fund ADDI for the FY.
 - The 5-year goal for RHP is to assist 31 households. No households were assisted during FY 2013-2014 due to the dissolution of RDA.
 - The 5-year goal for the MCC program goal is to assist 100 households. A total of 17 MCC's were issued for a total use of \$635,872 in tax credit.
 - The 5-year goal for HOME program Self-Help projects is to assist 25 households. No Self-help housing projects were completed during the FY although there are two projects currently underway for a total of 22 units.
 - The 5-year goal for RDA Infill Housing Program is to assist 4 households. 7 infill housing projects were closed for FY 2013/2014.

- The 5-year goal for RDA Single-Family New Construction is to assist 20 households. No households were assisted during FY 2013-2014.
- 2. Improve the conditions of substandard housing and substandard existing rental housing affordable to low-income families.
 - The 5-year goal for the HRP/HRLP program is to assist 240 owner households. A total of 7 owner households were assisted during FY 2013/2014.
 - The 5-year goal for the SHRG program is to also assist 300 owner households. A total of 14 owner households were assisted during FY 2013/2014.
 - The 5-year goal for the EHR program is to assist 4 owner households. There were no households assisted during FY 2013/2014.
 - The 5-year goal for the HIP program is to assist 3 owner households. No new owner households were assisted during FY 2013/2014.
 - The 5-year goal for the RDA Rehabilitation Projects is to assist 15 households. 1 household was assisted during FY 2013/2014.
- 3. Address farm worker and migrant farm worker housing needs in western Riverside County and in the Coachella Valley.
 - The 5-year goal for MHTL is to assist 50 households. There were no households assisted during FY 2013/2014.
 - The 5-year goal for FLMHL is to assist 50 households. There were no households assisted during FY 2013/2014.
 - The 5-year goal for HOME funded Farm Worker Housing Projects is to assist 50 households. Two projects were completed this FY, one new construction and one rehabilitation. The new construction project produced 85 new rental units, and the rehabilitation project improved 50 existing rental units.
 - The 5-year goal for RDA funded Farm Worker Housing Projects is to assist 40 households. One project closed this FY, which produced 180 mobile homes.
- 4. Expand the affordable rental housing stock for low-income and special needs households.
 - The 5-year goal for HOME funded new construction of affordable multi-family housing and special needs is to assist 200 households. 85 households were completed for FY 2013/2014.
 - The 5-year goal for RDA funded new construction of affordable multi-family housing and special needs are to assist 200 households. 16 households were completed during FY 2013/2014.
- 5. Provide shelter to the homeless.
 - There have been no new construction of permanent housing for the homeless during the 5-year period, but the County is actively continuing efforts to assist developers in

meeting this goal and working cooperatively with the Department of Social Services to implement housing programs and technical assistance for shelter development.

- 6. Stabilize declining neighborhood conditions due to foreclosures.
 - The 5-year goal for NSP Acquisition, Rehabilitation, and Resale of foreclosed and banked-owned single-family properties to LMMI first-time homebuyer households is to assist 150 households. A total of 23 households were assisted during FY 2013/2014.
 - The 5-year goal for RDA Acquisition, Rehabilitation, and Resale of foreclosed and banked-owned single-family properties to LMMI first-time homebuyer households is to assist 15 households. No households were assisted during FY 2013/2014.
 - The 5-year goal for NSP Acquisition, Rehabilitation, and Rental of foreclosed and banked-owned single-family properties to special needs households is to assist 10 households. No households were assisted during FY 2013/2014.
 - The 5-year goal for NSHP purchase price assistance with optional rehabilitation and repair assistance for LMMI first-time homebuyer households to purchase bank-owned, foreclosed properties is to assist 190 households. No households were assisted during FY 2013/2014.
 - The 5-year goal for NSP Acquisition, Rehabilitation, and Rental of foreclosed and banked-owned multi-family properties is to assist 120 households. No households were assisted during FY 2013/2014.

Community Housing Development Organizations

- A Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO) is a private nonprofit, community-based service organization that has obtained or intends to obtain staff with the capacity to develop affordable housing for the community it serves. As a Participating Jurisdiction (PJ), the County of Riverside must set-aside at least fifteen percent (15%) of HOME allocations for housing development activities in which qualified CHDOs are the owners, developers, and/or sponsors of the housing. CHDOs must meet certain criteria requirements pertaining to their: (1) legal status; (2) organizational structure; and (3) capacity and experience. The County of Riverside has certified the following CHDOs: Coachella Valley Housing Coalition and Riverside Housing Development Corporation. Certification is only good for one (1) year from the date of certification and for each HOME project application CHDOs are recertified. To date, the County has exceeded the 15% CHDO reservation requirement.
- According to the HOME deadline Compliance Status Report for the requirement years: As of the end of FY 2013-2014, the County's percentage CHDO committed is 100%. The County of Riverside has met and exceeds the CHDO requirements

for all previous years.

• The County obligated \$474,000 in CHDO set-aside funds for FY2013-2014. A total of \$2,260,734 was expended for CHDO funded projects during FY 2013-2014.

2. CDBG -Economic Development

Five-Year Consolidated Plan Economic Development Priorities:

A primary objective for the County is commercial rehabilitation assistance and infrastructure improvements in designated commercial corridors as identified by the County as low- and moderate-income areas. The County has implemented an economic development strategic plan that utilizes a combination of public and private sector financing to stimulate economic development through the following:

- The acquisition, construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation or installation of commercial or industrial buildings or real property;
- The provision of assistance (such as loans, grants, and technical assistance) to private, non-profit business;
- Micro-enterprise assistance to facilitate economic development, including financial support (such as grants and loans), technical assistance, and counseling.

Five-Year Economic Development Objectives:

The goal of the County is to provide economic incentives and assistance to attract businesses to strategic locations as a means of addressing poverty and promoting investment in low-income areas using private, local, State, and Federal investment funds. Regrettably, the resources to the County to support economic development have been greatly reduced due to the elimination of redevelopment agencies in California.

The County has identified the following resources that are potentially available over the next five years to implement these fundamental Objectives:

- US Department of Commerce, Economic Development Administration
- Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)
- Coachella Valley State Enterprise Zone
- Industrial Development Bond Funding
- Recycling Market Development Zone
- Desert Communities Federal Empowerment Zone
- Inland Empire Small Business Development Center (SBDC)
- Coachella Valley Small Business Development Center
- Small Business Finance Corp-CDC
- Small Business Administration

- Tech Coast Angels
- Coachella Valley Angel Network
- Tri-Tech Small Business Development Center
- Workforce Investment Act (WIA)
- Foreign Trade Zones
- Southwest California EDC

The County's Economic Development objectives during the 2009-2014 Five-Year Consolidated Plan include the following:

- The Economic Development Division plans to authorize new industrial and commercial Fast Track projects to create full-time jobs, increase wages, and facilitate capital investment in land, buildings, and equipment;
- Partner with the University of California, California State University, private universities, and community colleges to upgrade the skills of employees in the manufacturing sector;
- Support and facilitate the development of a technology transfer facility near the University of California-Riverside and other areas of the County;
- Assistance in finding property and buildings for businesses to locate into;
- Partner with cities, economic development corporations, utilities, and private corporations to promote the county as a location for business investment and job creation;
- Provide job seekers with employment, career counseling, education and training services through the Workforce Development Centers;
- Offer vital business solution services by conducting a business assessment throughout the business community to provide needed incentives and benefits such as access to reliable workers and on-the-job and customized training; and
- Assist youth with academic, workforce preparation, career planning, and character development through the Youth Opportunity Centers.

During the 2013-2014 program year, the Economic Development Division authorized seven (7) new industrial and commercial Fast Track projects that will have the following economic impact:

- 711 Full-Time Permanent Jobs
- 2,985 Construction Jobs
- \$4.3 Billion Capital Investment

Innovation & Technology

The Economic Development Division partnered with the University of California, Riverside and the Port of Los Angeles to apply for and received an Innovation Hub designation from the Governor's Office of Business and Economic Development for the Inland SoCal Link iHub. The designation recognizes the County's unique link with the Port of Los Angeles designed to stimulate economic development in the inland Southern California region with international businesses. The main focus of the iHub will be to further stimulate growth of the advanced manufacturing and logistics sectors in the international marketplace.

The Inland SoCal Link iHub exhibited at the Inland Empire Manufacturer's Summit in February 2014 debuting the iHub's new look and partners.

Recycling Market Development Zone

The Division partnered with CalRecycle to expand and redesignate Riverside County as a recycling zone which allows manufacturers who use recycled products or reduce waste to take advantage of a low-interest loan program for a variety of uses. The new zone includes 25 of the county's 28 cities (up from eight) and all county unincorporated areas.

Business Seminar Series

Assisting business access the myriad services available to them is a key principle of the division's goals and objectives. Economic Development launched its business seminar series by partnering with the State of California Board of Equalization to deliver topical seminars on Sales and Use Tax compliance.

The first seminar in Murrieta attracted over forty business owners who learned about basic Sales and Use Tax rules and regulations. Upcoming seminars will focus on assisting food and beverage businesses better understand State guidelines.

Strategic Partnerships & Organizational Leadership

Riverside County's involvement has forged critical partnerships with internal and external partners that allow it to be on the forefront of economic development activity at the state and local level. Involvement in these organizations yields tremendous benefit to the county in the form of lead generation, policy formulation, and capacity building. Because of its expertise in Economic Development, EDA is frequently sought to participate in strategy development and planning with a number of statewide and local organizations Partners include:

- Governor's Office of Business and Economic Development
- Team California
- California Association for Local Economic Development
- Inland Empire Economic Partnership
- Corporate Real Estate Network
- Industrial Asset Management Council
- California Association for Local Economic Development
- National Association of Industrial & Office Properties, Inland Empire Chapter
- Economic Development Corporation of Southwest California
- Coachella Valley Economic Partnership
- Inland Empire Economic Partnership

Economic Development Capacity Building

The Division contributed over \$200,000 to the following regional and specialized agencies to provide additional programs and services that reinforce EDA's economic development objectives:

- Inland Empire Small Business Development Center
- Coachella Valley Enterprise Zone
- Palm Springs / Desert Resorts Convention & Visitors Bureau
- Temecula Valley Wine Growers Association
- Temecula Valley Balloon & Wine Festival Association
- 46th District Agriculture Association
- Coachella Valley Economic Partnership
- Tri-Tech Small Business Development Center
- Inland Empire Film Commission
- Cal State San Bernardino Spirit of the Entrepreneur Awards
- Cal State San Bernardino Report on Business
- Inland Empire Economic Partnership

The Riverside County Workforce Development Centers also achieved the following:

- Provided 62,027 job seekers with staff assisted services and accessed rivcojobs.com to receive virtual services
- Provided 1,282 offenders with job counseling services through the re-entry program at the Banning Correctional Facility.
- Assisted 1,022 youths, through a Year Round Program, with educational assistance and preparation for entering the workforce.

Activity Type	Jurisdiction/ Unincorporated Area 2013/14	2009-2014 <u>Con Plan</u> Objectives/Proposed FY 2013/14 (<u>IDIS Funded)</u> Objectives/Proposed	2009-2014 <i>YTD</i> <u>Actual</u> Accomplishments FY 2013/14 Actual Accomplishments	2013/14 CDBG Expended	2009-14 <i>YTD</i> CDBG Expended
ED - Direct Financial Assistance to For-Profit Businesses (18A)		5-Year: 2 Businesses 250 Jobs FY 13/14: 0 Businesses	5-Year YTD: 0 Businesses FY 13/14: 0 Businesses	\$0	\$0 (2010-2012 total expended was in error reported as 18A, should have been 18B, corrected in FY 2012/13 Year)
ED – Technical Assistance to for-profit businesses (18B)		5-Year: 0 Businesses FY 13/14: 0 Businesses	5-Year YTD: 33 Businesses FY 13/14: 0 Businesses	\$0	\$292,255
ED-Micro- Enterprise Assistance (18C)	District 4	5-Year: 0 Businesses FY 13/14: 12 Business	5-Year YTD: 42 Businesses FY 13/14: 8 Businesses (1 Activity)	\$12,500	\$78,447
TOTAL		5-Year: 2 Businesses 250 Jobs FY 13/14: 12 Businesses	5-YearYTD: 75 Businesses FY 13/14: 8 Businesses (1 Activity Completed)	\$12,500	\$370,702

Economic Development Activities (CDBG FUNDED)

Geographic Distribution: Refer to map (Appendix A)

During the 2013-2014 program year, the County and sub-recipients expended approximately \$12,500 on Economic Development projects. There was one (1) active project that was funded in 2013-14; one (1) project was completed assisting 8 businesses.

3. CDBG - Public Facility Improvements and Infrastructure Improvements

Five-Year Consolidated Plan Public Facility Priorities:

The County's public facility priorities are to construct, reconstruct, rehabilitate, or install public facilities and improvements for the primary benefit of low-income persons. Facilities include:

- Senior Centers
- Centers for the Disabled and Handicapped
- Facilities for Homeless and AIDS Patients (not operating costs)
- Youth Centers
- Neighborhood Facilities
- Parks and Recreational Facilities
- Child Care Centers
- Health Facilities
- Fire Station Improvements
- Facilities for Abused and Neglected Children
- Lead-based Paint Removal
- Non-Residential Historic Preservation

Five-Year Consolidated Plan Infrastructure Priorities:

To effectively identify and improve Riverside County's public infrastructure and to assist and benefit low-income persons through Community and Economic Development, the County will utilize organizations in the private and public sector to develop strategies to address the following:

- Flood Drain Improvements
- Water and Sewer Improvements
- Solid Waste Disposal Improvements
- Street Improvements
- Sidewalks
- Removal of Architectural Barriers

Five-Year Public Facilities Objectives:

Public facility investments can increase access to support services and lead to better coordination among service providers. Objectives established to meet priority needs include:

- Provide or expand public facilities and community centers, to include those that serve special needs, such as child care centers, senior centers, youth centers, park and recreation facilities, neighborhood facilities, health facilities, facilities for abused and neglected children, and facilities for AIDS patients.
- Develop multi-agency, multi-service centers to deliver services more efficiently and effectively.

Five-Year Infrastructure Improvement Objectives:

Maintain quality and adequate infrastructure, and ensure access for the mobility impaired. Objectives established to meet priority needs include:

- Construct, improve, or replace infrastructure such as curbs, gutters, sidewalks, water/sewer, and flood drains in lower-income areas to improve community health and safety.
- Provide street and sidewalk repairs to increase safety and access in lower-income neighborhoods.
- Increase community access through ADA improvements.

During the 2013-2014 program year, the County and sub-recipients expended approximately \$2,027,164 on Public Facility projects. There were fifty-four (54) active projects, thirty (30) were 2013-14 funded projects; seven-teen (17) of the active projects were completed. Activities included senior centers, neighborhood facilities, parks and recreation facilities, sidewalk and street improvements, childcare facilities, facilities for AIDS patients, ADA upgrades, and others. These services were distributed throughout the County and cooperating cities. These projects met a National Objective of the CDBG program through benefit to low-moderate income areas (LMA), low-moderate income persons (LMC), and slum-blight area (SBA).

		innastructure Project	(()))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))		
Activity Type	Jurisdiction/ Unincorporated Area 2013– 2014 Projects	2009-2014 <i>Con Plan</i> Objectives/Proposed FY 2013/14 (IDIS Funded) Objectives/Proposed	2009-2014 YTD Actual Accomplishments FY 2013/14 Actual Accomplishments (Includes LMC/LMA individuals)	2013/14 CDBG Expended	2009-14 <i>YTD</i> CDBG Expended
Public Facilities and Improvements (Other) (03)	Districts 1, 4 & 5 Countywide Cities of: Canyon Lake & Norco	5-Year: 30 Facilities/Projects FY 13-14: 11 Facilities/Projects	5-Year YTD: 29 Facilities/Projects FY 13-14: 6 Facilities/Projects	\$470,700	\$5,752,870
Senior Centers (03A)	Districts 2 & 3 Cities of: Murrieta & Indian Wells	5-Year: 5 Facilities/Projects FY 13-14: 4 Facilities/Projects	5-Year YTD: 7 Facilities/Projects FY 12-13: 1 Facilities/Projects	\$148,834	\$2,004,231
Handicapped Centers (03B)	Districts 3 & 4	5-Year: 6 Facilities/Projects FY 13-14: 2 Facilities/Projects	5-Year YTD: 6 Facilities/Projects FY 13-14: 1 Facilities/Projects	\$52,421	\$266,673

Public Facility/Infrastructure Projects (CDBG FUNDED)

	Jurisdiction/	2009-2014 Con Plan	2009-2014 YTD Actual		
	Unincorporated	Objectives/Proposed	Accomplishments		2009-14 YTD
Activity Type	Area	FY 2013/14	FV 2012/14 Actual	2013/14 CDBG Expended	CDBG
	2013– 2014	(IDIS Funded)	FY 2013/14 Actual Accomplishments	Lapended	Expended
	Projects	Objectives/Proposed	(Includes LMC/LMA		
			individuals)		
		5-Year:	5-Year YTD:		
Homeless		2 Facilities/Projects	0 Facilities/Projects	\$0	\$0
Facilities (03C)		FY 13-14:	FY 13-14:		
		0 Facilities/Projects	0 Facilities/Projects		
		5-Year:	5-Year YTD:		
Youth Centers		7 Facilities/Projects	1 Facilities/Projects	\$0	\$20,000
(03D)		FY 13-14:	FY 13-14:	ΨŬ	\$20,000
		0 Facilities/Projects	0 Facilities/Projects		
	Districts 4 & 5	5-Year:	5-Year YTD:		
Neighborhood	Countywide	10 Facilities/Projects	10 Facilities/Projects	\$328,994	\$6,228,432
Facilities (03E)	Cities of: Blythe &	FY 13-14:	FY 13-14:	¥320,334	\$0,∠∠0,432
	Beaumont	4 Facilities/Projects	1 Facility/Project		
		5-Year:	5-Year YTD:		
Parks/Recreation	Districts 4 & 5	25 Facilities/Projects	19 Facilities/Projects	\$331,509	¢2,000,272
al Facilities (03F)	Cities of: Banning, Blythe, Coachella	FY 13-14:	FY 13-14:	\$221,203	\$2,000,272
	Valley & Lake Elsinore	2 Facilities/Projects	3 Facilities/Projects		
		5-Year:	5-Year YTD:		
Parking Facilities		1 Facilities/Projects	2 Facilities/Projects	¢o	¢251 104
(03G)		FY 13-14:	FY 13-14:	\$0	\$351,184
		0 Facilities/Projects	0 Facilities/Projects		
		5-Year:	5-Year YTD:		
Flood Drainage Improvements		2 Projects	0 Facilities/Projects	\$0	\$0
(03I)		FY 13-14:	FY 13-14:	ψυ	ΨΟ
		0 Projects	0 Project		

Activity Type Water/Sewer Improvements (03J)	Jurisdiction/ Unincorporated Area 2013– 2014 Projects	2009-2014 <i>Con Plan</i> Objectives/Proposed FY 2013/14 (IDIS Funded) Objectives/Proposed 5-Year: 6 Projects FY 13-14: 0 Projects	2009-2014 <i>YTD</i> Actual Accomplishments FY 2013/14 Actual Accomplishments (Includes LMC/LMA individuals) 5-Year YTD: 2 Projects FY 13-14: 0 Projects	2013/14 CDBG Expended \$0	2009-14 <i>YTD</i> CDBG Expended \$439,283
Street Improvements (03K)	Districts 1 & 3 Cities of: Beaumont, San Jacinto & Wildomar	5-Year: 17 Projects FY 13-14: 3 Projects	5-Year YTD: 12 Projects FY 13-14: 1 Project	\$405,423	\$2,685,380
Sidewalks (03L)	Cities of: Eastvale, Lake Elsinore & La Quinta	5-Year: 10 Projects FY 13-14: 3 Projects	5-Year YTD: 6 Projects FY 13-14: 1 Project	\$185,933	\$794,639
Childcare Centers (03M)		5-Year: 7 Facilities/Projects FY 13-14: 0 Facilities/Projects	5-Year YTD: 8 Facilities/Projects FY 13-14: 2 Facilities/Projects	\$13,350	\$155,460
Fire Station Improvements (03O)		5-Year: 2 Facilities/Projects FY 12-13: 0 Facilities/Projects	5-Year YTD: 0 Facilities/Projects FY 12-13: 0 Facilities/Projects	\$0	\$0
Health Facility (03P)		5-Year: 5 Facilities/Projects FY 12-13: 0 Facilities/Projects	5-Year YTD: 2 Facilities/Projects FY 12-13: 0 Facilities/Projects	\$0	\$86,910

Activity Type	Jurisdiction/ Unincorporated Area 2013– 2014 Projects	2009-2014 <i>Con Plan</i> Objectives/Proposed FY 2013/14 (IDIS Funded) Objectives/Proposed	2009-2014 <i>YTD</i> Actual Accomplishments FY 2013/14 Actual Accomplishments (Includes LMC/LMA individuals)	2013/14 CDBG Expended	2009-14 <i>YTD</i> CDBG Expended
Facilities for Aides Patients (Not operating Expenses) (03S)	District 4	5-Year: 2 Facilities/Projects FY 12-13: 1 Facility/Project	5-Year: YTD 5 Facilities/Projects FY 12-13: 1 Facilities/Projects	\$90,000	\$327,057
TOTALS		5-Year: 137 Facilities/Projects FY 13-14: 30 Facilities/Projects	5-Year YTD: 109 Facilities/Projects FY 13-14: 17 Facilities/Projects	\$2,027,164	\$21,112,391

Geographic Distribution: Refer to map (Appendix A)

Other Projects:

It was proposed that there would be five (5) <u>Acquisition Projects</u> during the 2009-2014 Five Year Consolidated Plan period. In FY 2013-14 the County did <u>not</u> acquire any real property or public facilities with CDBG funds. YTD, there has been one (1) acquisition completed in FY 2009-10 for \$231,860. This acquisition benefited 5,360 persons in the low-mod area commonly known as the Whitewater Neighborhood in Cathedral City.

It was proposed there would be no <u>Clearance/Demolition Projects</u> during the 2009-2014 Five Year Consolidated Plan period however, in FY 2012-13 there were two (2) high priority need projects funded. In FY 2013-14 the Clearance/Demolition Projects previously underway were completed expending \$67,140 in CDBG funds. Costs were associated with the demolition for both the old Mecca Fire Station and Oasis Fire Station. These projects benefited 16,658 persons in the low-income communities of Mecca and Oasis.

5. CDBG - Code Enforcement

Five-Year Consolidated Plan Code Enforcement Priorities:

Code Enforcement is a priority community development need, especially in the lower-income and blighted target areas throughout the County. Code Enforcement priorities consist of inspecting substandard structures that have been determined to be uninhabitable and pose a threat to public health and safety in deteriorated areas. The County continues to provide comprehensive code enforcement programs through the Code Enforcement Department's Neighborhood Enforcement Teams. Code enforcement together with public/private sector improvements are expected to reverse the decline in these areas.

Enforcing local codes is important because:

- It helps maintain safe communities and contributes to community pride and enjoyment.
- It helps build/maintain property values.
- Enforcement can act as a force multiplier for law enforcement, Environmental Health, Fire, Animal Control, etc.
- It serves to educate the public about ordinances and laws affecting their properties.
- It helps to maintain and continue development of a jurisdiction.
- It protects property owners' rights to enjoy their property without illegal infringement from surrounding properties.

Five-Year Code Enforcement Objectives:

The Code Enforcement division is responsible for enforcing over fifteen (15) County ordinances relating to public nuisances, zoning violations, and other issues relevant to the needs of the unincorporated communities. The goals of the Code Enforcement Division are: "to maintain enforcement responsiveness that reflects public needs and concerns regarding health and safety, and to provide uniform, effective, and timely code enforcement services to unincorporated Riverside County."

Overall, code enforcement is a vital tool in establishing the identity and value of a community. Most people wish to live in a nice and safe neighborhood, and enforcement of local ordinances sets standards for a community and aids in establishing and maintaining the quality of life desired by residents.

For the 2013-2014 program year, approximately \$626,174 was expended on Code Enforcement projects. In FY 2013-14 <u>CDBG funds</u> were allocated to four (4) projects for direct code enforcement activities; there were six (6) active projects of which a total of four (4) projects were completed.

(CDBG FONDED)						
Activity Type	Jurisdiction/ Unincorporated Area 2013 – 2014 Projects	2009-2014 Con Plan Objectives/Proposed FY 2013/14 (IDIS Funded) Objectives/Proposed	2009-2014 YTD Actual Accomplishments FY 2013/14 Actual Accomplishments	2013/14 CDBG Expended	2009-14 <i>YTD</i> CDBG Expended	
Code Enforcement (15)	Countywide Cities of: Lake Elsinore, Coachella Valley & Wildomar	5-Year: 5 Projects 90,070 Persons FY 13/14: 4 Projects 148,342 Persons	5-Year: 20 Projects YTD 439,620 Persons YTD FY 13/14: 4 Projects 110,797 Persons	\$626,174	\$2,422,159	

Code Enforcement Programs/Activities (CDBG FUNDED)

Geographic Distribution: Refer to map (Appendix A)

The program provides for code enforcement activities located within the participating cities and unincorporated areas of the County's low to moderate income and blight-designated census tract/target areas to ensure the health and safety of residents.

Community Impact Plans-Code Enforcement

CDBG funds were used to cover costs incurred for the inspection and enforcement of code violations, which include salaries and related expenses of code enforcement officers to deter code violations in low-mod communities. Code officers delivered their skills and knowledge of prevention, education, and enforcement under eligible Community Impact Plan areas in Riverside County's Five Supervisorial Districts. Final reports demonstrate that as a result of this program, a total of 2,378 cases were opened; 2,292 Code cases were closed; 5,723 Inspections were performed; 449 Administrative Citations were issued; 1,125 Notice of Violations were issued, 21 voluntary abatements completed, and 107 proactive operations were performed. The types of code violations reported included, but were not limited to: *Accumulated Rubbish, Substandard Structures, Graffiti, Illegal Dumping, Illegal Parking; Excessive Animals; Unpermitted Fencing, Shipping Containers, Grading/Stockpiling, Illegal Land use or Occupancy, Substandard Mobile Homes, Occupies Recreational Vehicles, Construction without Permits, Blight, and Illegal posting of Signs.*

Communities	Cases Open	Cases Closed	Property Inspections	Administrative Citations	Notices of Violations	Property Abatements	Proactive Community Operations
Mead Valley, Good Hope, Meadowbrook, Lakeland Village, Home Gardens, Highgrove, Anza, Green Acres, Valle Vista, Ripley, Sky Valley, Thousand Palms, Desert Hot Springs, Mecca, Thermal, Northshore, Oasis, Mesa Verde, Cabazon,	2,378	2,292	5,723	449	1,125	21	107

6. CDBG - Interim Assistance

Five-Year Consolidated Plan Interim Assistance Priorities:

Limited improvements will be made to areas with determinable signs of physical deterioration when the improvements are intended to arrest deterioration prior to permanent improvements being made or when the activity will alleviate conditions threatening public health and safety. These include special neighborhood clean-up campaigns to help arrest the accumulation of bulky items, debris, and dangerous conditions within targeted low-income communities in Riverside County.

Five-Year Interim Assistance Objectives:

The County is continuing its commitment of CDBG funds to support a *Special Neighborhood Clean-Up Campaign* through the Neighborhood Enhancement Unit (NEU). NEU helps residents create a safer living environment, remove blight, and take an active role in the improvement of their community. The NEU works with residents, government agencies, non-profit organizations, and for profit businesses to provide educational materials and resources for: community cleanups, Spanish/English workshops, school assemblies, and community information fairs. They attend community meetings and participate with other County departments in answering questions and providing information on health and safety ordinances, property maintenance, childcare, emergency assistance, and foreclosure prevention.

Residents regularly contact NEU for help in finding programs available for the removal of unwanted vehicles, mobile homes, and accumulated debris and rubbish. NEU Specialists not only work closely with residents but also with the Code Enforcement officers in the field to help

with these issues. Partnering with many agencies, NEU staff refers residents to some of the following resources and agencies:

- Board of Supervisors' staff
- Economic Development Agency
- Community Action Partnership
- Environmental Health
- Building and Safety
- Transportation Department
- Animal Services
- Office on Aging
- Workforce Development Center
- Adult Protective Services
- C.A.R.E. (Curtailing Abuse Relating to the Elderly)
- Riverside County Waste Management
- Community Centers/Leaders
- Non-profit Organizations

For the 2013-2014 program year, approximately \$484,967 was expended on Interim Assistance projects; there were four (4) active projects; two (2) of the active projects were completed. In 2013-14 <u>CDBG funds</u> were allocated to two (2) Interim Assistance projects.

Interim Assistance Programs/Activities
(CDBG FUNDED)

Activity Type	Jurisdiction/ Unincorporated Area 2013 – 2014 Projects	2009-2014 <i>Con Plan</i> Objectives/Proposed FY 2013/14 <u>(IDIS Funded)</u> Objectives/Proposed	2009-2014 YTD Actual Accomplishments FY 2013/14 Actual Accomplishments	2013/14 CDBG Expended	2009-14 <i>YTD</i> CDBG Expended
Interim Assistance	Countywide	5-Year:	5-Year:		
	& City of Wildomar	30 Projects	13 Projects		
(06)		587,910 Persons	427,791 Persons	\$484,967	\$3,175,409
		FY 13/14:	FY 13/14:	<i><i><i>q</i></i> 10 1,507</i>	<i>\$3,173,103</i>
		2 Projects	2 Projects		
		98,724 Persons	188,639 Persons		

Geographic Distribution: Refer to map (Appendix A)

Neighborhood Enhancement activities and programs implemented during FY 2013-2014 included community beautification projects, community group-coordinated cleanup events, as well as adult and youth illegal dump-sites cleanup days. Activities and events were successfully completed in all five supervisorial districts with a total of 75 properties assisted, 32 properties currently receiving cleanup assistance, 8 tire events completed, 4 Youth Force and 2 Enhancement events completed, for a total of 195 tons of waste and 6,007 tires collected.

Neighborhood Enhancement Unit (NEU) Activities and Programs

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds were used to conduct Neighborhood Enhancement activities and programs including community beautification projects, community group-coordinated cleanup events, as well as adult and youth illegal dump-sites cleanup days, all of which are part of a comprehensive cleanup effort in low-income Riverside County communities. In FY 2013-14, activities and events were successfully completed in all five supervisorial districts with a total of 133 properties assisted, 41 properties currently receiving cleanup assistance, 21 substandard buildings removed, 8 Youth Force events, and 18 Enhancement events completed for a total of 760 tons of waste and 8,489 tires collected.

District	<u>Properties</u> Assisted	<u>Active</u> <u>Properties</u> Assisted	<u>Youth</u> <u>Force</u> Events	Enhancement Days	Tons Collected	Tires Collected	<u>Substandard</u> <u>Buildings</u> Removed
1	49	25	NA	6	588	4440	9
2	13	3	NA	2	NA	483	1
3	19	6	NA	2	NA	2441	6
4	8	6	8	7	172	2928	5
5	44	1	NA	1	NA	115	0
TOTAL	133	41	8	18	760	10407	21

NEU staff continues to provide the resources and tools for residents so they can voluntarily comply with ordinances and their communities can continue to improve and thrive in a self-sufficient manner.

7. CDBG - Public Services

Five-Year Consolidated Plan Public Service Priorities:

The main purpose is to provide the necessary financial and technical resources to support the establishment and expansion of a variety of public service activities for the primary benefit of low-income persons. Consistent with this objective, the following are the County's Consolidated Plan Public Service Priorities:

- General Public Services
- Senior Services

- Services for Disabled and Handicapped
- Youth Services
- Transportation Services
- Substance Abuse Services
- Battered and Abused Spouses
- Child Care Services
- Health Services
- Abused and Neglected Children
- Mental Health Services

Five-Year Public Services Objectives:

The County's overall objective is to ensure that opportunities and services are provided to improve the quality of life and independence for lower-income persons and ensure access to programs that are related to a variety of social concerns. The County's five-year objectives are to establish, improve, and expand existing public services. The following are the specific five-year objectives:

- Services for at-risk youth
- Services for seniors, and disabled persons including transportation services
- Child care and services for abused and neglected children
- Services for victims of domestic violence and/or abuse.
- Health and substance abuse services
- Operating costs for homeless/AIDS patients programs
- Employment services

During the 2013-2014 program year, the County and sub-recipients expended approximately \$1,189,646 on *Public Service* activities. There were fifty-one (51) active and completed activities. Activities included programs for the homeless, seniors, youth, abused children, services for disabled, substance abuse, childcare, health services, services for battered and abused spouses, and others. These services were provided throughout the County and cooperating cities. These projects met a National Objective of the CDBG program through benefit to low-moderate income areas (LMA) and low-moderate income persons (LMC).

In compliance with HUD's 15% Public Service CAP limitation of \$1,189,708, \$1,189,646 was expended in FY 2013-2014 and \$62 of the 15% CAP was not expended on Public Service activities.

2013-2014 Public Service CAP Calculation: \$1,189,708 15% of 2013/14 CDBG Grant (\$7,562,655) = \$1,134,398 15% of PY (2012/13) Program Income (\$368,734) = \$55,310

Public Service Programs/Activities (CDBG FUNDED)

Objectives/Accomplishments						
Activity Type	Jurisdiction/ Unincorporated Area 2013 – 2014 Projects	2009-2014 Con Plan Objectives/ Proposed FY 2013/14 (IDIS Funded) Objectives/ Proposed	2009-2014 YTD Actual Accomplishments FY 2013/14 Actual Accomplishments (Includes LMC/LMA individuals)	2013/14 CDBG Expended	2009-14 <i>YTD</i> CDBG Expended	
Public Services	Districts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	5-Year:	5-Year:			
(Other) (05)	County wide City of: La Quinta	137,245 persons FY 13/14: 181,356 persons	614,123 persons FY 12/13: 181,747 persons	\$581,750	\$3,286,308	
Public Service Operating Costs of Homeless/ AIDS Patients Programs (03T)	Districts 1 & 4 City of: Lake Elsinore	5-Year: 1,750 persons FY 13/14: 676 persons	5-Year: 2,377 persons FY 13/14: 732 persons	\$93,206	\$238,595	
Senior Services (05A)	Districts 1, 3, 4, & 5 Countywide City of: Norco	5-Year: 20,000 persons FY 13/14: 8,749 persons	5-Year: 27,039 persons FY 13/14: 8,749 persons	\$379,808	\$1,766,294	
Handicapped Services (05B)	Districts 3 & 4	5-Year: 1,400 persons FY 13/14: 1,204 persons	5-Year: 2,320 persons FY 13/14: 1,227 persons	\$14,717	\$244,271	
Youth Services (05D)	Countywide Cities of: Eastvale, Lake Elsinore & Murrieta	5-Year: 15,314 persons FY 13/14: 145 persons	5-Year: 516 persons FY 13/14: 160 persons	\$48,493	\$167,859	

Substance	District 4	5-Year:	5-Year:		
Abuse Services (05F)		1,125 persons	228 persons		
(001)		FY 13/14:	FY 13/14:	\$4,000	\$64,236
		12 persons	14 persons		
Battered/Abuse d Services (05G)	District 1	5-Year:	5-Year:		
	City of: Murrieta	5,720 persons	3,238 persons	\$11,672	\$142,851
		FY 13/14:	FY 13/14:		
		750 persons	1,232 persons		
Childcare		5-Year:	5-Year:		
Services (05L)	City of Beaumont	235 persons	310 persons	¢10.000	¢ C 0 1 2 7
		FY 13/14:	FY 13/14:	\$10,000	\$68,127
		25 persons	39 persons		
Health Services		5-Year:	5-Year:		
(05M)	City of: Murrieta	79,953 persons	672 persons		
		FY 13/14:	FY 13/14:	\$5,000	\$77,443
		100 persons	29 persons		
Abused/Neglecte		5-Year:	5-Year:		
d Services (05N)	District 3	1,199 persons	541 persons		
	Countywide	FY 13/14:	FY 13/14:	\$31,000	\$202,570
		126 Persons	75 persons		
Mental Health		5-Year:	5-Year:		
Services (05O)	District 4	0 persons	294 persons		
		FY 13/14:	FY 13/14:	\$10,000	\$26,533
		140 persons	123 persons		
TOTALS		5-Year:	5-Year:		
		263,941 persons	651,658 persons		
		FY 13/14:	FY 13/14:	\$1,189,646	\$6,285,087
		193,283 persons	194,127 persons		

Geographic Distribution: Refer to map (Appendix A)

Displacement and Relocation Activities

During the 2013-2014 program year, no CDBG, HOME, or ESG funded activities resulted in the displacement or relocation of persons or businesses. Consequently, there were no Uniform Relocation Act or Section 104(d) compliance requirements.

III.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

	*CDBG	HOME
Race:	(Based on IDIS generated PR23 report)	
White	217,060	217
Black/African American	19,995	5
Asian	9,185	0
American Indian/Alaskan Native	3,819	1
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	596	1
American Indian/Alaskan Native & White	270	0
Asian & White	61	0
Black/African American & White	157	0
Amer. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African	93	0
Other: Multi-Race	55,760	12
Total by Race:	306,996	236
Ethnicity:		
Hispanic	98,358	217
Not Hispanic	208,638	19
Total by Ethnicity:	306,996	236

Table 1 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

Community Profile Housing Act

Income

According to the 2010 American Community Survey (ACS) 1 year estimates, median household income in Riverside County was \$54,296. The 2012 ACS 1 year estimate for Median Household Income for the County was \$52,621.

HUD's Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) reports the following household income data for the County's CPD program area using 2007-2011 ACS:

- 11% of total households are Extremely Low Income (0 30% AMI)
- 13% of total households are Very Low Income (31 50% AMI); and
- 17% of total households are Low Income (51 80% AMI).

According to the CDBG Financial Summary Report (PR26) generated by HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS), FY 2013-2014 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report Appendix C, 100% percent of the County's CDBG expenditures went toward satisfying the national objective of serving persons of low- and moderate-income.

The percentage calculation of the total beneficiaries provided CDBG funded services during the 2013-2014 Program Year is based on the following:

Percent benefit to low/mod persons	100%
Less Slum-blight Expenditures:	<u>\$0</u>
Activities qualifying as low/mod Expenditures:	\$4,556,269
Total Expenditures:	\$6,061,880
Less Admin Expenditures:	<u>\$1,505,611</u>
Amount subject to low/mod benefit calculation:	\$4,556,269

Race/Ethnicity Characteristics

According to the 2010 Census, 40% of County residents are White,45.4% Hispanic, 6% Black/African American, 5.7% Asian, and 3.2% other races. From 2000 to 2010, the proportion of Hispanic residents in the County increased by nearly 10%, while the proportion of White residents declined by about 11% over the same period. The cities of Blythe, Coachella, Desert Hot Springs, Lake Elsinore and San Jacinto had significant Hispanic concentrations within the County's CPD area. Banning, Blythe and Desert Hot Springs and Norco had Black/African American populations greater than the county-wide proportion.

The County is committed to allocating CDBG, HOME, and ESG funds to address community development needs of the lowest-income and most disadvantaged residents based upon the individual eligibility requirements of the specific CPD program. Assistance will be targeted to populations residing in areas of low-income and minority concentrations. However, while projects and programs will target low-income and minority populations, they will not necessarily be limited geographically to areas where these populations are concentrated. Some service providers are not located in low/moderate-income census tracts; however, their services are designed and intended for low/moderated income clients. These may include clinics, food pantries, senior centers, health care facilities, etc.

IV.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Table 2 – Resources and Expenditures					
Source of Funds	Source	Expected Amount Available Program Year 2013-14 (Based on 13-14 One Year Action Plan)	Total Funds Available (Based on HUD Forms-4949/PI Receipted)	Actual Amount Expended in Program Year 2013-14	
CDBG- Entitlement	Federal Grant				
(Includes Metro City)	Funds	\$7,562,655	\$14,928,313	\$5,509,220	
CDBG PI	Program Income	\$46,573	\$552,393	\$552,660	
HOME - Entitlement	Federal Grant				
	Funds	\$1,666,308	\$7,854,618	\$3,382,347	
HOME PI	Program Income	\$67,437	\$661,974	\$114,048	
HOPWA		\$0	\$0	\$0	
ESG		\$472,464	\$898,997	\$809,556	
TOTAL		\$9,815,437	\$24,896,295	\$10,367,831	

Table 2 – Resources and Expenditures

Narrative

Use of Program Income

CDBG Program Income is generated from previously funded CDBG activities. The County of Riverside (Economic Development Agency) CDBG <u>program income</u> earned is allocated based on HUD's unrestricted program income requirements. The County of Riverside also ensures that all program income shall be substantially disbursed for eligible activities before voucher requests

and additional cash withdrawals are made from the U.S. Treasury. Both of these requirements are provided in <u>§570.504(b)(2)(ii)</u>. During the 2013-2014 program year, the County received \$552,393 in program income.

To ensure timely expenditure of the 2013-2014 program income, the County allocated \$552,660, which included a carry-over balance of \$267.20 from 2012-13, to thirty-four (34) new and/or existing CDBG projects throughout the program year. The activities funded with the program income included:

Public Facilities and Improvements	03	\$5,884.23
Senior Centers	03A	\$50.00
Handicapped Centers	03B	\$31,321.00
Neighborhood Facilities	03E	\$121,442.07
Parks, Recreational Facilities	03F	\$70,909.97
Street Improvements	03K	\$ 9,103.81
Operating Cost of Homeless/Aids Patients		
Program	03T	\$8,250.00
Public Services	05	\$51,530.99
Senior Services	05A	\$35,740.10
Handicapped Services	05B	\$1,436.29
Battered and Abused Spouses	05G	\$2,324.64
Abused and Neglected Children	05N	\$5,000.00
Mental Health Services	05O	\$2,085.01
Interim Assistance	06	\$43,842.14
Rehad: Single-Unit Residential	14A	\$4,456.00
Code Enforcement	15	\$16,095.00
Micro-Enterprise Assistance	18C	\$ 3,994.84
General Program Administration	21A	\$110,136.29
Fair Housing Activities	21D	29,057.77
Total		\$552,660

There were \$0 remaining funds to obligate to projects during the 2013-2014 program year and expended in the 2014-2015 program year.

During the 2013/2014 program year, the total amount of program income (PI) received for <u>HOME</u> was \$661,974. Of this amount, \$114,048 funded the First Time Home Buyer (FTHB) program.

CDBG Housing Loan Fund

The Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Housing Loan Fund (HLF) is a revolving loan fund which provides low interest and gap financing to private entity projects that foster the County's efforts to achieve the five (5) housing goals outlined in the County of Riverside

Consolidated Plan. Program Income, as derived from loan repayment, is used to fund additional unspecified Housing Loan Fund projects. There were no reported activities during the fiscal year of 2013-2014.

Compliance with National Objectives

Local community development activities must be designed to address one or more of the three national objectives:

- Eliminate slums and blight and blighting influences;
- Benefit low to moderate income persons and neighborhoods;
- Meet other urgent community needs imposing an immediate threat to safety and health.

1. Low/Moderate Income Area (LMA)

During the 2013-2014 program year, the County had forty-three (43) active activities and completed twenty-two (22) that met the National Objective of benefiting low and moderate-income areas [24 CFR 570.208 (a)(1)]. A total of \$2,781,380 of CDBG funds were expended during the program year for these activities. The activities completed included eight (8) public service activities, four (4) code enforcement activities, two (2) Interim Assistance, two (2) clearance/demolition, and six (6) public facility/infrastructure activities.

2. Low/Moderate Income Limited Clientele (LMC)

During the 2013-2014 program year, the County had seventy-four (74) active activities and completed fifty-four (54) public service and public facility activities that met a National Objective of the CDBG program under the LMC category. The total amount expended for these activities was \$1,613,712. Completed activities benefited more than 306,988 persons.

Of the total activities above, there were forty (40) active activities that served persons that were "presumed" to be low-income, twenty-five (25) were completed. The presumed benefit category includes homeless, seniors, victims of domestic violence, migrant farm workers, severely disabled adults, persons with aids, and abused children. A total of \$1,175,643 was expended for these activities, and approximately 287,659 persons were served.

The other "non-presumed" LMC activities expended \$438,069 in CDBG funds and benefited more than 19,329 persons.

3. Slum/Blight Area (SBA) and Slum/Blight Spot (SBS) Benefit

During the 2013-2014 program year, the County had no active SBA activity therefore there were none completed. No funds were expended for the purpose of addressing prevention or the elimination of slums or blight in a designated area.

4. Low/Moderate Housing Benefit (LMH)

During the 2013-2014 program year, the County had twenty-two (22) active LMH activities and completed twenty-two (22). A total of \$148,677 was expended for the purpose of improving permanent residential structures that will be occupied by low/mod income households. Approximately twenty (20) persons benefited from these activities.

5. Low/Moderate Limited Clientele Micro-Enterprise Benefit (LMCMC)

During the 2013-2014 program year, the County had one (1) active Small Business Expansion & Job Creation activity; one (1) activity was completed. A total of \$12,500 was expended for the purpose of providing general support services to eight (8) eligible owners of micro-enterprises.

Identify the 2013-2014 geographic distribution and location of in	<u>nvestments for</u>
CDBG	

*Geographic	**Planned	***Actual	Narrative
Distribution	Percentage of Allocation	Percentage of Allocation	Description
County-wide	33.61%	15.31%	These include projects throughout the County that benefit both the unincorporated communities and cooperating cities.
District 1, Cooperating Cities & Metro City	11.82%	27.04%	These include projects that benefit the unincorporated communities and the cities of Lake Elsinore (metro City), Wildomar, and Canyon Lake in far western region of Riverside County.
District 2 and Cooperating Cities	7.58%	7.32%	These include projects that benefit the unincorporated communities and the cities of Norco, Eastvale, and Jurupa Valley in the extreme northwest region of Riverside County.
District 3 and Cooperating Cities	7.41%	12.68%	These include projects that benefit the unincorporated communities and the cities of San Jacinto and Murrieta in the south central or Mid- County region of Riverside County.
District 4 and Cooperating Cities	9.95%	13.13%	These include projects that benefit the unincorporated communities and the cities Desert Hot Springs, Coachella, Indian, Wells, La Quinta, and Blythe in the eastern Desert region of Riverside County.
District 5 and Cooperating	9.63%	8.97%	These include projects that benefit the unincorporated communities and the cities of Banning and Beaumont in the north-central region of Riverside County.
Program Administration	20.0%	15.55%	
Total *The 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan	100%	100%	

*The 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan and OYAP do not identify priority target areas per se for allocation of CDBG funds. ****Planned % allocations are based on 2013-14 OYAP Funded Projects**

***Actual based on final (FY 2013-14) IDIS report PR03 2013-14 funded projects only, includes reprogramming of funds from prior years and PI receipted in FY 2013-14

Narrative

The 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan and OYAP do not identify priority target areas *per se* for allocation of CDBG funds. However, within each Supervisorial District, Cooperating City, and Metropolitan City, priority is typically given to projects that benefit the greatest percentage of low/moderate-income persons, both as Low/Mod Area (LMA) or Low/Mod Clientele (LMC). Other factors affecting CDBG allocation priorities include project readiness, project sponsor capacity, number served, and leveraging of other resources. For FY 2013-2014, the County allocated 100% of the funding to low/moderate-income persons.

Please note, **Appendix A** provides maps that indicate the Supervisorial Districts, Cooperating Cities, and areas of minority concentrations. Furthermore, the funding allocation tables found in previous sections of this document indicate the *geographic distribution* of CPD funds by Supervisorial District or "*county-wide*" that can be compared to the corresponding maps in **Appendix A**.

V.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

Leveraging Housing and Community Development Resources

As a large county, the County of Riverside has rural, suburban, and urban communities with substantial housing and community development needs. Consequently, the County emphasizes the leveraging of its CDBG, HOME, and ESG entitlement grants with a variety of funding resources in order to maximize the effectiveness of available funds. The table below indicates the resources leveraged by the County for housing and homeless activities.

HOME Program regulations require a twenty-five percent (25%) non-Federal match for every HOME dollar expended. Funds set-aside for program administration and for Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO) technical assistance/capacity building are exempt from this matching requirement. The match must be met by the end of the Federal FY in which the expenditure occurred. This requirement is not project-specific but rather program-wide. The leveraging of public and private funds is critical to the success of HOME projects. In order to provide sufficient financial support, most HOME projects require layered funding. The following is a list of some of the available funding sources that can be used in conjunction with HOME funds:

- Limited Partner Tax Credit Equity
- California Housing Finance Agency (CalHFA) funds
- California Department of Housing and Community Development Multifamily Housing Program (MHP) funds
- Federal Home Loan Bank Affordable Housing Program (AHP) funds
- U.S. Department of Agriculture
- California Department of Housing and Community Development Farm Worker Housing Grant Program
- Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) funds

Redevelopment Funding

Pursuant to California Health & Safety Code Section 34170, *et. seq.*, the County of Riverside Redevelopment Agency was officially dissolved as of February 1, 2012. As a result of that action, only obligated redevelopment funds were available as leverage for use with Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and/or Home Investment Partnership (HOME) funds.

The County of Riverside Economic Development Agency was designated as the Successor Agency of the Redevelopment Agency for the County of Riverside. The Housing Authority of the County of Riverside (HACR) was designated as the Successor Agency of the redevelopment housing functions. As of February 1, 2012, all RDA housing projects and activities were transferred to HACR.

Section 8

The Housing Authority receives Federal Rental Assistance Vouchers (Section 8) in the amount of \$81,458,877 million dollars to administer the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Rental Assistance Program authorized by the U.S. Housing Act of 1937, providing rental assistance payments to owners of private market-rate units on behalf of low-income tenants.

Source	Leveraging Amount 2013-14
Housing Authority RDA Funds	\$7,529,149
Limited Partner Tax Credit Equity	\$12,318,487
Affordable Housing Program (AHP)	\$840,000
HUD-Funded Continuum of Care8 (CoC)	\$354,937
HUD-Main Street Program	\$0
HCD Multifamily Housing Program (MHP)	\$7,405,393
HCD Joe Serna Jr. Farmworker Housing Program	\$1,000,000
United States Department of Agriculture Rural Development	\$3,685,894
California Community Reinvestment Corporation	\$1,000,000
HCD EHAP	\$1,000,000
Section 8 Vouchers, Mainstream, Moderate, Rehabilitation	\$75,576,188
Public Housing	\$2,846,839
Capital Fund Program	\$968,557
HOPWA Rental Assistance	\$1,029,503
Shelter Plus Care Program (S+C) <i>Housing</i> <i>Authority</i>	\$1,257,738

SUMMARY OF LEVERAGING RESOURCES FY 2013/2014

HUD Funded Continuum of Care (CoC) DPSS	\$8,130,478
FEMA Emergency Food & Shelter Program	
(EFSP) DPSS	\$1,029,701

Fiscal Year 2013-14 Summary – HOME Match				
1. Excess match from prior Federal FY	\$100,092,668			
2. Match contributed during current Federal FY	\$1,929,572			
3 .Total match available for current Federal FY (Line 1 plus Line 2)	\$102,022,240			
4. Match liability for current Federal FY	\$586,078			
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal FY (Line 3 minus Line 4)	\$101,436,162			

Table 3 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

HOME Grant Requirements

Distribution of HOME Funds among Identified Needs

In FY 2013-2014, the County received an allocation of \$1,666,308 in HOME funds. As required by the HOME Program, a 15% allocation of HOME funds was reserved for Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO) Set-Aside. The total CHDO Set-Aside was \$474,000. In addition, the HOME Program allows for up to 10% of each year's HOME allocation for reasonable administrative costs, planning costs, and technical assistance. The total allocation for HOME Administration and Technical Assistance was \$166,630. The balance of the HOME funds was allocated to the First Time Home Buyer (FTHB) Program and New Construction. The total allocation for New Construction was \$620,129.

For FY 2013-2014, a total of \$3,379,579 in HOME funds was expended. A total of \$891,269 was expended for New Construction, \$42,905 was expended for FTHB allocation, \$2,260,734 was expended for CHDO, and \$154,934 was expended for HOME Administration and Technical Assistance

HOME Match Report

The HOME program requires that PJ's provide match in an amount equal to no less than 25% of the total HOME funds drawn down for project costs. The State of California Mental Health Service Act Loan was the primary funding sources to provide match for the HOME program. According to the Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS) for FY 2013-2014, the

County's HOME funds requiring match was \$2,344,313. The 25% minimum HOME matching requirement was \$586,078. The County met and exceeded its match obligation with a total of \$102,022,240 in match funds. Of that amount, \$1,929,572 was contributed from the 2013-2014 program year. As a result, from the contribution amount for FY 2013-2014 alone, the County matched \$0.70 of non-federal funds for every HOME dollar spent. The excess match to be carried over to the next FY is \$101,436,162. See HUD Form 40107-A attached (Appendix B).

Contracting Opportunities for M/WBEs

The County promotes minority and women-owned business outreach through the services of bilingual professional staff members capable of discussing housing and business programs to the public. The participation of minority-owned and women-owned businesses is strongly encouraged. If needed, assistance to small contractors in obtaining bonding capacity is available from the County.

For FY 2013-2014, there were 2 HOME-funded projects completed and closed out this FY. See HUD Form 40107 attached (Appendix B).

Summary of Results of On-site Inspections of HOME Rental Units

During the 2013-2014 FY, HOME monitoring visits were conducted for various rental housing projects to ensure income accuracy and compliance with HOME rent limits.

Full on-site physical inspections were also conducted by Program Compliance staff, which included a six-step process: (1) the issuance of physical inspection notification letters, (2) the conducting of an Entrance Interview, (3) the inspection of the required percentage of HOME restricted units utilizing the Housing Quality Standards checklist form, (4) File Inspection, (5) the conducting of an Exit Interview along with the completion of the Office Checklist Form, and (6) Post Monitoring Review entailing the updating of case notes in the compliance monitoring database.

In cases where a full on-site monitoring visit was not conducted by Program Compliance staff, cursory on-site monitoring visits did not entail unit or file inspections, unless deemed necessary. Instead, the visits consisted of photos being taken, interviewing of on-site staff, the completion of the cursory site visit checklist, obtaining a current rent roll as well as any available marketing materials, and the review of the county's current project description - to ensure that the website information reflects current project statistics.

Assessment of Effectiveness of Affirmative Marketing Plans

During the reporting period, the Riverside County Economic Development Agency continued to make a concerted effort to disseminate its programs to members of minority groups living in the County of Riverside through its outreach efforts. Community outreach activities included

increased marketing efforts in venues such as CDBG, Housing, and other planning meetings in addition to other community meetings that were held throughout Riverside County. The following housing materials continue to be available in English and Spanish: First Time Homebuyer, Affordable Public Housing Program, and the Mortgage Certificate Credit program. In addition, the Mobile Home Tenant Loan (MHTL) package and applications for the mobile home park assistance loan fund are available in Spanish, as well as English. Marketing for the Neighborhood Stabilization Program homes is done through the Multi-Regional Multiple Listing Service, on the agency's website, and through community outreach opportunities.

In order to serve the County's diverse population more effectively, both the Riverside County Economic Development Agency and the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside employs 20 bilingual professional staff members capable of discussing housing and business programs with the public.

As a part of the HOME application, applicants are required to submit an approved affirmative fair marketing plan to the County of Riverside in accordance with 24 CFR§92.351 (a)(2) and this plan is reviewed annually during on-site inspections. The plan covers fair housing marketing practices and other requirements related to the solicitation of applications from persons who are not likely to apply for housing units without special outreach. Each participant is required to adhere to affirmative marketing requirement such as: the use of fair marketing logos, use of community contacts, Equal Housing opportunity logotype, the display of housing posters, and use of commercial media.

HOME MBE/WBE report

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period The full MBE/WBE Enterprises report and numbers below will be provided when the CAPER is submitted for the reporting period from October 2013 thru September 2014.

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted

	Total		Minority Pro	perty Owners		White Non-
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Amount						

Table 4 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on	Amount	Total amount	Amount	Balance on
hand at	received during	expended	expended for	hand at end of
beginning of	reporting	during	TBRA	reporting
reporting	period	reporting	\$	period
period	\$	period		\$
\$		\$		
\$16,327.97	\$661,974.40	\$111,882.74	\$0	\$565,508.07

Table 5 – Program Income

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition

Parcels Acquired	0	0
Businesses Displaced	0	0
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced	0	0
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced	0	0

Households Displaced	Total	Alaskan Native or American Indian	Ainority Prop Asian or Pacific Islander	erty Enterprise Black Non- Hispanic	s Hispanic	White Non- Hispanic
Number	0					
Cost	0					

Table 6 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

VI.

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of homeless households to	0	0
be provided affordable housing units	U	U
Number of non-homeless		
households to be provided	147	467
affordable housing units		
Number of special-needs households		
to be provided affordable housing	0	16
units		
Total	147	483

Table 7 – Number of Households

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	2	21
Very-low income	0	31
Low-income	16	6
Moderate-income	2	0
Total	20	58

Table 8 – Number of Persons Served

Narrative

Affordable housing is provided through the HOME's First Time Homebuyers Program (FTHB). For FY 2013-2014, the FTHB Program assisted a total of 6 first-time homebuyer households and expended a total of \$180,600 in FTHB HOME funds. Six low-income households were assisted. One household purchased a new home and 5 households purchased existing homes. The average assistance per household was \$30,100. The average home purchase price was \$150,500. The average first mortgage loan was \$122,192. American Dream Down-payment Initiative (ADDI) funding was not available to be utilized for closing costs towards the purchase of homes. FTHB projects were located throughout the County. Two households purchased a

home in Eastern Riverside County and 4 households purchased homes in Western Riverside County. Three homes were in the 3rd Supervisorial District, 2 homes were in the 4th Supervisorial District, and 1 home was in the 5th Supervisorial District.

VII.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320 (d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Actions to End Chronic Homelessness

The County of Riverside has determined that chronically-homeless persons are the highest need category within the homeless population. To ensure that the Continuum of Care has adequate resources to effectively engage chronically homeless individuals, the 10 Year Strategy has called for the following activities: expanded homeless street outreach teams to identify and engage individuals who are persistently homeless; additional emergency shelter beds which are linked to intensive case management for the chronically-homeless persons; and 500 additional units of permanent supportive housing. The County is committed to provide a range of services to address homelessness which will enable the homeless population to move steadily toward independent living. The best approach for alleviating homelessness will be through a

community-based process that provides a comprehensive response to the diverse needs of homeless persons.

Homelessness ¹

Five-Year Consolidated Plan Homelessness Priorities:

Homelessness is a concern that impacts the County as a whole. The County continues its support of many homeless shelters and programs that provide comprehensive and coordinated approaches to addressing the problem of homelessness.

The provision of shelter beds is the first step towards addressing homelessness and preventing its recurrence. Therefore, it is vital that new shelter beds be created by expansion/renovation of existing emergency shelters and construction of new emergency shelters.

The objective for funding these types of activities is to provide decent, safe, and sanitary housing by focusing on housing programs where the purpose of the program is to meet individual, family, or community needs. The outcome will be based on the availability/accessibility of these activities and services to low and moderate-income people, including persons with disabilities.

The Continuum of Care planning process identified the following significant gaps in service²:

- In 2013, the largest subpopulation of unsheltered homeless was single individuals. There was a total of 2,978 homeless individuals and 1,090 are sheltered.
- In 2013, there were 1,816 unsheltered chronically homeless individuals and 29 chronically homeless families³ on any given day in Riverside County.
- In 2013, there are 437 homeless unsheltered individuals and 84 sheltered homeless individuals with mental health problems.
- In 2013, there were 508 unsheltered and 98 sheltered homeless individuals with a chronic substance abuse.

County-wide, based on the Continuum of Care planning process, the Department of Public Social Services has identified the need for additional beds/units in the following categories⁴:

¹ Preliminary data obtained from the 2013 Homeless Point in Time (PIT) count

² Preliminary data obtained from the 2013 Homeless Point in Time (PIT) count

³ HUD revised the definition of Chronically Homeless to include families in 2011

⁴ Data from the 2014 HMIS Housing Inventory Chart (HIC)

- Emergency Shelters: there are 777 emergency shelter beds available and a need for 790 additional beds to adequately shelter homeless individuals and families
- Transitional Housing: there are currently 720 transitional beds available to individuals and families countywide; 994 additional beds are needed to adequately meet the needs of persons requiring transitional housing services.
- Permanent Supportive Housing: there are 998 beds and a need for at least 2,298 to serve the County's disabled homeless population especially the needs of chronically homeless individuals.

Based on these needs, the Department of Public Social Services has set the following priorities for homeless activities:

- 1. The highest priority will be the development of permanent supportive housing for chronically homeless individuals.
- 2. The second highest priority will be the development of housing programs and supportive services to meet the mental health and substance abuse service needs of homeless individuals and families.
- 3. The third highest priority with the be the development of homeless prevention and "housing first" programs to prevent low and extremely-low income families from falling into homelessness and significantly reduce the length of time homeless families reside in emergency and transitional housing programs.
- 4. Fourth, encourage the development of "one-stop" access centers that provide a variety of services including access to mainstream benefits under one roof.
- 5. Fifth, establish homeless street outreach teams in each supervisorial district to engage chronically homeless individuals in supportive services; respond to homeless individuals and families with immediate needs; and facilitate a seamless continuum of care which is capable of meeting the varied supportive service needs of the County's homeless residents.

The Department of Public Social Services has established chronically homeless persons as the highest need priority. The Riverside County 10 Year Strategic Plan to End Homelessness has called for the development of 500 units of permanent supportive housing dedicated to chronically homeless persons over the next five years. To facilitate this goal, all new projects seeking Continuum of Care funding must be permanent supportive housing projects.

Additionally, new projects serving exclusively the chronic homeless population are ranked the highest in the consolidated application to HUD, and therefore, most likely to receive funding in the event that the application is not fully funded.

The Department of Public Social Services will also: strengthen discharge planning with major institutions to limit the number of chronically homeless persons discharged into homelessness; increase the number of homeless street outreach teams engaging chronically homeless persons in supportive services; and increase the number of rental certificates available through the Shelter Plus Care program which provides housing and services to chronically homeless persons in a neighborhood of their choosing.

5 Year Homelessness Objectives:

During the last year of the Five-Year Consolidated Plan period the Department of Public Social Services coordinated a strategic planning initiative that included local government, public agencies, private agencies, homeless advocates, formerly homeless persons, and the business community to develop a ten year plan to end homelessness. This initiative culminated in the 2007 publication of the Riverside County 10 Year Strategy to End Homelessness which serves as the strategic plan for all homeless activities in the County of Riverside. The following Five Year Plan Objectives are consistent with this plan and unmet needs:

Preventing New Episodes of Homelessness

* Implement a county-wide homeless prevention strategy that includes utility assistance, deposit assistance, housing search assistance, landlord/tenant mediation, and short term rental subsidies designed to prevent at least half (50%) of the 7,000 homeless who become homeless each year from becoming homeless during the next five years.

* Establish county-wide protocols and procedures to prevent people from being discharged from public and private institutions of care into homelessness.

Ending Chronic Homelessness

* Expand street outreach programs throughout the County to bring social services directly to homeless persons in a more "assertive" way in order to:

1) decrease the number of chronically homeless persons each year by at least 10%; and

2) help prevent additional persons from living on the streets for one (1) year or more.

* Create 150 additional shelter beds throughout the County for individuals living on the streets and encourage participation in a case management plan to reduce the length of time a

person spends in emergency shelter.⁵ (127 new emergency beds have been created since 2007 or 79% of goal has been met)

Create at least 500 units of permanent supportive housing for chronically homeless persons county-wide;⁶ 295 units have been created since 2007 or 59% of the goal has been met. Additionally, 257 new permanent supportive housing units (owned privately) have been developed since 2007, adding to public resources by 50% of the planned goal.

Addressing the Needs of Homeless Families

* Create 75 additional transitional housing units consisting of 225 beds to serve families who are living on the streets and encourage participation in a case management plan. (69 new units have been created since 2007 or 92% of the goal has been met)

Develop 1,500 units of permanent affordable housing for low and moderate-income families and individuals who were homeless and are seeking permanent housing. (3,577 new units have been created since 2007 or 238% of the goal has been met)

Create a streamlined benefit applications system featuring a single application process for multiple programs in order to expedite enrollment and access to resources for homeless and those at-risk of homelessness.

Improve the Capacity of the Continuum of Care

Engage full participation from all homeless prevention, emergency shelter, transitional housing, permanent supportive housing, and related supportive service programs in the County of Riverside's Homeless Management Information System (HMIS).

* Create a Housing Trust Fund that receives ongoing dedicated sources of public funding to support the production and preservation of affordable housing; further fund homeless prevention activities; and provide ancillary funding for additional supportive services.

Discharge Planning Policies

Ensure that all appropriate local and State government entities that discharge persons from publicly-funded institutions or systems of care participate in the Discharge Policy Committee.⁷ (CoC Discharge Planning Committee Cooperative Agreement passed by Riverside County BOS)

Permanent Supportive Housing

Develop a "safe haven" program for chronically homeless adults that are seriously mentally ill and may have substance abuse issues.

⁵ County of Riverside Department of Social Services 5-Year Plan update ⁶ Unit and bed goals cited in the CoC Ten Year Plan to End Homelessness Key Recommendations ⁷ DPSS Cooperative Agreement HO-01948, February 28, 2012

* Develop multifamily housing, for homeless individuals that are mentally ill and may have substance abuse issues.

Continuum of Care (CoC)

The Continuum of Care for Riverside County aka CoC, is comprised of public and private agencies along with community residents, including homeless and formerly homeless individuals. The CoC is designed to access the need for homeless and affordable housing services and to develop and recommend a Continuum of Care Plan for the region on behalf of at-risk and homeless individuals and families. The County of Riverside's Department of Social Services is the lead agency for the CoC. Programs funded through U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) including the Supportive Housing Program (SHP), Shelter Plus Care (S+C), and the Section 8 Moderate Rehabilitation Single Room Occupancy program. In addition to HUD funds and as funds are available, the CoC utilizes Emergency Food and Shelter Program (EFSP), California's Emergency Housing Assistance Program (EHAP), Community Development Block Grant (CDGB), and Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) as well as donations from the community at large. ⁸

The specific purpose of the Continuum is to make possible for homeless, at-risk for homelessness, very low, low and moderate income individuals and families the ability to attain and maintain safe, decent, and affordable housing and supportive services. The Continuum will review and make recommendations regarding proposed homeless services projects through the NOFA process.

The Continuum received \$8,130,478 for the renewal of thirty (30) existing programs plus three (3) new projects in response to the 2013 HUD Continuum of Care NOFA.

Geographic Distribution

Programs and activities administered by DPSS are available county-wide. Certain shelters have been designated to serve regions defined in the County of Riverside's 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan. In consideration of the unique demographic needs of the homeless within the County, four regions have been established:

- Region A consists of Moreno Valley, City of Riverside, Rubidoux, Jurupa, Woodcrest, and surrounding communities.
- Region B consists of Corona, Lake Elsinore, Murrieta, Norco, Temecula and surrounding communities.

- Region C consists of Banning, Beaumont, Hemet, Idyllwild, San Jacinto and surrounding communities; and
- Region D consists of the Desert communities from Palm Springs east to the City of Blythe

Continuum of Care (CoC)				
Activity Type	Jurisdiction/ Unincorporated Area	YTD Objectives/ *FY 2013/14 Objectives	YTD Accomplishments/ *FY 2013/14 Accomplishments	
Homeless Prevention	Countywide.	 5-Year: Maintain funding for rental assistance for those threatened with eviction to maintain their housing and for those that lose their housing due to eviction so they are rehoused as quickly as possible. FY 2013/2014: Obtain funding for rental assistance to allow households who are facing eviction to retain their housing, and to rehouse evicted households as quickly as possible. 	 Funding was fully expended for homeless prevention and rapid re-housing through the EFSP, ESG, and SSVF funding sources. Five (5) new programs provided homeless prevention and rapid re-housing assistance to families and to homeless veterans. 	
Emergency Shelters	Countywide	 5-Year: Add new emergency shelter beds for single adults who are chronically homeless and for families who may or may not be chronically homeless. FY 2013/2014: Continue to maintain emergency shelter beds for single adults who are chronically homeless and for families who may or may not be chronically homeless. 	 Maintained 766 shelter beds in Riverside County per HMIS Housing Inventory Chart.⁹ \$1,479,569 allocated and expended for emergency shelters \$196,500 allocated and expended for ECWSP¹⁰ 	
Supportive Services	Countywide	 5-Year: Support the Department of Public Social Services (DPSS) most vulnerable clients by providing & linking them with basic needs services such as food, clothing, transportation & community connections/referrals to increase housing stability & prevent homelessness. FY 2013/2014: Increase the percentage of participants engaged in services to increase residential stability, skills, income and greater self-determination. 	 Supportive services only programs contacted 3,794 persons including families and engaged at least 444 or 11.7% of those contacted. 122 or 27.5% of those engaged were housed¹¹ 	

FY 2013/2014 CoC Homeless Programs and Activities

Provided by the Department of Public Social Services (DPSS) *Lead Agency for the County of Riverside Continuum of Care (CoC)

⁹ Shelter Bed count from the 2014 HMIS Housing Inventory Chart
 ¹⁰ Emergency Cold Weather Shelter Program
 ¹¹ As reported in HMIS Annual Progress Report received in FY 7/1/2013 – 6/30/2014
 CAPER 88

Transitional	Countravide	E Vear Continue to provide a wide range of	· A wide range of supporting
Transitional Housing	Countywide	 5-Year: Continue to provide a wide-range of supportive services to residents in order to help them obtain and maintain permanent housing. FY 2013/2014: Increase percentage of homeless persons moving from transitional housing (TH) to permanent housing (PH). Increase percentage of participants who maintain or increase income, including employment income. 	 A wide-range of supportive services through the SHP program was provided resulting in: Total number of persons served: 1165 (Adults -816 and children -349), Total number of Leavers: 769 (Adults-542 / Children-227) and 78% (602) persons exited to permanent housing. Employment services and other supportive services provided through SHP¹² programs resulted in 63% of individuals leaving SHP programs with income and 52% leaving with employment income.¹³
Permanent Supportive Housing	Countywide	 5-Year: Develop and implement plans for 250 PH beds for chronically homeless persons & families per 10-Year plan goal. Facilitate access to mainstream benefits to support housing stability. FY 2013/2014: Maintain a minimum percentage of homeless persons staying in PH over 6 months at or above 80%. 	 The percentage of participants remaining in permanent housing was at 73%

*PIT count is the official data used to determine the annual homeless numbers

1. The 10-year plan from 2007 is currently being revised and is not complete.

2. The last full point in time count was in Jan. 2013 (a veterans only count was done in Jan. 2014).

ESG Program

The *Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing Act* (HEARTH Act), enacted into law in May 2009, consolidated three separate homeless assistance programs into a single grant program, and revised the Emergency Shelter Grants Program and renamed it the Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) program.

The change in the program's name, from Emergency Shelter Grant to Emergency Solutions Grant, reflects the change in the program's focus from addressing the needs of homeless people in emergency or transitional shelters to placing a greater emphasis on helping people quickly regain stability in permanent housing after experiencing a housing crisis and/or homelessness.

The County's ESG Program is linked to the Continuum of Care plan of assistance for the purpose of preventing homelessness and enabling homeless individuals and families to move toward independent living. The key changes to the program reflect a shift in focus from addressing the needs of homeless people in emergency or transitional shelters to the expansion of the homelessness prevention component of the program and the addition of a new rapid rehousing assistance component.

The ESG program requires coordination among participating agencies. All ESG subrecipients in Riverside County are experienced homeless providers with a demonstrated track record in fiscal management and the provision of housing and other services targeted to those who are homeless or at risk of homelessness. ESG funded agencies have easy access to membership in the Continuum of Care. The Continuum of Care has over 100 member organizations including homeless service providers, veteran service representatives, churches and government organizations. The Continuum of Care meets on a regular basis and shares information about services among participating agencies.

The County of Riverside, EDA, the ESG recipient, consulted with the Continuum of Care to discuss the FY 2013-14 allocation in ways that:

- Coordinate across regional entitlement jurisdictions by developing and utilizing standardized eligibility and assessment tools;
- Support federal and local goals for priority populations;
- Allowed for variations in the program design that responds to the needs and resources of the jurisdiction
- Complied with eligibility and verification requirements (HMIS, housing status, homeless definitions, etc.)

The County of Riverside also consulted with the Continuum of Care on the Ten Year Plan to End Homelessness to ensure the alignment of the funded ESG activities as they relate to the goals and strategies outlined in the plan. This joint effort has worked successfully in the past and EDA pledges to continue support of this endeavor by working closely with DPSS, the "umbrella" antipoverty agency for the County of Riverside, in servicing the needs of homeless.

To further facilitate collaboration and information sharing, ESG funded agencies were required to provide the following information and referrals to ESG program participants:

- 2-1-1 hotline for social services
- Social security benefits
- Cal-Works and other income security programs provided by DPSS
- Cal-Fresh (formerly known as Food Stamps) assistance
- Low Income Energy Assistance Programs
- Affordable housing information
- Employment assistance and job training programs
- Health care and mental health services

- Services for victims of domestic violence
- Veteran services
- Specialized services such as legal services, credit counseling

In FY 2013-2014, the \$472,464 ESG allocation was distributed to thirteen (13) organizations for emergency/transitional shelters, homeless prevention, rapid re-housing, outreach, and EDA staff administration costs. Eligible recipients included nonprofits and public agencies. During the 2013-2014 program year, the County and sub-recipients expended approximately \$809,556 on *ESG* activities. Services were provided throughout the County and cooperating cities. The County's ESG grant funds were matched far in excess of the required 100% match amount detailed under the ESG "Matching Resources" section.

2013-14 ESG Homeless Programs and Activities

(Emergency "Solutions" Grant) Recipient - County of Riverside EDA

Recipient - County of Riverside, EDA							
	Jurisdiction/	2009-2014 Con Plan Proposed	2009-2014 YTD				
Activity Type	Unincorporated Area	Objectives	Actual Accomplishments				
	2013 - 2014 Projects	FY 2013/14 <u>(IDIS Funded)</u> Proposed Objectives	FY 2013/14 (Actual Accomplishments) Completed/Expended				
Emergency/Transitional Shelters -ESG Funded (Includes transitional Shelters)	1 st , 3 rd , 4 th & 5 th Districts See map (Appendix)	5-Year: Provide emergency shelter for families and individuals. Proposed to serve 4,600 individuals with \$721,967 ESG funds. FY 13/14: *647 actual proposed individuals to serve with \$273,479 proposed funding.	5-Year YTD: 9,448 homeless individuals were provided shelter. \$1,402,525 funds were expended. FY 13/14: *3,049 homeless individuals were provided shelter, \$ <i>302,376</i> ESG funds expended.				
Supportive/Outreach Services - ESG Funded	Countywide See map (Appendix)	5-Year: Provide outreach services for homeless individuals. Proposed to serve 785 individuals with \$279,538 ESG funds. FY 13/14: 45 actual proposed individuals to serve with \$10,000 proposed funding.	5-Year YTD: 464 homeless individuals were provided homeless supportive services. \$146,315 funds were expended. FY 13/14: 45 homeless individuals were provided supportive services, \$10,000 ESG funds expended.				

Transitional Housing- ESG		5- Year:	5-Year YTD:
Funded (*As of FY 2011 Transitional Housing has been combined		Provide emergency and transitional housing to those experiencing homelessness. Proposed to serve 3,825 individuals with \$1,060,809 ESG funds.	1,657 homeless individuals were provided transitional housing. \$527,357 funds were expended a <i>s</i> <i>of FY June 30, 2011.</i>
with Emergency Shelter in IDIS for reporting purposes.)		FY 13/14 : <i>As of FY 2011-2012</i> <i>Combined with Emergency Shelter</i>	FY 13/14: As of FY 2011-2012 Combined with Emergency Shelter
Homeless Prevention - ESG		5- Year:	5-Year YTD:
Funded	Countywide See map	Provide homeless prevention assistance to those at imminent risk of becoming homeless. Proposed to serve 200 individuals with \$100,000 ESG funds.	397 individuals were provided prevention assistance. \$121,160 funds were expended.
	(Appendix)	FY 13/14: 65 actual proposed individuals to serve with \$75,872 ESG funds.	FY 13/14: 40 homeless individuals were provided Homeless Prevention Services, \$58,460 ESG funds expended.
Rapid-Rehousing ESG Funded	Countywide	5- Year:	5-Year YTD: 245 individuals were provided prevention assistance. \$459,405 funds were expended.
	See map (Appendix)	FY 13/14 : 35 actual proposed individuals to serve with \$77,679 ESG funds.	FY 13/14: 191 homeless individuals were provided Rapid- Rehousing, \$365,785
Administration Costs	N/A		5-Year YTD: \$147,912 funds were expended.
		FY 13/14 : \$35,434 proposed funding.	FY 13/14: \$ 72,935 total ESG funds expended <i>\$72,935</i>
			5-Year YTD:
HMIS	N/A	FY 2013/14: \$0 proposed funding.	\$0 funds were expended. FY 13/14: \$0 ESG funds expended.
TOTAL		5-Year : Proposed to serve 9,410 individuals. Proposed ESG funding \$2,162,314.	5-Year YTD: 12,211 individuals were provided assistance. \$2,804,674 funds were expended.
		FY 13/14 : 792 actual proposed individuals to serve – \$472,464 proposed funding.	FY 13/14: 3,325 individuals were provided assistance, \$809,556 Total ESG funds expended.
L		margangy Shalter satagany as of EV 2011	

*Transitional Housing has been combined with the Emergency Shelter category as of FY 2011-2012 in IDIS for HUD reporting purposes. To view separate categories refer to **Appendix D**, table 7(1C).

Matching Resources

Emergency Solutions Grant

The Emergency Solutions Grant program has a mandatory "matching grant" requirement that the County match its ESG allocation dollar-for-dollar with other funding sources. Consequently, the County places this matching requirement upon the ESG sub-recipients. The table below reflects the total ESG funding expended by each sub-recipient as well as the amount and type of matching funds reported during the 2013-2014 program year. Approximately \$4,204,644 was used to leverage the County's \$472,464, 2013-2014 ESG allocation. The total amount of ESG funds expended in FY 2013-2014 was \$809,556.

Agency	ESG Funds Expended in 2013/2014	Federal Funds	State/Local	Private	Fees	Other
6.104-11	\$10,000					
EDA Homeless - Prevention	Homeless Prevention					
6.103-11						
EDA Rapid-Rehousing	\$89,542 Rapid Re-Housing	\$3,500	\$15,000	\$2,500		\$7,938
6.91-12	\$28,897					
Shelter From the Storm	Emergency Shelter	\$181,807	\$480,322	\$692,526		
6.97-12	\$11,000					
EDA Homelessness Prevention Program	Homeless Prevention					
6.98-12 EDA Rapid-Rehousing	\$241,196 Rapid Re-Housing		\$15,000	\$25,000		\$35,000
6.107-13 Catholic Charities Outreach	\$10,000 Outreach			\$10,000		

FY 2013-14 ESG Detail of ESG Funds Expended and Leveraging

6.104-13						
0.107 10	\$30,000			L		
Coachella Valley Rescue	Emorgones Chalter		\$141,468	\$87,763		
Mission	Emergency Shelter					
6.105-13	\$20,000					
Operation Safehouse –		\$80,000	\$45,000	\$90,000		
Thousand Palms	Emergency Shelter					
incusuria i anns						
6.106-13	\$20,000					
		\$90,000	\$159,500	\$90,000		
Operation Safehouse – Riverside	Emergency Shelter					
6.109.13	\$20,000					
0.109.19	\$20,000		\$140,000	\$694		
Valley Restart Shelter	Emergency Shelter					
6.110-13	\$20,000	¢69.205	\$207 770	¢125 440		
Shelter from the Storm	Emergency Shelter	\$68,305	\$397,770	\$125,446		
	Line geney sherer					
6.111-13	\$40,000					
		\$377	\$53,306	\$1,800		
Path of Life Community Shelter	Emergency Shelter					
6.114-13	\$10,000					
0.11 . 10	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>	\$175,678	\$128,067			
Alternatives to Domestic	Emergency Shelter					
Violence	+ co 170					
6.115-13	\$63,479	\$406,888	\$80,884	\$127,146	\$3,207	
Whiteside Manor	Emergency Shelter	\$400,000	JOU,004	\$127,140	\$5,207	
6.116-13	\$50,000					
Marsha (a) (illa	English Chulk	\$5,110		\$51,262		
Martha's Village and Kitchen	Emergency Shelter					
6.108-13	\$15,000					
		\$19,800				
Foothill AIDS Program	Homeless	\$19,800				
	Prevention					
6.112-13	\$9,890					
0.112-15	\$3,830					
Lutheran Social Services-	Homeless		\$2,331	\$1,200		
Genesis House	Prevention					
6.112-13	\$5,110					
Lutheran Social Services-						\$1,100
Genesis House	Rapid Re-Housing					

6.113-13	\$7,431					
Lutheran Social Services-	Homeless	\$92,769				
Amelia's Light	Prevention					
	rievention					
6.113-13	\$7,569					
Lutheran Social Services-			\$12,637	\$5,630	\$12,000	\$8,400
Amelia's Light	Rapid Re-Housing					
6.117-13						
0.117-15	\$16,768					
EDA Rapid-Rehousing		\$2,500		\$1,500		\$5,250
	Rapid Re-Housing					
6.118-13	\$1,539					
EDA Homeless	Homeless					\$750
Prevention	Prevention					
6.119-13	\$3,600					
H.O.P.E.	Homeless	\$8,018				
	Prevention					
6.119-13	\$5,600	\$2,000	\$3,500	\$6,995		
H.O.P.E.	Rapid Re-Housing	φ2,000	\$3,300	\$0,99 <u>0</u>		
	. 5					
6.88-12/6.103-13	\$72,935					
General ESG Program						
Administration						
TOTALS	\$809,556	\$1,136,752	\$1,674,785	\$1,319,462	\$15,207	\$58,438

Beneficiaries and Performance

In FY 2013-2014 the County expended \$302,376 in assistance to emergency shelters which benefited 3,049 homeless persons. In addition, \$58,460 assisted homeless prevention activities providing services to 40 eligible persons, \$365,785 helped 191 eligible persons with rapid rehousing assistance, and \$10,000 assisted 45 homeless individuals with outreach services. The County goal is to develop viable communities for low and moderate-income persons by leveraging resources and collaborating with other local agencies working to better stretch the available resources. The County has increased its capacity and become more efficient in providing housing and community development services. Although limited resources are a barrier which may have a negative impact on fulfilling the outlined priority goals, the County continues to work toward the overall implementation of all of its outlined priorities.

Homeless Discharge Coordination

EDA, together with the ESG and CDBG sub-recipients, will continue to cooperate and collaborate with other organizations to develop and implement a comprehensive and uniform *Discharge Coordination Policy and Practices (DCPP)*. The DCPP is developed for the purpose of connecting the homeless and those persons threatened with homelessness with supported housing and community-based resources. The overall objective of the DCPP is to reduce the number of persons being released and discharged into homeless shelters, unsuitable accommodations, or homelessness.

The County of Riverside Department of Social Services as the Consolidated Applicant for the Continuum of Care (CoC) will strengthen discharge planning with major institutions by seeking to limit the number of chronically homeless persons discharged into homelessness; increase the number of homeless street outreach teams engaging chronically homeless persons in supportive services; and increase the number of rental certificates available through the Shelter Plus Care program which provides housing and services to chronically homeless persons in a neighborhood of their choosing.

Through the CoC Discharge Planning Committee's efforts a Cooperative Agreement was developed and ratified by the County Board of Supervisors on February 28, 2012, bringing together stakeholders from local and state agencies and institutions to sign this agreement. They will ensure that all appropriate local and state government entities that discharge persons from publicly-funded institutions or systems of care participate in the CoC Discharge Planning efforts.¹⁴

VIII.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

Improving Public Housing and Resident Initiatives

During FY 2013-2014, the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside (HACR) administered and managed several housing programs to address the housing needs of residents countywide. The programs include: Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher; Affordable Public Housing; Capital

¹⁴ DPSS Cooperative Agreement: HO-01948; Ratified by County Board of Supervisors, Feb.28, 2012; CAPER 96

Fund; Resident Opportunities and Self Sufficiency (ROSS); Family Self Sufficiency (FSS); Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA); Shelter Plus Care; Family Unification; Security Deposit Assistance; Emergency Housing Response; and the various Homeownership Programs.

5 Year Strategic Plan Needs of Public Housing response:

The Housing Authority of the County of Riverside (HACR) owns and operates 469 public housing units within Riverside County. Attachment C (Housing Market Analysis table) of the Consolidated Plan provides a listing of the public housing units. The physical condition of the public housing units varies however the HACR has plans to modernize selected units within the stock of public housing units. Examples of such modernization projects include the replacement of evaporative coolers with centralized air conditioning, kitchen cabinet and flooring upgrades, and ADA compliance of common area sidewalks and entryways. Planned improvements for the next FY include the replacement of swamp coolers with A/C dual packs and R-30 attic insulation in San Jacinto; kitchen remodels in Jurupa Valley; R-30 insulation attic insulation at two locations in Moreno Valley; energy efficient parking lot lights in Jurupa Valley; and main water shut offs valves and pressure regulators in Riverside and Lake Elsinore.

There are currently over 34,972 persons on the waiting list for public housing, and 14,195 persons on the waiting list for Section 8 tenant-based assistance. The HA Housing Choice Voucher Program waiting list closed to the general public on July 1, 2010, as the existing pool of applicants exceeded—foreseeable resources. Until such time as it is determined additional applicants are required to ensure full program utilization, the waiting list will remain closed to all except:

- Veterans or widow/ers of a veteran, **or**
- Families whose head of household or spouse is 75 years of age or older, or
- Homeless foster care youth, ages 18 to 22, who have aged out of foster care, or
- Families referred to the HA by the Riverside County Public Child Welfare Agency (PCWA) for admission through the Family Unification Program (HUD designated special purpose vouches), **or**
- Participants that have utilized a special rental assistance program for a 3 year term and no longer require supportive services, **or**
- Families referred to the Housing Authority via an extraordinary local preference.

Based on the large numbers of families waiting for assistance, HACR's goals are as follows:

- Apply for additional rental vouchers by annually competing for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) affordable housing funding available to Public Housing Authorities.
- Reduce public housing vacancies.
- Leverage private or other public funds to create additional housing opportunities.

Section 8 and Public Housing Programs

The Housing Authority of the County of Riverside administers and manages several programs to address the housing needs of residents county-wide. The annual estimated operating budget of \$81,458,877 million is allocated to fund Housing Authority projects and programs.

The Public Housing Program provides decent, safe, and sanitary housing to low and moderateincome families, seniors, and persons with disabilities. These multi-family developments were constructed or purchased with funding provided by HUD. The property units are operated and maintained by the Housing Authority with funding subsidies from HUD.

The Section 8 (Voucher) program assists extremely low and very low income households with rental assistance to provide an opportunity to live in affordable, decent, safe, and sanitary housing.

Five-Year Public Housing Objectives:

Strategies to serve extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income households, including those on the waiting list for Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher programs:

- Expand the supply of assisted housing by applying for additional vouchers through HUD.
- Reduce public housing vacancies by increasing outreach efforts to potential applicants and strive to accomplish and maintain ninety-seven percent (97%) occupancy.
- Leverage private or other public funds to create additional housing opportunities.
- Increase assisted housing choices by providing briefing sessions for families who are relocating, counseling them on how to successfully seek new housing opportunities.
- Conduct outreach efforts to potential landlords. The HACR coordinates a quarterly landlord seminar in which potential landlords are provided information on the benefits of renting their available units to voucher holders.
- Implement and expand special programs. The HACR currently administers the following special needs programs:
- 1. **HOPWA Program.** The City of Riverside is the Grantee of the HOPWA formula funding for both Riverside and San Bernardino County. The HACR as the Project Sponsor administers the HOPWA grant throughout Riverside County. The goal of the HOPWA program is to prevent homelessness to individuals and/or families that have AIDS/HIV+, by providing long term rental assistance through the Housing Options Program and Short Term Rental, Mortgage and Utility Assistance through subcontractors in the community that serve this population (i.e.: Catholic Charities, Desert AIDS Project, Bienestar, etc).
- 2. **Shelter Plus Care Program**. This program has the capacity to provide 128 units of housing for homeless persons with disabilities. Applicants are referred to the HA by the Department of Mental Health, who provides assistance to the clients in locating suitable housing and by providing supportive services.

- 3. **Court-referral Program**. Families that have been identified as ones who would benefit from housing and would likely be successful in completing court programs and self-sufficiency programs are referred for Special Admission Vouchers to the HACR from Riverside Court Services. All families participate in our FSS program in order to enable them to become self-sufficient and free of government assistance.
- 4. Emancipated Foster-care Youth Program. This program targets ten recently emancipated foster care youth, 18-20 years old. Youth will receive housing assistance, supportive services and will be enrolled in the Family Self Sufficiency (FSS) program, to give them the tools and opportunities to successfully transition from foster-care to independent, self-sufficient living.
- 5. **Family Unification Program**. Families that have been identified as requiring housing in order to reunite parent(s) with children or to prevent the removal of the children from the parent(s) are referred to the HA by the Department of Public Social Services to receive FUP Vouchers.
- 6. Tenant Based Rental Assistance Program. This program is designed to assist homeless individuals and/or families residing with the City of Riverside. Clients are referred by the City of Riverside Homeless Outreach Team to receive rental assistance for a period of twelve months. The goal of the program is for the family to be able to afford market rate rent by the twelfth month of assistance. This is accomplished through the intensive case management provided by the City of Riverside's Homeless Outreach Team and other partner agencies.
- 7. Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing Program (VASH). The VASH program is a partnership between HUD and the VA to provide Section 8 vouchers to homeless veterans with the goal of ending homelessness among veterans. Locally, the VASH program is a partnership between HACR and the Loma Linda VA Medical Center. This program has housed 285 homeless veterans since its inception in 2009 and has the capacity to serve 380 veterans.

Strategy to Encourage Home Ownership and Decline in Reliance

Through its Resident Opportunity and Self-Sufficiency (ROSS) Program, the Housing Authority seeks to facilitate the successful transition of residents from public housing residency to economic independence. This initiative builds upon the efforts of the local welfare plan and other self-sufficiency efforts of the Housing Authority and targets public housing residents who are receiving welfare assistance.

To assist families living in Affordable Public Housing, the Community Service, and Self-Sufficiency Requirement continues to be in effect. The Community Service and Self-Sufficiency Requirement is intended to assist adult public housing residents in improving their own economic and social well-being and give these residents a greater stake in their communities. The Community Service and Self-Sufficiency Requirement will allow residents an opportunity to "give something back" to their communities and facilitates upward mobility. The Family-Self

Sufficiency Program (FSS) was established to assist Section 8 residents and enable families to gain economic independence from all governmental assistance.

To assist first-time homebuyers, the Housing Authority has established a Homeownership Program (HP) to assist eligible Section 8 and Public Housing residents achieve their goal of homeownership. The Homeownership coordinator provides support by first conducting an assessment of the participant's income, assets, and credit to determine mortgage readiness. Based on the findings, the Homeownership Coordinator will development an Action Plan with the participant to identify barriers, recommend actions, and establish a timeline to overcome them.

IX.CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

Removing Barriers to Affordable Housing

Barriers to affordable housing are frequently caused when the incentive to develop such housing is removed due to excessive development costs, governmental regulation, and community opposition. Some development costs are driven by economic conditions and other factors that affect the real estate market. These are often beyond the control of local government. Examples of private barriers include the cost of labor and materials, contracting fees, and the cost of land. However, other development costs, such as project processing fees charged for planning and plan check services, fall under the control of local governmental agencies. To some extent, Federal and State environmental regulations, ultimately implemented at the local level, have historically added to the cost of development. Further, public policy approved by local government to address community issues and concerns potentially affects the cost of all development projects through the adoption and implementation of ordinances, housing elements, land use plans, fee schedules, and development standards. The imposition of additional taxes on homeowners increases the cost of maintaining and living in a house or apartment and can be a barrier to home ownership, particularly for low- and moderate-income households. Moreover, public opposition over the location of affordable housing can be detrimental to a project once it enters the public hearing process and may result in the denial of the project.

The primary purpose of governmental regulation of land development is to guide development in an orderly fashion, ensure adequate provision of public services and facilities, protect existing development from incompatible land uses, and protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public. Government regulation is generally beneficial to the housing needs of the public, since the development review and approval process is necessary to insure decent and safe housing. However, government regulation of land development can become a constraint to the production of housing, particularly affordable housing, in the following ways:

- 1. Increases in development processing fees
- 2. Zoning that restricts or precludes residential development
- 3. Lengthy development review and approval process
- 4. Imposition of excessive development standards may increase the cost of residential development without creating a real benefit to the public.

The County uses a multi-faceted strategy to address barriers to affordable housing. Limited resources dictate that strategies be focused on the most effective tools possible. A major focus involves the use of both financial and processing assistance to maximize as many housing units as possible. This approach allows the County to quantify affordable housing production and make adjustments to development strategies as necessary.

Development fees and approval delays add to the cost of development. In addition, inflation can increase the cost of both materials and labor. These factors combined with negative public perceptions serve as a major disincentive to the construction of affordable housing and are seen as obstacles by qualified developers.

The County assistance includes implementation of the following programs:

- <u>Project Ombudsman</u>: This program involves the designation of a staff liaison or Ombudsman to work with affordable housing developers and their representatives.
- <u>Gap Financing</u>: Gap financing offers financial assistance in the form of grants; or below market-interest rate loans; and other favorable repayment terms.

- <u>Fee Subsidies</u>: Under certain circumstances, the County will subsidize the payment of development fees.
- <u>Waivers of Development Mitigation Fees</u>: Under County Ordinance Number 659 fee waivers can be granted for publicly subsidized affordable housing projects.
- <u>Public Opposition as a Barrier to Affordable Housing</u>: The County will continue to educate the public about the social and economic benefits of affordable housing.
- <u>Fair Housing as a Barrier to Affordable Housing</u>: The County will continue to affirmatively further fair housing county-wide.
- <u>Tax Policies</u>: The County keeps property taxes at a minimum and does not have a residential or business utility tax.
- <u>Fast Track and Priority Processing</u>: The intent of this program is to expedite the construction of affordable housing projects through all phases of the approval process.

Addressing Obstacles to Meeting Underserved Needs

The primary objective of the County's CPD programs is the development of viable urban communities by providing decent, safe, and sanitary housing, and expanding economic opportunities principally for persons of low and moderate-income. The mission of meeting and addressing these community, social, and economic development needs of low-income persons and their communities is of paramount importance to the County. Unfortunately, there are barriers and challenges that hinder the development and implementation of important programs intended to serve those most in need.

One of the most important steps in addressing obstacles to community development is identification and evaluation. In Riverside County, obstacles for CPD-funded activities include location and geography, limited resources, program restrictions and regulations, as well as language and culture. The County and nearly all CPD sub-recipients have bilingual staff sensitive to cultural traditions, issues, and values. For this reason many of our public notices, including notifications of CPD funding cycles and upcoming Citizen Participation meetings, are published in Spanish.

Riverside County is geographically very large; many of the targeted lower-income communities are located in remote rural areas, in the suburban fringe, and within large urban settings. One collaborative approach and effective tool used by the County is the community-based forum. These include the Community Councils, Municipal Advisory Councils, and County Service Area Advisory Boards. These non-elected public bodies provide ideal forums for residents and other

stakeholders to express their concerns and assess community development needs. Also, the County and other organizations have the opportunity to directly discuss programs, plans, projects, etc., during these meetings.

The County will continue to engage organizations, non-profit agencies, neighborhood councils, local businesses, community residents and stakeholders to develop an ongoing strategy to meet gaps in the provision of services and strengthen the effectiveness of the County's programs and initiatives.

Evaluating and Reducing Lead-Based Paint

5 Year Strategic Plan Lead-based Paint response:

Under the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992--Title X, a lead-based paint hazard is defined as "any condition that causes exposure to lead from lead-contaminated dust, lead-contaminated soil, lead-contaminated paint that is deteriorated or present in accessible surfaces, friction surfaces, or impact surfaces that would result in adverse human health effects."

In a special tabulation of homeowner and renter data utilizing HUD and Census 2000 data, referred to as the Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) Data gathered from the State of Cities Data System (SOCDS), there were a total of 198,419 renter and owner households at or below 80 percent median family income (MFI) in the County of Riverside. There were a total of 55,066 extremely low-income households (<=30% MFI); a total of 57,357 very low-income households (>30 to <=50% MFI); and a total of 85,996 low-income households (>50 to <=80% MFI).

According to the National Survey of Lead and Allergens in Housing, a 2001 final report prepared for the Office of Lead Hazard Control for HUD, an estimated 38 million or 40 percent of housing units in the United States have lead-based paint on either the interior or exterior painted surfaces, or both. An estimated 26 million or 27% of housing units in the United States have significant lead-based paint hazards. In the West region of the United States, an estimated 3.5 million or 19% of housing units in the United States have significant lead-based paint hazards. In Riverside County, an estimated 19% of renter and owner housing units or 37,700 housing units are estimated to contain lead-based paint hazards for extremely low- and moderate-income and low- and moderate-income households.

5 Year Strategic Plan Lead-based Paint response:

The following are programs or proposed actions to evaluate and reduce lead-based paint hazards in the County:

Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program: The Riverside County Department of Environmental Health (DEH), Office of Industrial Hygiene (OIH) as a tool in the identification of

the lead hazards in the home, the OIH operates California's Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program (CLPPP) to test and identify children who are at high potential for lead poisoning based upon the age of the housing stock in the area and any other factors that indicate high risk for lead exposure.

Lead Hazard Reduction Compliance and Enforcement Program: In 2011, the OIH was awarded a 3 year, \$295,131 grant from the State of California for the Lead Hazard Reduction Compliance and Enforcement Program. The program's goal is to provide technical expertise in lead-based paint management. The OIH expects the program to be ongoing with additional funding through 2014.

Lead Hazard Control Program: State law, as implemented by Senate Bill 460, grants authority to local health departments to require the enforcement and prosecution of persons who refuse to abate lead hazards in housing occupied by low-income families with children. The Lead Hazard Control Program is funded under this grant and implements SB 460 which allowed changes to State health and housing laws to make creating lead hazards a crime.

Reducing the Number of Persons Living Below the Poverty Level

5 Year Strategic Plan Antipoverty Strategy response:

The American Community Survey (ACS) is an ongoing survey that annually provides data giving communities the current information they need to plan investments and services. Information from the survey generates data that help determine how federal funds are distributed each year. Official poverty data come from the Current Population Survey (CPS) Annual Social and Economic Supplement (ASEC). There are two slightly different versions of the U.S. (federal) poverty measure: the poverty thresholds and the poverty guidelines. The official measure of poverty was established by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in Statistical Policy Directive 14. The poverty thresholds determined by the U.S. government, and updated each year by the Census Bureau, use a set of money income thresholds that vary by family size and composition to determine who is in poverty. If a family's total income is less than the family's threshold, then that family and every individual in it is considered in poverty. The official poverty thresholds do not vary geographically, but are updated for inflation using the Consumer Price Index (CPI-U). The thresholds are used by Federal agencies mainly for statistical purposes; (e.g., preparing estimates of the number of Americans in poverty each year). Government aid programs do not have to use the official poverty measure as eligibility criteria. Many government aid programs use a different version of the Federal poverty measure- the poverty guidelines. They are issued each year in the Federal Register by the Department of Health and Human Services. The guidelines are a simplification of the poverty thresholds for use for administrative purposes; (e.g., determining financial eligibility for certain federal programs each aid program may define eligibility differently).

Although there are many causes of poverty, some of the more pronounced causes of poverty include the following:

- low income-earning capability;
- low educational attainment and job skills;
- discrimination; and
- personal limitations (e.g. developmental and physical disabilities, mental illness, drug/alcohol dependency, etc.).

Some other important causes of poverty related to those mentioned above include: unemployment or underemployment; lack of affordable and decent housing; lack of policy and widespread community support for poverty issues (this includes the lack of additional funding and programs to address the problem of poverty); unaffordable childcare and health care; age; cultural and language barriers; lack of behavioral changes of people in poverty; limited access to services; transportation difficulties; stress; and strained family relationships. All of these barriers make it hard for low-income families to obtain and maintain employment, and therefore, housing and basic needs.

The proportion of the Riverside County population living below the poverty threshold varies widely by race and ethnicity. Although the many and varied solutions for the reduction or elimination of poverty appear endless, costly, and complex, the County utilizes a variety of strategies to help reduce the number of households with incomes below the poverty line, including efforts to stimulate economic growth and additional job opportunities. An example would be economic development activities that help create additional jobs. Economic development opportunities, such as higher paying jobs, are very important to low-income persons to gain economic self-sufficiency and live above the poverty level.

The Community Action Partnership of Riverside County (CAP), a public Community Action Agency administered by the Community Action Commission, is a department of the County of Riverside reporting directly to the Riverside County Board of Supervisors. CAP was designated as Riverside County's official anti-poverty agency in July, 1979. CAP strives to eliminate poverty by facilitating opportunities towards self-sufficiency through education, wealth building, advocacy, community organizing, and dispute resolution. These programs, along with other County programs, provide low-income residents with a variety of support mechanisms as they move toward self-sufficiency. Community Action works to change the institution of poverty while empowering the individual. CAP receives funding from public and private sources. Their primary funding source is the Federal Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) that is administered through the State of California, Department of Community Services and Development (CSD). CAP Riverside also relies on partners, volunteers, and in-kind services to leverage the funding.

The Riverside County Department of Public Social Services (DPSS) works collectively and in partnership with community-based organizations to serve the needs of the community. DPSS is responsible for administering programs and services that can assist Riverside County residents with basic needs and other services that can help them become self-sufficient and improve the quality of their lives. DPSS interacts with people on many levels, thereby impacting their daily lives through children's services, education, employment, training, health and human services, homelessness and housing.

Self-Sufficiency Programs Include:

- CalFresh (SNAP);
- CalWORKS;
- Childcare;
- Employment Services;
- Homeless Programs;
- Health Care Assistance
- Other adult services such as Adult Protective Services and In-Home Supportive Services;
- And Children's services such as Adoption Services and Foster Parent Services.

The County will continue to work closely with DPSS in servicing the needs of homeless during the ensuing five years. This joint effort has worked successfully in the past and the County agencies pledge their continuing support of the endeavor.

To the extent possible, the County plans to reduce the number of households with incomes below the Federal poverty level (extremely low-income households earning less than thirty percent (30%) of the AMI) through a combination of direct assistance and indirect benefit from neighborhood improvement activities. The County's Five-Year Consolidated Plan will support anti-poverty activities through the following:

- Rehabilitate substandard existing single-family or multi-family housing for income qualified owners or to owners who rent to income-qualified tenants.
- Provide increased affordable homeownership opportunities for low- and moderate income households, including seniors and disabled.
- Rehabilitate or provide new affordable housing units that include handicap accessibility for seniors or the disabled.
- Encourage economic development in low- and moderate-income areas.
- Provide comprehensive homeless prevention and Outreach programs
- Provide Childhood Development, Child Care, and Youth Programs
- Encourage Substance Abuse Recovery and Counseling Programs
- Provide Job Training & Skills Development
- Provide Health Programs

In addition to the offered self-sufficiency programs, the County of Riverside has multiple programs that assist in the elimination of the barriers associated with poverty. These programs include Section 8, VASH, Shelter Plus Care, HOME, and other programs targeting special needs populations. Each of these programs provide rental assistance either through new construction, rehabilitation or tenant-based rental assistance mitigating the cost burdened issues for low-income households. Many of the program residents reap the benefits of choosing where they reside; however, they are encouraged to move to areas where they have adequate access to transportation and employment.

The County will continue to strive to increase affordable housing collaborative efforts with public and private sector entities, numerous advisory agencies, Community Housing Development Organizations ("CHDOs"), lending institutions, as well as other service providers including Catholic Charities, Office on Aging, and Code Enforcement.

Efforts to increase the participation of the CDBG, HOME, Low-income Tax Credit, Federal, State and other local housing program sources will be directed at:

- Strengthening the housing service delivery system by working more closely with the Housing Authority and by collaborating with non-profit organizations;
- Integrating community development block grant housing programs;
- Increasing the involvement of the Desert Advisory Council; and
- Working more closely with identified Community Housing Development Organizations ("CHDOs") as well as local city governments.

The following Riverside County EDA Housing Programs are offered to meet the goals and objectives of the County's Consolidated Plan and Redevelopment Housing.

Home Ownership

- <u>Mortgage Credit Certificate (MCC)</u> Intended for interested buyers, the MCC entitles qualified home buyers to reduce the amount of their federal income tax liability. This tax credit effectively increases the homebuyer's purchasing power, which helps them qualify for a mortgage.
- <u>First-Time Home Buyer (FTHB) Program</u> Down payment Assistance for qualified home buyers to purchase a new home.
- <u>Neighborhood Stabilization Homeownership (NSHP) Program</u> The primary objective is to address the problem of abandoned and foreclosed homes in targeted areas within Riverside County. The Program is available to anyone who has not owned a home in the last three years, has an annual income that is not greater than 120% of the area median income as published by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), and is purchasing a foreclosed home in the County of Riverside. This Program will provide purchase price assistance as a silent second loan in the amount of twenty percent (20%) of the purchase price of the home. EDA purchase price assistance will be secured by a deed of trust recorded in second position. The first loan must be a fully amortized, fixed rate; thirty-year

mortgage. Due to the difficulty of locating foreclosed properties and recent market conditions, the County is not capitalizing on this program further.

The MCC, FTHB and NSHP programs may be combined with many other homebuyer programs that are offered by participating cities, participating lenders, and homeownership counseling services by HUD approved providers.

Home Repair – Improving Conditions of Substandard Housing

- <u>Home Repair Program (HRP)</u> –The HRP provides one-time grants to qualified low-income homeowners to repair or improve the quality of their homes. The grant allows homeowners to address both interior and exterior health and safety issues, Housing Quality Standards (HQS) and enhance the exterior appearance of their properties.
- <u>Senior Home Repair Grant (SHRG) Program</u> The SHRG Program provides one-time grants to qualified low-income senior homeowners (62 years or older) or low-income handicapped persons of any age to repair or improve their homes. The grant requires that the repairs and or enhancements address health and safety issues exclusively.

Housing Development – New Construction, Acquisition, and Rehabilitation

- <u>Home Investment Partnerships Act (HOME) Program</u> Intended for builders and developers, the HOME Program is designed to provide development of affordable housing to lowerincome households, to expand the capacity of non-profit community housing development organizations (CHDO's), and to strengthen the ability of state and local governments to develop and implement affordable housing strategies towards local needs and priorities.
- <u>Infill Housing Program</u> The Infill Housing Program is designed to promote the development of affordable single-family housing on previously vacant or blighted lots. The program requires the new homes be sold as affordable owner-occupied housing to lowincome households with emphasis within the County's Redevelopment Project Areas. This program will end due to the dissolution of RDA.
- Mobile Home Tenant Loan Program The "MHTL" program was established in 1999 to improve substandard living conditions for very low income households in unpermitted mobile home parks. The program assists mobile home owners whose units were determined to be beyond the point of rehabilitation by providing them with a 0% fixed interest rate loan in an amount up to \$60,000, contingent upon the amount necessary to make the unit code compliant.

Rental Assistance

- <u>Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program</u> The Section 8 rental voucher program provides rental assistance to help low and moderate income families afford decent, safe, and sanitary rental housing.
- <u>Affordable Public Housing</u> low-income family rental housing in Riverside County.
- The Housing Authority of the County of Riverside (HACR) is empowered under the State Housing Authority Laws to provide safe and sanitary housing for low-income persons. HACR

provides the following programs: Section 8 Rent Subsidy Program (8,828 vouchers), Public Housing Program (469 units), a Family Self-Sufficiency (FSS) program (Section 8 participants), Housing Opportunities for Persons With Aids (HOPWA), the Shelter Plus Care program in partnership with Mental Health Department, and also works with the Child Protective Services Division of the Department of Public Services assisting families with children. In addition, the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside (HACR) was designated as the Successor Agency of the redevelopment housing functions. As of February 1, 2012, all RDA housing projects and activities were transferred to HACR.

INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURE

Addressing the community, social, and economic development needs of low and moderateincome people throughout the County is a comprehensive, and at times daunting undertaking. The formation of sustainable partnerships and collaboration is essential. The institutional structure comprises the private, public, and nonprofit organizations that help carry-out the Consolidated Plan for the Urban County. The relationships and interaction of these organizations as they deliver programs and undertake activities is known as the County's housing and community development delivery system.

These efforts bring more than just leveraged financial resources to a project; they provide additional experience, knowledge, information, facilities, strategies, and other valuable resources. The County continues to encourage and support the formation of these joint efforts for projects and activities that create viable, self-sufficient communities; decent, affordable housing; a suitable living environment; and expanded economic development opportunities for low-income persons. The County values its partners and affiliated agencies and recognizes their vital contributions to improving the health and well-being of the entire community. No one agency or organization alone can successfully accomplish the task.

Strengths and gaps regarding the institutional structure delivery system were identified as a result of the surveys, community meetings, forums, and other research. With multiple agencies providing a variety of services, there may be a general lack of coordination causing an overlap of services or issues with directing clients to the appropriate services increasing the possibility of a client falling through the gaps. The system is further complicated by the geographical challenges of the County. The vast distance between communities and cities contributes to the impediments encountered by private, non-profit, and government agencies attempting to provide public services to low-income residents. With the number and variety of participants in the delivery system it can be difficult to establish priorities and to allocate resources. In addition to these gaps in the delivery system, more recently as a result of local, state, and federal budget cuts, the Urban County participating jurisdictions have all encountered some level of staffing cuts resulting in a lack of dedicated staff resources and effective implementation of the CDBG program.

The County continues to play an important role in both facilitating and directly bringing together diverse interests toward developing new and strengthening existing institutional structures and enhancing coordination. So far, the process has been responsive to new and emerging issues, including new HUD rules and requirements, which are continually testing the strengths and gaps of delivery system. The County has developed and implemented the 2009-2014 Five-Year Consolidated Plan and subsequent Action Plans through public, nonprofit, and private sector partnerships and collaboration. This coordination of efforts and cooperation has been instrumental in meeting the wide-range of community development needs and will help carry out the Consolidated Plan for the Urban County.

County Agencies

The County of Riverside implemented the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan and One Year Action Plans through the following agencies and organizations:

Riverside County Economic Development Agency (EDA)

EDA serves as the lead agency in coordinating and monitoring the use of Federal funds and is responsible for the administration of all HUD CPD programs for Riverside County. CPD program administration is structured by dividing the County into Supervisorial Districts (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, and 5th). Individual staff members have been assigned to work in each of these areas and participate in a variety of activities at the local level.

On December 29, 2011, the California Supreme Court (the Court) issued its opinion regarding the constitutionality of AB1X 26 & 27. In their opinion, the Court upheld the provisions of AB1X 26, effectively eliminating redevelopment agencies statewide therefore, the County of Riverside Redevelopment Agency was officially dissolved as of February 1, 2012. As a result of that action, only obligated redevelopment funds will be available as leverage for use with Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and/or Home Investment Partnership (HOME) funds.

The Housing Authority of the County of Riverside (HACR) is empowered under the State Housing Authority Laws to provide safe and sanitary housing for low-income persons. HACR provides the following programs: Section 8 Rent Subsidy Program (8,828 vouchers), Public Housing Program (469 units), a Family Self-Sufficiency (FSS) program (Section 8 participants), Housing Opportunities for Persons With Aids (HOPWA), the Shelter Plus Care program in partnership with Mental Health Department, and also works with the Child Protective Services Division of the Department of Public Services assisting families with children. In addition, the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside (HACR) was designated as the Successor Agency of the redevelopment housing functions. As of February 1, 2012, all RDA housing projects and activities were transferred to HACR.

The Department of Public Social Services (DPSS) serves as the lead agency and grantee for the Riverside County Continuum of Care (CoC). DPSS interacts with people on many levels, thereby impacting their daily lives through child care, education, employment, training, health

and human services, homelessness, and housing. The present day CoC Program resulted from the Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing Act of 2009 (HEARTH), which consolidated the three separate McKinney-Vento homeless assistance programs (Supportive Housing Program, Shelter Plus Care Program, and Section 8 moderate Rehabilitation SRO Program) into a single grant program. The CoC program is designed to promote community-wide planning and strategic use of resources to: address homelessness; improve coordination and integration with mainstream resources and other programs targeted to people experiencing homelessness; improve data collection and performance measurement; and allow each community to design programs to the particular strengths and challenges within the community.

The goal of DPSS is to facilitate a unified CoC whose role is to coordinate homeless efforts and is capable of meeting the varied needs of the County's homeless residents while at the same time establishing policies and procedures for such. The objective of reducing homelessness is promoted throughout the County by encouraging support from a wide-range of community stakeholders including businesses, community service groups, faith-based agencies, for-profit agencies, local government, neighborhood groups, non-profit organizations, and private foundations.

The Plan (CoC 10 Year Plan)¹⁵ to end homelessness approach involves focusing new and existing tools and resources on three sub-populations of homeless persons that encompasses all homeless and at-risk of becoming homeless person within the County. The three sub-populations of focus will include:

- Chronic Homeless Persons;
- Episodic Homeless Persons; and
- Person at-risk of becoming Homeless

EDA consulted with DPSS in servicing the needs of the homeless during the Consolidated Plan five year period. This joint effort has worked successfully in the past and EDA pledges its continuing support of the endeavor.

The Neighborhood Enhancement Unit (NEU)

The County is continuing its commitment of CDBG funds for this *Special Neighborhood Clean-Up Campaign*. The NEU helps residents create a safer living environment, remove blight, and take an active role in the improvement of their community. The NEU works with communities and their leaders in their respective districts to provide educational materials and resources to residents including: community cleanups, Spanish/English workshops, school assemblies, and community information fairs. They attend community meetings and participate with other

County departments in answering questions and providing information on health and safety issues, community sustainability programs, and slum and blight eradication services.

Code Enforcement

The County continues to provide various Housing Code Enforcement/Rehabilitation Programs through the Code Enforcement Division, under the umbrella of the Transportation and Land Management Agency. This Division is responsible for inspections and enforcing County ordinances relating to public nuisances, zoning violations, and other issues relevant to the needs of the unincorporated communities. Code Enforcement currently uses CDBG funds to pay for costs associated with the enforcement of local codes.

Code Enforcement is identified as a priority, especially in the lower-income and blighted target areas throughout the County. Code Enforcement priorities consist of inspecting substandard structures that have been determined to be uninhabitable and pose a threat to public health and safety in deteriorated areas. Code enforcement together with public/private sector improvements are expected to reverse the decline in these areas.

Urban County Program Participants

The following cities participated in the County's 2013-14 CPD program and were responsible for delivering specific programs and activities in their communities:

1.	City of Banning	District 5
2.	City of Beaumont	District 5
3.	City of Blythe	District 4
4.	Canyon Lake	District 1
5.	City of Coachella	District 4
6.	City of Desert Hot Springs	District 4
7.	City of Eastvale	District 2
8.	City of Indian Wells	District 4
9.	City of La Quinta	District 4
10.	City of Lake Elsinore (Metro City)	District 1
11.	City of Murrieta	District 3
12.	City of Norco	District 2
13.	City of San Jacinto	District 3
14.	City of Wildomar	District 1

The City of Lake Elsinore chose to participate in the County's Urban County program as a Joint Metro City/Urban County participant. The City's allocation for 2013-14, as determined by HUD, was \$382,565 less twelve percent (12%) retained by the County for administrating the City's CDBG program. Lake Elsinore funded eight (8) projects (including Admin) for the 2013-14 program year totaling \$382,565. The activities included CDBG program administration, public

service, public facility, and code enforcement.

Major Nonprofit Organizations

This section provides a sampling of the many non-profit organizations that provide viable and essential services to low-income residents.

Senior Services

Family Service Association of Western Riverside County Murrieta Senior Center

Youth Services

Boys & Girls Club of San Gorgonio Pass Operation Safehouse Eastvale Community Foundation

Homeless Services

Martha's Village and Kitchen Roy's Desert Resource Center Path of Life Ministries

Food Pantry's

Helping People in Need (H.O.P.E.) Community Food Pantry of Murrieta

Domestic Violence

Safe Alternatives for Everyone Alternatives to Domestic Violence

Health Services

Michelle's Place, Breast Cancer Resource Center Martha's Village and Kitchen

Community Development Housing Organizations (CHDO)

The County is required to allocate a minimum of 15% of its HOME funds for use by certified Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs) for new construction, acquisition, and/or rehabilitation of affordable rental housing. CHDOs are required to recertify with the County annually to be eligible to apply for HOME funds. To recertify, CHDOs must meet the guidelines defined in Section 92.2 of the HOME final rule, 24 CFR part 92.

In allocating CHDO funds, the County requires CHDOs to direct their activities towards low- and moderate-income households. These activities include providing affordable housing for low-

income households and appropriate housing for elderly and disabled households. The County is in compliance and anticipates exceeding the 15% threshold.

Coachella Valley Housing Coalition and Riverside Housing Development Corporation are currently certified as a CHDO by the County. The County obligated \$474,000 in CHDO set-aside funds for FY2013-2014. A total of \$2,260,734 was expended for CHDO funded projects during FY 2013-2014.

Overcoming Gaps in Institutional Structure

In addition to national objectives and performance measurements, the County must weigh and balance the input from different groups and assign funding priorities that best bridge the gaps in the County's service delivery system. Addressing the community, social, and economic development needs of low and moderate-income people throughout the County is a comprehensive, and at times daunting undertaking. The formation of sustainable partnerships and collaboration is essential. The institutional structure comprises the private, public, and nonprofit organizations that help carry-out the Consolidated Plan for the Urban County. The relationships and interaction of these organizations as they deliver programs and undertake activities is known as the County's housing and community development delivery system.

These efforts bring more than just leveraged financial resources to a project; they provide additional experience, knowledge, information, facilities, strategies, and other valuable resources. The County continues to encourage and support the formation of these joint efforts for projects and activities that create viable, self-sufficient communities; decent, affordable housing; a suitable living environment; and expanded economic development opportunities for low-income persons. The County values its partners and affiliated agencies and recognizes their vital contributions to improving the health and well-being of the entire community. No one agency or organization alone can successfully accomplish the task.

Strengths and gaps regarding the institutional structure delivery system were identified as a result of the surveys, community meetings, forums, and other research. With multiple agencies providing a variety of services, there may be a general lack of coordination causing an overlap of services or issues with directing clients to the appropriate services increasing the possibility of a client falling through the gaps. The system is further complicated by the geographical challenges of the County. The vast distance between communities and cities contributes to the impediments encountered by private, non-profit, and government agencies attempting to provide public services to low-income residents. With the number and variety of participants in the delivery system it can be difficult to establish priorities and to allocate resources. In addition to these gaps in the delivery system, more recently as a result of local, state, and federal budget cuts, the Urban County participating jurisdictions have all encountered some level of staffing cuts resulting in a lack of dedicated staff resources and effective implementation of the CDBG program.

The County continues to play an important role in both facilitating and directly bringing together diverse interests toward developing new and strengthening existing institutional structures and enhancing coordination. So far, the process has been responsive to new and emerging issues, including new HUD rules and requirements, which are continually testing the strengths and gaps of delivery system. The County developed and implemented the 2009-2014 Five-Year Consolidated Plan and subsequent Action Plans through public, nonprofit, and private sector partnerships and collaboration. This coordination of efforts and cooperation has been instrumental in meeting the wide-range of community development needs and will help carry out the Consolidated Plan for the Urban County.

The following issues have been identified:

- Geographic location of governmental, private, and non-profit agencies
- Communication gaps between the private and public sectors
- Lack of public awareness of services and needs
- Local politics and agendas
- Local policies and procedures
- Institution barriers (service area and competition for limited funding source)
- Underutilization of non-profit agencies as partners
- Language barriers
- Community apathy
- Funding policies on programs
- Cultural views, belief, and acceptance of government assistance

The County will continue to take specific actions to overcome these obstacles by using all available resources such as annual meetings with service providers and improving communication by the posting of notices and information on websites.

Enhancing Coordination

Coordination efforts have been instrumental in meeting the wide-range of community development needs in the County. The County has taken the initiative to develop more opportunities and continues to encourage and support the formation of these joint efforts for projects and activities that will create viable, self-sufficient communities; decent, affordable housing; a suitable living environment; and expanded economic development opportunities for low-income persons.

The County strives to increase affordable housing collaborative efforts with public and private sector entities, numerous advisory agencies, Community Housing Development Organizations ("CHDOs"), lending institutions, as well as other service providers including Catholic Charities, Office on Aging, and Code Enforcement. Efforts to increase the participation of the CDBG,

HOME, Low-income Tax Credit, Federal, State and other local housing program sources will be directed at:

- Strengthening the housing service delivery system by working more closely with the Housing Authority and by collaborating with non-profit organizations;
- Integrating community development block grant housing programs;
- Increasing the involvement of the Community Council, Housing Review Committee, and the Municipal Advisory Council (MAC); and
- Working more closely with identified Community Housing Development Organizations ("CHDOs") as well as local city governments.

The County continues to expand its relationships with a variety of groups and agencies in an effort to better meet the needs of the community. Addressing the community, social, and economic development needs of low- and moderate- income people throughout Riverside County is a comprehensive and daunting undertaking. No one agency or organization alone can successfully accomplish the task. The formation of sustainable partnerships and collaboration is essential. These efforts bring more than just leveraged financial resources to a project; they provide additional experience, knowledge, information, facilities, strategies, and other valuable resources.

Actions to Overcome or Eliminate Impediments to Fair Housing Choice

Analysis of Impediments (AI) to Fair Housing Choice

The County completed a Fair Housing Impediments Study in March 2014. The results included an audit analysis conducted by the Fair Housing Council of Riverside County (FHCRC) which suggests that housing discrimination persists county-wide, in subtle and not so subtle forms, particularly in the categories of disability and race, and in the mortgage lending industry. The following issues were identified as current impediments to fair housing county-wide. Some of the recommended strategies may support already existing or proposed programs within Riverside County. These suggestions are still included to emphasize their importance.

- 1. Lack of Affordable Housing
- 2. Lack of Available Housing
- 3. Marketing and Viewing of Available Units
- 4. Rental Housing Qualification Criteria
- 5. Predatory Lending and Steering
- 6. Predatory Lending Practices

In addition, the following impediments identified in the 2009 Fair Housing Impediment Study continue to be addressed:

Impediment 7: Unequal Treatment in Sale and Rental Housing
Impediment 8: Discrimination in Housing Accessibility
Impediment 9: Discrimination in Rates of Housing Loan Approvals
Impediment 10: Potential Impediments Created Through Housing Elements
Impediment 11: Potential Impediments Created Through Land Use Controls
Impediment 12: Cost of Housing as an Impediment to Fair Housing
Impediment 13: Shortfalls in the Fair Housing Council's Data Collection
Impediment 14: Discrimination Based on Disabilities
Impediment 15: Reluctance to Rent to Section 8 Recipients

AI Recommendations and Actions Undertaken in Program Year 2013-2014

The implementation of the following recommendations, as identified in both the 2014 and 2009 Fair Housing Impediment Studies helped ensure access to fair housing during the 2013-2014 FY:

Impediment 1: Lack of Affordable Housing

Recommendations:

- Alleviate governmental constraints which add to the cost of housing and developer costs.
- Reduce the cost of housing to the consumer, be it rental or single-family homes, through the elimination of unnecessary governmental actions, policies and regulations.
- Increase the number of Agency funded affordable single and multifamily housing projects.

Impediment 2: <u>Lack of Available Housing</u> *Recommendation:*

• Increase the number of Agency funded affordable single and multifamily housing projects.

Impediment 3: Marketing and Viewing of Available Units

Recommendation:

• Rental property owners, managers, and realtors should be encouraged to provide written documentation to all applicants which include the listings of all available housing, standard information on the terms and conditions of the application process, posting Fair Housing informational signs and providing Fair Housing literature.

Impediment 4: Rental Housing Qualification Criteria

Recommendation.

• Encourage rental property owners, managers, and realtors to provide written documentation to all applicants including the listings of all available housing, standard

information on the terms and conditions of the application process, such as income qualifications, down payments, and other fees and expenses, posting Fair Housing informational signs, and providing Fair Housing literature.

Impediment 5: Predatory Lending and Steering

Recommendations.

• Continue to provide homebuyer education, credit counseling, and fair housing counseling and awareness training to the first-time home buyers and homeowners, particularly low-income and very low-income applicants.

Impediment 6: Predatory Lending Practices

Recommendations:

- Contract with the Fair Housing Council of Riverside County, Inc., to conduct audit testing.
- Continue to develop, expand, and provide more education and outreach to housing providers, community organizations, and the general public requesting housing discrimination, fair housing laws, and services provided by the Fair Housing Council.
- Continue homebuyer education programs and ongoing education for participants in the first time homebuyer program that the Fair Housing Council offers.

Impediment 7: Unequal Treatment in the Sale and Rental of Housing *Recommendations*.

- Conduct periodic audits to determine the nature, extent, and changes in housing discrimination.
 - Track complaints to determine the nature, extent, and changes in housing discrimination throughout the audited cities.
 - Enhance County and Fair Housing Council's anti-discrimination campaigns.
 - Promote fair housing laws in rental housing, mortgage lending, and real estate sales markets within the audited cities.
 - Encourage rental property owners, managers, realtors, and lending agents to provide written information to all applicants that includes the listing of all available housing, standard information on the terms and conditions of the application process, posting Fair Housing informational signs, and providing Fair Housing literature.

Impediment 8: Discrimination in Housing Accessibility

Recommendations.

- Provide diversity through education, training, and outreach seminars.
- Continue to enhance community outreach activities and promote cultural diversity training and promote Fair Housing laws which now include gender identity and gender expression under the protected class of sex. and cultural diversity training.

Impediment 9: Discrimination in Rates of Housing Loan Approvals

Recommendation.

• Provide homebuyer education, credit counseling, and fair housing counseling and awareness training to first time home buyers and homeowners.

Impediment 10: Potential Impediments Created Through Housing Elements

Recommendation.

• Ensuring that CDBG cooperating cities that have an approved Housing Element incorporates state mandated density rules into their respective zoning ordinances. Not all CDBG Cooperating cities evaluated in the impediment studies have an approved Housing Element

Impediment 11: Potential Impediments Created Through Land Use Controls

Recommendations.

- Ensure that Cooperating Cities have an approved Housing Element.
- Continue to advocate zoning ordinances that provide Density Bonus projects, a wider range of zoning to meet affordable housing needs, and reduction in developer fees.
- Encourage Cooperating Cities to establish a wider range of zoning and specific plan implementation to meet affordable housing needs.
- Encourage Cooperating Cities to develop zoning codes similar to Riverside County's Ordinance 346.

Impediment 12: Cost of Housing as an Impediment to Fair Housing

Recommendations.

- Alleviate governmental constraints including the reduction of developer fees.
- Encourage each Cooperating City to develop a fast track/priority processing systems for affordable projects.
- Reduce the cost of housing to the consumer, be it rental or single-family homes, through the elimination of unnecessary governmental actions, policies, and regulations.

Impediment 13: Shortfalls in the Fair Housing Council's Data Collection *Recommendation*.

• Continue to enhance the current tracking system to target the existence of, or lack of, discriminatory practices, and tailor specific remedies for problems discovered.

Impediment 14: Discrimination Based on Disabilities

Recommendations.

- Provide education and outreach to housing providers through seminars or community workshops in educating the current law on discrimination against the disabled.
- Expand or explore the type of disability discrimination prevalent in certain communities and target the outreach appropriately. Future audits could include wheelchair testers and other protected class groups such as persons with AIDS and mentally ill person.

• Work in cooperation with and support the efforts of non-profit community service providers that assist disabled persons in locating suitable housing through information, referrals, and community education.

Impediment 15: Reluctance to Rent to Section 8 Recipients

Recommendation.

• Provide information and counseling to new recipients of government rental subsidies to assist them in dealing with reluctant landlords and finding suitable housing.

All impediments were also addressed by implementing the following recommendations during the FY:

- Promoting fair housing laws in rental housing, mortgage lending and real estate sales markets.
- Continuing to develop anti-discrimination campaign and literature.
- Partnering with the FHCRC to increase fair housing, first time homebuyer education, and credit and counseling services.
- Broadening the understanding of the diversity of cultures through more education, training and outreach seminars, regarding fair housing laws and cultural sensitivity issues to rental property owners, property management companies and agents, as well as apartment owner associations and renters.

Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing

(2010 Census -- Riverside County population 2,189,641 with the present population of 2,292,507)

Housing impediments are considered to be substantial barriers to fair housing choices for the affected individual/families due to the fact that impediments potentially prevent access to housing, a basic and vital need. Impediments are known to produce multiple effects on a family's social structure, enforce negativity, attack the quality of education, exacerbate health and safety problems through overcrowding, and stifle economic growth within communities.


In the past, impediments were primarily seen as race, color, national origin, religion, sex, familial status (presence of children), disabilities, age, marital status, and social economics. Today, the Fair Housing Council of Riverside County, Inc. (FHCRC) continues to see evidence of the impediment that has been created due to substandard lending practices within the housing/lending industry. With the impact of this county's high foreclosure rate, the FHCRC anticipated the increased need for affordable rental housing. In addition, with the increase of low-income individuals being evicted from their apartments due job loss, there may also be an increase in homelessness.

During the 2013-2014 FY, FHCRC has continued to reduce housing injustice through intensified education and outreach activities resulting in an enhanced awareness of fair housing laws and outreach activities. The foreclosure problem for many throughout the County caused a significant increase in calls for service.

Although the housing crisis remains prevalent and cumbersome, FHCRC's First Time Home Buyers Program has grown immensely and the individuals receiving education through the First Time Home Buyers Workshops will undoubtedly improve the future of homeownership in the County of Riverside. The following summary provides a synopsis of FHCRC's 2013-2014 activities to further Fair Housing with the County of Riverside.


1. Anti-discrimination and Enforcement Activities

FHC received 216 discrimination complaints countywide (Western/Eastern unincorporated and co-operating cities). The categories of complaints were: age, ancestry, arbitrary, color, familial status, gender, marital status, mental disability, national origin, physical disability, race, religion, sex, sexual orientation, and source of income. The highest percentages of complaints were received in the categories of national origin (31%) and physical disability (27%). The remaining areas were familial status (20%), race (6%), mental disability (5%), sex (4%), source of income (2%), age (1%), arbitrary (1%), marital status (1%), religion (1%), and sexual orientation (1%). There were no complaints under the categories of ancestry, color, or gender.



2. Landlord/Tenants/Home Buyer Complaints

During the reporting period 2,038 calls regarding general housing problems were received. The highest percentage of complaints was in the categories of repairs (22%). The remaining reported issues were: notices (15%), evictions (11%), lease/rental terms (11%), deposits (9%), other (8%), habitability (6%), entering\harassment (4%), mobile homes (4%), mold (2%), occupancy standards (2%), rent increase (2%), affordable housing (1%), foreclosure issue (1%), rental assistance (1%), and Section 8 issues (1%). There were no complaints regarding homeless assistance referrals, late fees, and lead during this reporting period.




3. Foreclosure Prevention/First Time Buyer Program


FHC's Foreclosure Prevention and Default Counseling Department Program assist homeowners who are delinquent, threatening default, or going through foreclosure. Three Foreclosure prevention workshops were held during the FY.

The Foreclosure Intervention and Default Counseling Department assisted Post-Purchase Clients which resulted in 143 clients receiving foreclosure prevention/budget counseling and 143 clients entered debt management. Among other outcomes, 5 client modified their mortgages, 1 client brought their mortgages current and 137 persons are in-process with the servicer. Complete foreclosure intervention counseling statistics are published in the Fair Housing Council's Quarterly Reports.

The First Time Home Buyer Program consisted of explaining the home buying process, how to qualify for a loan, placing a down payment, budgeting and credit. Sixteen workshops were held during the FY which resulted in assisting 327 Pre-Purchase Clients. In addition, FHCRC has also provided one-on-one pre-purchase counseling to 122 households.

%AMI	Foreclosure	one-on-one	FTHB	FTHB PERRIS
Very Low	22	8	26	19
Low	35	9	40	22
Moderate	38	12	64	29
Above Moderate	48	93	56	47
No Response	0	0	8	16
Total	143	122	194	133
RACE	0	0	0	0
White (HISP)	106	98	141	91
Black	20	11	30	22
Asian	10	10	9	8
Amer Ind/Alask Nat	0	1	4	2
Pacific Islander	0	0	3	0
Pacific Islander & Hisp	2	0	0	0
Other Multi Race	0	0	0	2
Other Non-Hispanic	1	1	7	4
Hispanic Black	4	1	0	0
No response	0	0	0	4
Total	143	122	194	133
ETHNICITY				
Hisp	72	51	118	70
Non Hisp	71	71	75	59
Chose not to respond	0	0	1	4
Total	143	122	194	133





4. Education Seminars (Municipalities and Service Providers)

FHCRC conducted 10 "Fair Housing Law and Practices Workshops" for property owners, managers, realtors, lenders, renters and maintenance personnel throughout the County and trained nearly 300 housing providers. In addition, FHCRC conducted presentations at 32 various meetings. Last, FHCRC held the 2014 Housing Conference and the 12th Annual Champions for Justice were there were over 200 persons in attendance at each event. Topics of discussion were rejuvenation, affordable housing, education, reasonable accommodations and modifications, and economic development for the community within a downtrend market.

5. Outreach

FHCRC has developed a strong outreach program that can be recognized throughout the County. Outreach efforts included door to door canvassing, networking with other agencies, and participating at various community events such as Fair Housing Month, County Health Fairs, various Community Workshops, and other County wide Community Fairs. Overall, nearly 11,000 piece of literature were disseminated.

Marketing efforts included: TV Public Service Announcements (over 100,000 Subscribe); Radio – over 200,000 listeners; Print (newspaper, Crime Free Newsletter, Brochures) - 450,000 Pieces distributed; and FHCRC website over 600,000 hits.

Х.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Description of the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Description of the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

Ensuring Compliance with Program and Comprehensive Planning Requirements

The County has identified two separate yet related components of effective CPD Program monitoring: internal (EDA) and external (Sub-recipients). Internal monitoring encompasses the actions, procedures, and performance of EDA staff - the CSD staff assigned to CDBG and ESG as well as staff assigned to the Housing Ownership and Rehabilitation Division. External or sub-recipient monitoring is directed at those organizations or entities receiving CDBG or ESG funding. These include the cooperating cities/metropolitan cities and their sub-recipients, Sponsors (non-profits), and County Agencies. Monitoring can take a number of forms and can include review of progress reports, telephone consultation, and performance of on-site assessments.

In accordance with HUD CPD program regulations, the County continually monitors all CPD-funded sub-recipients and their activities. The County's <u>CPD Program Monitoring Policies</u> were developed and adopted in April, 2006, through Administrative Program Notice 2006.01, to ensure compliance with 24 CFR 570.502 (CDBG), 24 CFR 576.61 (ESG), and 24 CFR Part 85.40 (a).

HUD regulation 24 CFR Part 85.40 (a) provides for the general program monitoring responsibilities of the County in the administration of the HUD-funded CPD programs. Grantees are responsible for managing the day-to-day operations of grant and sub grant supported activities. Grantees must monitor grant and sub grant supported activities to assure compliance with applicable Federal requirements and that performance goals are being achieved. Grantee monitoring must cover each program, function, or activity.

Monitoring activities of sub-recipients are carried out through the following methods:

• <u>Federal Regulatory Compliance</u> – Staff ensures that all CPD funded activities comply with applicable Federal regulations including environmental clearance, labor compliance,

procurement procedures, affirmative action, equal opportunity, and fair housing.

- <u>CPD-Funded Project Review</u> Adherence to community priority needs as set forth in the Five-Year Consolidated Plan. Staff reviews and analyzes all proposed CPD projects.
- <u>Contracts and Agreements</u> As contracts are written, strict controls are placed on the use of funds. County Counsel and staff ensure that all contracts between the County and CPD sub-recipients and sub-tier contracts contain the necessary language detailing HUD and County requirements.
- <u>Project Reporting</u> Performance measures are established as part of the agreement. CPD sub-recipients are required to submit a report on the programs ability to meet an "overall benefit" requirement on a monthly, quarterly, and annual basis. This enables staff to monitor the effectiveness of the funded projects.
- On-site Visits EDA staff conducts on-site monitoring visits annually for technical and financial oversight, including progress of the sub-recipient's work plan, performance schedule, and budget. Maintaining a staff liaison with the recipient eliminates potential problems and resolves performance problems before they become major issues. Site visits include, but are not limited to: monitoring recipients for compliance with the terms and conditions of the executed contract; assuring that recipients account for proper use and accounting of program income; assuring that recipients submit required organizational audits; assuring recipient's requests for reimbursement are submitted in a timely manner and in the proper format; and assuring recipients are maintaining current files and records. A *site visit/monitoring sheet*, with findings, is completed by staff, and placed in the project file. If a recipient is found in violation, written notification of findings is provided to the sub-recipient. A follow-up monitoring visit(s) is conducted, if necessary.

The criteria for additional or more extensive monitoring visits and the selection of monitored organizations include the following:

- Recipient experiencing negative audit findings, continuous HUD investigations, (or other legal forms of investigations) complaints;
- Recipient with no previous CDBG experience;
- Project complexity, size or grant amounts (i.e., the larger the grant, the more complex the project, or size of the project);
- Extensive use of subcontractors in carrying out activities;
- Subrecipients that use the most Block Grant dollars.

Monitoring can take a number of forms and can include review of progress reports, telephone consultation, and performance of on-site assessments. The three basic goals for oversight and monitoring of the progress and performance of ESG grantees/recipients include:

- Ensure that ESG funds are used effectively to assist homeless individuals and families and that the basic ESG program goals are met;
- Ensure compliance with ESG regulations and program requirements in the usage of funds and in carrying out program activities; and
- Enhance and develop the management capacity of grantees or recipients.

All sub-recipients of ESG funds are required to involve or encourage involvement of participants in the operation of an ESG-funded program or facility. ESG sub-recipients will be required to provide documentation during EDA monitoring visits of their efforts to seek the participation of the homeless or formerly homeless.

HOME Projects:

- Each agreement for the use of HOME funds for affordable housing projects is approved by the Riverside County Board of Supervisors and is governed by the HOME agreement which sets forth the guidelines for each project. The majority of HOME agreements require the delivery of HOME project compliance reports on an annual basis. These reports are reviewed for compliance with the HOME agreement requirements and HOME regulations on a semi-annual basis.
- In addition to the review of annual compliance reports, compliance staff conducts yearly site visits, the level of which is determined based upon program requirements, regulatory agreements, and the use of a risk assessment tool. Staff also facilitates semi-annual Monitoring Technical Assistance Workshops, which are geared towards property owners and property management company personnel, to address monitoring requirements and compliance concerns.
- During the reporting period, compliance staff continued to implement a compliance review system as detailed in the division's Monitoring Desk Procedures. Throughout the course of the FY, over 39 HOME projects, 36 RDA funded projects, 8 BOND funded projects, and 3 CDBG funded projects were monitored to ensure compliance with affordable rent and income restrictions. Monitoring entailed both on-site physical inspections and the desk review of annual compliance reports. Concerted efforts were made to ensure that all housing projects remained in compliance with their regulatory agreements during the FY.

- In the instances where noncompliance concerns were identified, they were in the area of over-income tenants residing in low-income restricted units and nonuse of an approved utility allowance. In cases where compliance findings were identified, noncompliance notification was issued by compliance staff and individualized assistance was provided as needed. By the end of the reporting period, all projects which received findings either submitted documentation evidencing the correction of findings or the projects submitted formal statements regarding their plans to adequately address the findings. The continued implementation of a Risk Assessment Tool further enabled compliance staff to identify projects which would be most in need of technical assistance.
- Cooperative efforts with the County's Planning Department resulted in the Housing Division's increased marketing of its programs. Inter-departmental collaboration also occurred in the pooling of housing development resources as well as joint efforts in reporting the agency's activities with regard to the Housing Element for the County of Riverside.
- In addition to the review of annual compliance reports, compliance staff conducts yearly site visits, the level of which is determined based upon program requirements, regulatory agreements, and the use of a risk assessment tool.

Citizen Participation and Comments

Citizen Participation Process for CDBG, HOME, and ESG Programs

The Riverside County Economic Development Agency (EDA) is the lead County agency responsible for the administration, planning, reporting, and monitoring of three CPD –funded programs: CDBG, HOME, and ESG. The EDA sought and encouraged community-wide participation in the development of the 2013-2014 One Year Action Plan through a community and resident outreach and participation process. To foster consultation and community outreach, the EDA utilized its Citizen Participation Plan which establishes policies and protocols for comprehensive community outreach and citizen involvement for the use of CPD funds. It was determined that the most appropriate and effective methods to obtain input from residents, service providers, and other interested persons concerning community development needs, issues, and opportunities are through:

- 1. Citizen Participation Meetings
- 2. Comprehensive Community Needs Assessment Survey (Incorporated into the Consolidated Plan development process)
- 3. Urban County Participating Cities

A *Notification of Funding Availability* was published in August, 2012, for the 2013-2014 CDBG, ESG, and HOME programs From September 2012 through February 2013, the County initiated its Citizen Participation (CP) process by notifying cooperating cities, community members, and public/private sector agencies of the 2013 CPD Program Year.

In an effort to broaden public participation, the agency conducted Citizen Participation Public Meetings throughout the County's CPD program area. Public meetings were held in Mecca, Oasis, Ripley/Mesa Verde, North Shore, Good Hope/Meadowbrook, Mead Valley, and Desert Edge. These communities are representative of the unincorporated communities in the County with concentrations of low/moderate income households, minority households, excessive poverty rates, and other community development needs.

The public meetings were held at times and locations convenient for potential and actual program beneficiaries. Citizens were invited to attend the meetings to learn about the programs and services available to them, express their views on their community's housing and community development needs, prioritize community needs, and comment on prior program performance. Prior to the Public Meetings, a County-wide notice, in English and Spanish, was published in newspapers of general circulation and made available on the Economic Development Agency's website announcing the purpose, date, time, and location of the meetings.

During the CP meetings, staff discuss the anticipated CPD allocations; priority community, social, and economic development needs; and accomplishments. This is an essential part of the planning process for the future use of CPD funds. CP meetings were also held in the cooperating cities to report on past CPD performance, request citizen input in identifying housing and community development needs, and comment upon proposed funding allocations.

The principal stakeholders in the Citizen Participation process are:

- a. Residents;
- b. Community Councils and Municipal Advisory Councils (act as the forum for communication between the County and the citizens);
- c. The County Board of Supervisors (who must approve the Federal Grant application and all substantial reprogramming decisions);
- d. Economic Development Agency staff; and
- e. Activity Sponsors (non-profit and public agency service providers)

The County also developed and distributed a comprehensive Housing and Community Development Needs Assessment survey for residents, service providers, and other stakeholders to solicit community opinions and concerns. The survey was distributed throughout the County and was made available in English and Spanish and was also available on-line.

The survey incorporated seven general needs categories: Community Facilities, Community Services, Infrastructure, Employment and Commuting, Childcare, Economic Development, and

Accessibility. Within each category, various subcategories were defined such as Senior Citizen Centers under the Community Facilities category and Health Services under the Community Services category. Respondents were asked to rate each using high, medium, low, or no-need. The survey provided residents the opportunity to identify community needs that could be addressed through CPD programs, assign priority among these needs, as well as participate in the development of affordable housing, and/or provision of services to children, elderly persons, persons with disabilities, persons with HIV/AIDS and their families, and homeless persons.

The County also sought assistance from the fourteen (14) cities participating in the Urban County Program in the development of the County's 2013-2014 One Year Action Plan. Each city was strongly encouraged to facilitate and support the participation of all residents in the identification and assessment of community development needs by conducting a comprehensive survey, public meetings, and public hearings within their cities.

The Citizen Participation process is necessary to ensure adequate citizen involvement in the determination of Riverside County's community needs and investment in housing, homelessness, community development, and economic development for the five-year Consolidated Plan period. The prioritization and investment decisions are a result of collective efforts and serve to identify immediate and long range needs and objectives.

In order to broaden public participation even further, EDA contacted non-profit social service providers, special districts, and other County departments and agencies to publicize the community meetings. The Resident survey was prepared in English and Spanish in an effort to increase participation by Spanish-speaking residents.

CAPER FY 2013/14 Process

The County strongly supports and encourages the participation, input, cooperation, and feedback from all residents of the County in the administration of its CPD-funded programs and the development of the CAPER. This is primarily accomplished through the County's (EDA) ongoing participation in grass-roots and community-based taskforces, committees, community councils, advisory boards, and other forums.

In addition, the County invited residents and stakeholders to participate in the CAPER process through the opportunity of viewing the draft CAPER and making comments from September 7, 2014 through September 23, 2014. A notice was published indicating that copies of the draft CAPER would be available for public review at the offices of the Economic Development Agency and web site, <u>www.rivcoeda.org.</u> Copies of the final CAPER are available upon request and may be requested in person or by mail. The CAPER is also available in printable format on the web site referenced above.

No comments were received on the 2013-2014 CAPER.

Pursuant to 24 CFR 91.105(e), a public hearing will be held in December, 2014, as part of the regular Board of Supervisor meeting to obtain the views and comments of residents, service providers, local governments, and other interested parties regarding:

• The performance of the County's HUD/CPD-funded programs (Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), Home Investment Partnership Act (HOME); and the Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) as related to the 2013-2014 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER).

Written comments submitted to EDA will be included in the summary of comments, together with 2013-2014 CDBG, HOME, and ESG accomplishments, to be presented at the public hearing.

All residents are given reasonable access to information and records regarding the CAPER. EDA policy is that program records maintained on file, requiring research and compilation will be provided within a reasonable time period upon receipt of a written request, which specifically states the information desired.

The public was notified that for all steps and/or actions related to the CAPER and Citizen Participation process accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities act are available upon request.

Self-Evaluation

The *Housing and Community Development Act of 1974*, as amended, and the *National Affordable Housing Act of 1990*, require the annual submission of performance reports by grant recipients receiving federal assistance through programs covered under these Acts. Additionally, these Acts require that a determination be made by the Secretary that the grant recipient is in compliance with the statutes and regulations and has the continuing capacity to implement and administer the programs for which assistance is received. The applicable regulations of 24 CFR Part 91.525 require the County to evaluate and report to the public on the County's overall progress in: the management of its CPD program funds; compliance with the Consolidated Plan; the submittal of accurate performance reports; and the extent to which progress has been achieved toward the statutory goals identified in 24 CFR Part 91.1 of the Consolidated Plan regulations.

In conducting this evaluation, the County relied primarily upon this document, the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER), which will be submitted to HUD, by the County, for its Program Year ending June 30, 2014. In addition, we considered technical assistance, communications, and the County's response to citizen comments and/or complaints. This section constitutes a brief synopsis of this evaluation.

The County of Riverside has continued its commitment to meeting priority goals outlined in the 2009-2014 Five Year Consolidated Plan. The overall goal of the Community Planning and Development (CPD) programs as stated in the Consolidated Plan is to develop viable communities by providing decent and affordable housing, a suitable living environment, and expanding economic development opportunities principally for low and moderate income persons. The Consolidated Plan outlined the following priority goals to complete the overall goal of the CPD programs:

- Affordable Housing
- Homelessness
- Other Special Needs
- Non-Housing Community Development
- Removal of Barriers to Affordable Housing
- Lead Based Paint Hazard Reduction
- Anti-Poverty Strategy

In addition, the County established the following long-term objectives for non-housing community development priority needs:

- Infrastructure Improvements
- Public Facility Improvements
- Economic Development, including job creation and retention activities
- Public Services
- Special Neighborhood Cleanup Campaigns to arrest further deterioration of physically deteriorated areas
- Commercial and Industrial improvements by Section 204 sub-recipients
- Property inspections and follow-up actions directly related to the enforcement of state and local codes

The County of Riverside through the Economic Development Agency (EDA), set forth efforts to strengthen the housing delivery system and has been successful (as indicated in the affordable housing sections of the CAPER) at making an impact on identified needs by leveraging funding sources to provide affordable housing. As with most of the priority goals, the needs far outweigh the County's available resources. Through programmatic changes, the Economic Development Agency has been able to promote enhanced coordination between Riverside County Service Areas, Riverside County Office on *Aging, Riverside County Department of Community Action, Riverside County Department of Public Social Services, Housing Authority of the County of Riverside, Fair Housing Council of Riverside County Inc., and a variety of non-profit organizations.*

Federal funding sources were maximized to the greatest extent possible by leveraging with available state and local funding. The primary housing resources are federal funds under the

HOME, CDBG, Continuum of Care Program, ESG (Emergency Solutions Grant), and Public Housing programs.

Non-Housing Community Development

The primary non-housing funding resources are federal funds under the Community Development Block Grant program as well as local resources and private sector funding. The County has successfully leveraged resources with local public funds to improve the living environment for low- to moderate-income individuals by improving the safety of the neighborhoods and providing a variety of infrastructure improvements, public facility improvements, public services, and economic development/job creation activities.

The County continues to follow the Non-Housing Community Development Plan outlined in the Consolidated Plan (as amended). The County's allocation and expenditures of CDBG funds generally supports the highest priorities set forth in the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan, approximately one-hundred percent (100%) of the Public Facility and Public Service expenditures in FY 2013-14 were on high-priority needs.

Benefit to Low and Moderate Income Persons

During the 2013-2014 program year, the County expended a total of \$4,556,269 (excludes program administration expenditures) of CDBG funds. Of this total expenditure, 100% provided benefit to low and moderate-income persons.

XI.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences. Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants? No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year. N/A

Nature and Reasons for Changes in Program Objectives

During the 2013-2014 program year, the County amended the 2013-2014 One Year Action Plan of the 2009-2014 Five Year Consolidated Plan by adding or revising the following projects:

			Proposed		
Project	Eligibility	Status	Funding Amount	Benefit	Description
1.66-13 – Lakeland Village Road Improvements (IDIS #5222)	Street Improvements – 03K	Underway	\$605,000 CDBG	LMA	The County of Riverside's Transportation Department will use CDBG funds to pay for approximately 2,225 linear feet of road improvements in the unincorporated community of Lakeland Village. Targeted streets include Shadow Mountain Lane, Washington St., Rose Street, Jamieson Street, and Fairview Street. Improvements include .33ft of asphalt mix over 0.5ft gravel base.
1.67-13 -Lakeland Village Community Center and Park Project (IDIS #5541)	Public Facility - 03	Underway	\$1,900,000 CDBG	LMA	The County will use CDBG funds for site acquisition, project design and engineering, and construction of improvements and renovations to the former Butterfield Elementary School in Lakeland Village. The facility will be utilized as a multi-purpose, community resource and service center, as well as a park and playground area, providing essential services and recreational opportunities to residents in the Lakeland Village community.
1.CL.01-13 - Canyon Lake Facility Improvements	Public Facility - 03	Underway	\$36,040 CDBG	LMC	CDBG funds will be used by the City of Canyon Lake for eligible public facilities improvements serving low/moderate income persons. As

Project					specific and eligible projects are
					identified, the City will submit a
(IDIS #5523)					request to the County to proceed with
					amendments to the One Year Action
					Plan pursuant to the Citizens
					Participation Plan.
1.WD.04-13 -	Street	Underway	\$88,958	LMA	The City of Wildomar will pave 66,400
Wildomar Street	Improvements –		CDBG		square feet of road on Olive Street. The
Improvement	03K				improvements will include street
(IDIS #5537)					sweeping, vegetation removal, and fillin of depressions with crack fill material,
					applying asphaltic chip seal, and slurry s
					over the chip seal, and repaint traffic
					stripes and legends.
1.WD.05-13 -	Interim Assistance	Underway	\$120,000	LMA	The City of Wildomar will allocate fundir
Wildomar	- 06		CDBG		to Riverside County to conduct
Community Clean-					Neighborhood Clean-up Campaigns in
Up					low-income areas that exhibit
- 1-					determinable signs of physical
(IDIS #5538)					deterioration. CDBG funds to pay for co
					associated with the removal of
					accumulated trash, garbage, tires, and
					debris, staff cost, as well as the
					abatement of vacant unpermitted mobil
					homes and other structures as part of a widespread, comprehensive, blight-
					reduction plan in the City of Wildomar.
3.99-13 - Hemet	Senior Centers-	Underway	\$700,000	LMC	CDBG funds will be used for the
Senior Center	03A	,	CDBG	Line	acquisition, design and construction
Parking Lot					of improvements and upgrades to the
Expansion Site					facility's parking areas to eliminate
Acquisition and					parking congestion and improve
Improvement					access for clients visiting the center.
Project					Improvements will include grading,
,					paving, drainage, and striping of the
(IDIS #5472)					20,000 square foot parking area.
2100 12	Church		(¢200.000		The County of Diverside
3.100-13 -	Street	Underway	\$200,000	LMA	The County of Riverside will use
Winchester Road	Improvements –				\$200,000 of CDBG funds to pay for costs associated with approximately 2
Improvement Project	03K				miles of road improvements and
Project					upgrades to unpaved and
(IDIS #5539)					unimproved road segments in the
					Winchester community. Project will
					include survey and staking, grade
					preparation, and the installation and
					compaction of Class II base. The
					project will provide improved traffic
					flaw, drainage, pedestrian safety, dust
					mitigation, and all-weather
					emergency access.

4.153-13 - TMDCI	Public Facility - 03	Underway	\$8,000	LMA	CDBG funds will be used by the Torres
Fire Suppression System Improvements (IDIS #5546)					Martinez Desert Cahuilla Indians (TMDCI) to complete fire suppression system improvements at the TMDCI reservation, which is located in a low- to moderate-income area. CDBG funds will be used specifically to purchase and install a fire pump for the water system, which serves the tribal community and the tribal community's essential services facilities.
4.BL.35-13 - Blythe Community Center Renovation Project (IDIS #5527)	Neighborhood Facilities – 03E	Underway	\$360,000	LMA	CDBG funds will be used to complete various renovation activities at the City of Blythe's Community Center, which offers regional access to community programs, events and functions.
5.64-13 - Grange Community Center Improvements- Phase II (IDIS #5525)	Neighborhood Facilities – 03E	Underway	\$25,000	LMA	2013-2014 OYAP approved back-up project. Beaumont-Cherry Valley Recreation & Park District will use CDBG funds for construction of The Grange Community Center Improvements. The Grange facility is utilized by residents in the low- moderate income for recreational classes, community meetings, food program, and special events. Improvements will include removal of asbestos material, deteriorated ceiling, light fixture replacement to energy efficient lighting, replacement of flooring, and painting.
5.BEA.22-13 - City of Beaumont Community Pool Renovation Project (IDIS #5495)	Neighborhood Facilities – 03E	Underway	\$300,000	LMA	The City of Beaumont revised this project from street/sidewalk improvements to a City pool renovation project and increased the CDBG funds by \$141,640 to pay for costs associated with the design and construction of various improvements and renovations to the City's community pool including the removal of 3069 square feet of concrete, and replacement of pipes, valves, sand filters, and ladders.
9.110-13 - Good Hope Community Center and Park Improvements	Parks, Recreational Facilities – 03F	Underway	\$120,000	LMA	The County of Riverside will use \$120,000 of CDBG funds to pay for costs associated with the demolition and clearance of existing structures and landscaping on the Good Hope

(IDIS #5524)					Community Center Park site that are not part of the recreation component and have become a nuisance and threat to public safety. The proposed demolition and clearance activities include: • Building/Structure Demolition • Concrete • Landscaping
9.111-13 - Roy's Desert Resource Center (IDIS #5529)	Operating Costs for Homeless/AIDS Patients Programs – 03T	Complete	\$66,000	LMC	2013-2014 OYAP approved back-up project. Jewish Family Services of San Diego provides operational and maintenance services for Roy's Desert Resource Center. The resource center provides case management, shelter, transportation and food to homeless individuals and families. CDBG funds were used to reimburse Sponsor for costs associated with their per diem cost to provide assistance to homeless individuals.
9.112-13 DHS Library Facility Improvements (IDIS #5530)	Neighborhood Facilities – 03E	Complete	\$27,161	LMA	The County of Riverside used CDBG funds to pay for costs associated with improvements to the Desert Hot Springs Library. Proposed improvements include replacing approximately 3500 square feet of flooring, and other necessary renovations to avoid safety hazards and comply with safety.
9.113-13 - Fairgrounds Concert Pavilion ADA Renovations (IDIS #5550)	Public Facility - 03	Underway	\$100,000	LMC	The County will use CDBG funds for the construction of ADA accessibility improvements to the Concert Pavilion area of the Riverside County Fairgrounds in Indio. Project involves the design and construction of approximately 10,000 square feet of ADA-compliant paved areas to improve access to the main seating area and the vendor area within the Concert Pavilion. Costs include design, construction, and project management.

Appendix C – Project Substantial Amendments/Public Notices

XII.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

For FY 2013-2014, the following projects completed construction, were inspected with no issues post construction and closed out:

- 1. Fred Young Farmworker Apartments, Indio
- 2. Pueblo Nuevo Apartments, Coachella
- 3. Cedar Glen Apartments, Riverside. Construction was completed in April 2014, and project will be closed out in FY 14/15.

The following projects are under construction and will be inspected upon completion construction:

- 1. Orange Blossom Apartments, Valle Vista
- 2. North Shore Groups 5 & 6, North Shore
- 3. Sunset Springs Self-Help, Desert Hot Springs
- 4. Perris Family Apartments, Perris
- 5. Los Vinedos, Mecca

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b) – See Section V, Assessment of Effectiveness of Affirmative Marketing Plans.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics – See Section IV, Use of Program Income as it relates to HOME.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j) – See Section II, Fostering and Maintaining Affordable Housing.

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete Basic Grant Information

Basic Grant Information	
Recipient Name	RIVERSIDE COUNTY
Organizational DUNS Number	064772721
EIN/TIN Number	956000930
Identify the Field Office	LOS ANGELES
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Riverside City & County CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	MR
First Name	John
Middle Name	0
Last Name	Thurman
Suffix	0
Title	EDA Development Manager

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	3403 Tenth Street, Suite 400		
Street Address 2	0		
City	RIVERSIDE		
State	CA		
ZIP Code	92501		
Phone Number	9519558916		
Extension	0		
Fax Number	9519558916		
Email Address	jthurman@rivcoeda.org		

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix		
First Name		
Last Name		
Suffix		

0 Suzanne Holland 0

Title	Assistant Director EDA
Phone Number	0
Extension	0
Email Address	sholland@rivcoeda.org

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date	07/01/2013
Program Year End Date	06/30/2014

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name City State Zip Code DUNS Number Is subrecipient a VAWA-DV provider (Serves Victims of Domestic Violence-funded under VAWA) Subrecipient Organization Type

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amounts: 2013-2014

Organization	VAMA- Provider	Organization Type	ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount
<u>Whiteside Manor, Inc.</u> Riverside, CA 92504 DUNS: 170753982	No	Other Non-Profit Organization	\$63,479
<u>Catholic Charities San</u> <u>Bernardino/Riverside</u> Indio, CA 92201 DUNS: 964980171	No	Other Non-Profit Organization	\$10,000
<u>Helping Our People of Lake</u> <u>Elsinore, Inc.</u> Lake Elsinore, CA 92532 DUNS:	No	Other Non-Profit Organization	\$30,000
<u>Shelter From the Storm</u> Palm Desert, CA 92260	No	Other Non-Profit Organization	\$20,000

DUNS: 946321924			
<u>Coachella Valley Rescue Mission</u> Indio, CA 92201 DUNS: 790591929	No	Other Non-Profit Organization	\$30,000
<u>Operation Safehouse, Inc</u> <u>Riverside</u> Riverside, CA 92503 DUNS: 795391234	No	Other Non-Profit Organization	\$20,000
<u>Martha's Village and Kitchen, Inc.</u> Indio, CA 92201 DUNS: 123443157	No	Other Non-Profit Organization	\$50,000
<u>Riverside County Economic</u> <u>Development Agency (EDA)</u> Riverside, CA 92501 DUNS: 064772721	No	Other Non-Profit Organization	\$78,551
<u>Valley Restart Shelter</u> Hemet, CA 92543 DUNS: 867800138	No	Other Non-Profit Organization	\$20,000
<u>Path of Life Ministries</u> Riverside, CA 92507 DUNS: 003364176	No	Other Non-Profit Organization	\$40,000
<u>Operation Safehouse, Inc</u> <u>Thousand Palms</u> Thousand Palms, CA 92276 DUNS: 795391234	No	Other Non-Profit Organization	\$20,000
<u>Alternatives to Domestic Violence</u> Riverside, CA 92502 DUNS: 968611566	No	Other Non-Profit Organization	\$10,000
<u>Foothills AIDS Project</u> Claremont, CA 91711 DUNS: 176001394	No	Other Non-Profit Organization	\$15,000
Lutheran Social Services of Southern California Riverside, CA 92503 DUNS: 198953929	No	Other Non-Profit Organization	\$30,000

Note: Administration Allocation <u>\$35,434</u> not included

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	29
Children	11
Don't Know/Refused	0
Missing Information	0
Total	40

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in	Total	
Households		
Adults	146	
Children	45	
Don't Know/Refused	0	
Missing Information	0	
Total	191	

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	2,156
Children	893
Don't Know/Refused	0
Missing Information	0
Total	3,049

4d. Complete for Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total	
Adults	22	
Children	23	
Don't Know/Refused	0	
Missing Information	0	
Total	45	

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	2,376
Children	949
Don't Know/Refused	0
Missing Information	0
Total	3,325

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	1,925
Female	1,399
Transgendered	1
Unknown	0
Total	3,325

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	949
18-24	305
Over 24	2,071
Don't Know / Refused	0
Missing Information	0
Total	3,325

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters	Total
Veterans	2	91	170	263
Victims of				
Domestic				
Violence			341	341
Elderly	1	10	118	129
HIV/AIDS	10		12	22
Chronically				
Homeless		3	190	193
Persons with Disabi	lities:			
Severely				
Mentally Ill	2	7	473	482
Chronic				
Substance				
Abuse	3	4	639	646
Other				
Disability	8	2	483	493
Total				
(unduplicated if				
possible)	26	117	2426	2569

CR-70 – Assistance Provided

8. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units – Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units – Conversion	0
Total Number of bed - nights available	133,590
Total Number of bed - nights provided	103,107
Capacity Utilization	77.18%

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	\$0	\$0	\$50,879
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and	\$0	\$0	\$5,187
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance			
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &	\$0	\$20,000	\$2,394
Stabilization Services - Services			
Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention	\$22,700	\$0	\$0
under Emergency Shelter Grants Program			
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	\$22,700	\$20,000	\$58,460

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	\$0	\$23,854	\$172,050
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and			
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	\$0	\$14,561	\$88,495
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &			
Stabilization Services - Services	\$0	\$55,205	\$105,240
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention			
under Emergency Shelter Grants Program			
(N/A)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	\$0	\$93,620	\$365,785

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amoun	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year				
	FY 2011	FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2013				
Essential Services	\$117,000	\$130,000	\$152,769			
Operations	\$250,578	\$216,103	\$149,607			
Renovation	\$0	\$0 \$0				
Major Rehab	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Conversion	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$367,578	\$346,103	\$302,376			

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year			
	FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2013			
Street Outreach	\$0	\$0	\$10,000	
HMIS	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Administration	\$0	\$52,052	\$72,935	

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
	\$390,278	\$511,775	\$809,556

11f. Match Source

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other Federal Funds	\$917,221	\$1,018,682	\$1,136,752
State Government	\$493,111	\$408,290	\$1,495,049
Local Government	\$894,032	\$1,082,239	\$179,736
Private Funds	\$464,711	\$803,620	\$1,319,462
Other	\$260,347	\$110,733	\$58,438
Fees	\$28,243	\$2,342	\$15,207
Program Income	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Match Amount	\$3,057,665	\$3,425,906	\$4,204,644

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
	\$3,447,943	\$3,937,681	\$5,014,200

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX A

Proof of Publication, Summary of Public Comments And County of Riverside Supervisorial Districts Map

NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY FOR PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT

2013-2014 DRAFT COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to the provisions of 24 CFR Part 91.105(d) of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Planning and Development (CPD) Programs, the County of Riverside has prepared and made available for public review and comment the Draft Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) for the 2013-2014 Program Year. This draft report contains assessments by the County on the use of CDBG, HOME, and ESG funds, utilized by the County, or allocated to sub-recipients, to carry-out projects or activities within Riverside County.

A copy of the Draft 2013-2014 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) is available for public review and comment from 8:00 AM on September 8, 2014, through 5:00 PM September 23, 2014, at offices of the Riverside County Economic Development Agency, located at: 3403 10th Street, 4th Floor, Riverside, CA 92501. The Draft 2013-2014 CAPER can also be viewed online at: <u>www.rivcoeda.org</u>. Alternative formats of the Draft 2013-2014 CAPER are available upon request.

In order for comments to be included in the County's report to HUD, all comments relative to the CAPER or the County's CPD programs must be submitted in writing to the Riverside County Economic Development Agency no later than 5:00 PM on September 23, 2014 (no late postmarks accepted).

More information may be obtained by contacting the Riverside County Economic Development Agency: Telephone (951) 955-8916, Fax (951) 955-9505, via email <u>eawilson@rivcoeda.org</u>,or Toll Free at 1-800-984-1000.

PUBLIC COMMENTS PENDING

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE CITITES AND COMMUNITIES

LOS ANGELES



PACIFIC OCEAN



This map was made by the Riverside County Economic Development Agency utilizing Geographic Information System (GIS) technology. The map elements were produced by the Assessor and the Transportation and Land Management Agency. The County of Riverside assumes no warranty or legal responsibilities for the information contained on this map. Data and information represented on this map. Data and information modification. TLMA and other sources should be queried for the most current information.

A LANCE CONTRACT	FIRST DISTRICT	Second District	THIRD DISTRICT	FOURTH DISTRICT	RETH DISTRICT	COUNTY
	CREAR CANYON LAKE LAKE ELSINORE RIVERSIDE (PORTION) WILDOMAR	ETHEE CORONA EASTVALE JURUPA VALLEY NORCO RIVERSIDE (PORTION)	ETÉLES HEMET MURRIETA SAN IACINTO TEMECULA	ETHES BUTTHE CATHEDRALCITY COACHELIA Desert HOT SPRINGS INDIAN WELLS INDIO LA QUINTA PALM DESERT PALM SPRINGS RANCHO MIRAGE	SÈRES BANNING BEAUMONT CALIMESA MORENO VALLEY PERRIS	in stall
1 John Mit	communities	communities	communities	communities	communities	Legend
	AIR FORCE VIELAGE WEST: MEADOWIRDOK ANNOLO HIDIFTS MOCKIWORDOK GAUSSINISKA SAULTAN MOVITO TO AANCH CANYON AIRDE PAINTED HILLS DAIISON CANYON RANCH CARRLO CANYON AIRDE PAINTED HILLS DAIISON CANYON RANCH CARRLO CLUZ RANCH CARRLO EL CARBO SAULTAN AIRDA HILLS CANZAN HILLS SANSSI HILLS CLUX NY JOS SPRINGS SYCAMORE CHERK CLUX VIELEY TYNS HODSETHIET CANYON RANCH THE RETREAT LA CHESTA TRILDOY ARE HILLS UNIVERSITY CITY ARE MAINENS VICTORIA MEADOWS AREA HILLS UNIVERSITY CITY ARE MAINENS VICTORIA MEADOWS AREA HILLS WIND ROUSCICAEFORMA MEADOWS	CORDNER IE CENITO GREEN NOOR HIGHIGEORE HOME GARDENS	AGUANGA LARE INVERSIDE ANDA MOUNTAN CENTER CONSIGN AND AND AND AND AND CONTELE CANDON PAURA VALLEY DOMMON VALLEY PHIL COVE CAST HEART PARE MADOWS ARDNON VALLEY PHIL COVE DUMAN HOT SPRINGS OPPRET FLATS GULMAN HOT SPRINGS OPPRET FLATS GULMAN FOTS AND CALIFORNIA HOMELAND SIDEORA HOT SPRINGS DYTLINED VALLEY VITA WORCHESTER	AMARIA ANDLAND REMILLON DUNES ANDETH SHORE ONRICOS DUNES ANDETH SHORE ONRICOS MUMATIC OLASIS ONDODLATE MOLINITAIN PAUD VIENDE OCICANIWALLA INTEL'Y OCICANIWALLA INTEL'Y OCICANIWALLA INTEL'Y OCICANIWALLA INTEL'Y DISCHART SHORE MEL'Y AMARISKI THOUSAND PAUAS MECCA VALENE ANSIA VIENDE WILEY'S WELL	MADLANDS MISSION LAKES CABUDON NOTH PAUL SPININGS DURINY VALEY AURYD EDEN HOT SPININGS PANTED HILLS GANYET RECH CONTON LARVIER FATS SAM TIMOTED CANTON MARCH AIR RESERVE BASE THVIN ARIES HIMTEDWATER	Supervisor Districts Cities Highways County Boundary

APPENDIX B

40107 (Annual Performance Report- HOME Program)

And 40107-A (HOME Match Report and 4949.3 Report for CDBG, HOME, and ESG) Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 2.5 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

The HOME statute imposes a significant number of data collection and reporting requirements. This includes information on assisted properties, on the owners or tenants of the properties, and on other programmatic areas. The information will be used: 1) to assist HOME participants in managing their programs; 2) to track performance of participants in meeting fund commitment and expenditure deadlines; 3) to permit HUD to determine whether each participant meets the HOME statutory income targeting and affordability requirements; and 4) to permit HUD to determine compliance with other statutory and regulatory program requirements. This data collection is authorized under Title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act or related authorities. Access to Federal grant funds is contingent on the reporting of certain project-specific data elements. Records of information collected will be maintained by the recipients of the assistance. Information on activities and expenditures of grant funds is public information and is generally available for disclosure. Recipients are responsible for ensuring confidentiality when public disclosure is not required.

This form is intended to collect numeric data to be aggregated nationally as a complement to data collected through the Cash and Management Information (C/MI) System. Participants should enter the reporting period in the first block. The reporting period is October 1 to September 30. Instructions are included for each section if further explanation is needed.

Submit this form on or before December 31.	This report is for period (mm/dd/yyyy)	Date Submitted (mm/dd/yyyy)
Send one copy to the appropriate HUD Field Office and one copy to:	Starting	Ending	
HOME Program, Rm 7176, 451 7th Street, S.W., Washington D.C. 20410			

Part I Participant Identification

1. Participant Number

2. Participant Name

3. Name of Person completing this report

4. Phone Number (Include Area Code)

8. Zip Code

7. State

5. Address

Part II Program Income

Enter the following program income amounts for the reporting period: in block 1, enter the balance on hand at the beginning; in block 2, enter the amount generated; in block 3, enter the amount expended; and in block 4, enter the amount for Tenant-Based rental Assistance.

6. City

1.	Balance on hand at Beginning of Reporting Period	2. Amount received during Reporting Period	3.	Total amount expended during Reporting Period	4.	Amount expended for Tenant- Based Rental Assistance	5.	Balance on hand at end of Reporting Period $(1 + 2 - 3) = 5$

Part III Minority Business Enterprises (MBE) and Women Business Enterprises (WBE)

In the table below, indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period.

			Minority Business	Enterprises (MBE)		
	a. Total	b. Alaskan Native or American Indian	c. Asian or Pacific Islander	d. Black Non-Hispanic	e. Hispanic	f. White Non-Hispanic
A. Contracts						
1. Number						
2. Dollar Amount						
B. Sub-Contracts						
1. Number						
2. Dollar Amount						
	a. Total	b. Women Business Enterprises (WBE)	c. Male			
C. Contracts						
1. Number						
2. Dollar Amount						
D. Sub-Contracts				-		
1. Number						
2. Dollar Amounts						

Part IV Minority Owners of Rental Property

In the table below, indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total dollar amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted during the reporting period.

			Minority Prop	perty Owners		
	a. Total	b. Alaskan Native or American Indian	c. Asian or Pacific Islander	d. Black Non-Hispanic	e. Hispanic	f. White Non-Hispanic
1. Number						
2. Dollar Amount						

Part V Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

6. Households Displaced - Cost

Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition. The data provided should reflect only displacements and acquisitions occurring during the reporting period.

		a. Number	b. Cost			
1. Parcels Acquired						
2. Businesses Displaced						
3. Nonprofit Organizations Displaced	d					
4. Households Temporarily Relocate	ed, not Displaced					
			Minority Business	Enterprises (MBE)		
Households Displaced	a. Total	b. Alaskan Native or American Indian	c. Asian or Pacific Islander	d. Black Non-Hispanic	e. Hispanic	f. White Non-Hispanic
5. Households Displaced - Number						

				7	Federal Fiscal Year (yyyy)	yy) FY13/14
Part I Participant Identification				a Nama of Contact (opro	an completing this report	111
1. Participant No. (assigned by HUD) 2. Name of the Participating Jurisdiction	Jurisdiction			3. Name of Contact (perso Stephanie Adams	3. Name of Contact (person completing this report) Stephanie Adams	
5. Street Address of the Participating Jurisdiction				 Contact's Phone Number (include area code) 951-343-5455 	nber (include area code) 951-343-5455	
5555 Arlington Avenue	10000					
6. City Riverside	7. State CA	8. Zip Code 92504				
Part II Fiscal Year Summary				-		
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	ar			\$ 100	100,092,668	
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year (see Part III.9.)	fiscal year (see Part III.9.)			↔	1,929,572	
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (line 1 + line 2)	fiscal year (line 1 + line 2)				69	102,022,240
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	ar	-			69	586,078
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (line 3 minus line 4)	al fiscal year (line 3 minus line	4)			69	101,436,162
Part III Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year 1. Project No. 2. Date of 3. Cash or Other ID Contribution (non-Federal sources)	Year n 4. Foregone Taxes, sources) Fees, Charges	5. Appraised Land / Real Property	6. Required Infrastructure	7. Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	8. Bond Financing	9. Total Match
(mm/dd/yyyy) ts 12/01/2013	1,929,572					1,929,572

	1. Project No. 2. Date of 3. Cash 4. Foregone Taxes, Contribution (non-Federal sources) (mm/dd/yyyy) (mm/dd/yyyy) 4. Fores, Charges 4. Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges 4. Foregone Taxes, 4. Foregone
	5. Appraised 6. R Land / Real Property Infras
	6. Required Construction Materials, Infrastructure Donated labor
	Financing
	9. I otal Match

6510.2 REV-2 Exhibit 3a

	FINANCIAL SUMMARY U.S. Department of Hous Grantee Performance Report Office of Community P				
	Community Development Block Grant Program		OMB App	proval No. 2506	6-0077 (Exp.3/31/94)
	Public Reporting Burden for this collection of information is estimated to a searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data need comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this colle to the Reports Management Officer, Office of Information Policies and Sy Washington, D.C. 20410-3600 and to the Office of Management and Burd Do not send this completed form to either of these addresses.	led, and completing and ection of information, ind ystems, U.S. Department	d reviewing the collection of infor cluding suggestions for reducing nt of Housing and Urban Develo tion Project (2506-0077), Washi	mation. Send this burden, pment,	
1.	Name of Grantee	2. Grant Number	Reporting period		
Bort II	RIVERSIDE COUNTY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AGENCY Summary of CDBG Resources	B13UC060506	From 7/1/13 To	6/30/14	
			\ \	•	
1.	Unexpended CDBG funds at end of previous reporting period (Balance fr	om prior program years	\$)	\$	7,365,658.11
2.	Entitlement Grant from form HUD-7082			\$	7,562,655.00
3.	Surplus Urban Renewal			\$	
4.	Section 108 Guaranteed Loan Funds (Principal Amount)			\$	
5.	Program Income received by:	Grantee (Column A)	Subrecipient (Column B)		
	a. Revolving Funds	552,392.96			
	b. Other (Identify below. If more space is needed use an attachment)				
			\$		
			\$		
	c. Total Program Income (Sum of columns a and b)		φ	\$	550 200 00
6.	Prior Period Adjustments (if column is a negative amount, enclose in brac	\$	552,392.96		
о. 7.	Total CDBG Funds available for use during this reporting period (sum of I			\$	
		ines r tinough o)		φ	15,480,706.07
	Summary of CDBG Expenditures				
8.	Total expenditures reported on Activity Summary, forms HUD-4949.2 & 4	949.2A		\$	6,061,879.97
9.	Total expended for Planning & Administration, form HUD-4949.2		1,505,611.35		
10.	Amount subject to Low/Mod Benefit Calculation (line 8 minus line 9)		4,556,268.62		
11.	CDBG funds used for section 108 principal & interest payments			\$	0.00
12.	Total expenditures (line 8 plus line 11)			\$	6,061,879.97
13.	Unexpended balance (line 7 minus line 12)			\$	9,418,826.10
Part II	: Low/Mod Benefit This Reporting Period				
14.	Total Low/Mod credit for multi-unit housing expenditures from form HUD-4	4949.2A		\$	0.00
15,	Total from all other activities qualifying as low/mod expenditures from form	ms HUD-4949.2 and 49	49.2A	\$	4,556,268.62
16.	Total (line 14 plus line 15)			\$	4,556,268.62
17.	Percent benefit to low/mod persons (line 16 divided by line 10 this reporting	ng period)			100.00%
previo	orm may be reproduced on local office copiers rus editions are obsolete. this record for 3 years	Page(1) of (2)			1949.3(06/24/93) ndbook 6510.2

٦

Part IV: Low/Mod Benefit for Multi-Year Certifications (Complete only if certification period includes prior years)	
Program years (PY) covered in certification PY_2011_ PY_2012 PY_2013	
18. Cumulative net expenditures subject to program benefit calculation	16,840,570.92
19. Cumulative expenditures benefiting low/mod persons	14,375,208.11
20. Percent benefit to low/mod persons (line I9 divided by line 18)	85.36%
Part V: For Public Service (PS) Activities Only: Public Service Cap Calculation	
21. Total PS expenditures from column h, form HUD-4949.2A	1,189,646.29
22. Total PS unliquidated obligations from column r,form HUD-4949.2A	-
23. Sum of line 21 and line 22	1,189,646.29
24. Total PS unliquidated obligations reported at the end of the previous reporting period	-
25. No obligations for public services (line 23 minus line 24)	1,189,646.29
26. Amount of Program Income received in the preceding program year	368,734.72
27. Entitlement Grant Amount (from line 2)	7,562,655.00
28. Sum of line 26 and line 27	7,931,389.72
29. Percent funds obligated for Public Service Activities (line 25 divided by line 28)	15.00%
Part VI: Planning and Program Administration Cap Calculation	
30. Amount subject to planning and administrative cap (grant amount from line 2 plus line 5c)	8,115,047.96
31. Amount expended for Planning & Administration (from line 9 above) plus PA unliquidated obligations at end of Current Program Year	1,614,877.75
32. Percent funds expended (line 31 divided by line 30)	19.90%
Instructions Name of Grantee: Enter the grantee's name as shown on the approved Grant Agreement (form HUD-7082) for the most recently completed program year. Grant Number: Enter the grant number assigned by HUD to the Community Development Block Grant for the most recently completed year. Period Covered: Enter the beginning date and ending date for the most recently completed program year.	
form HUD-4949.3 (0	5/24/93)

6510.2 REV-2 Exhibit 3a

	FINANCIAL SUMMARY Grantee Performance Report HOME Program	U.S. Department of Housin Office of Community Plar			1B Approv	al No. 2506-00	077 (Exp.3/31/94)
	Public Reporting Burden for this collection of i searching existing data sources, gathering an		• • •				
	comments regarding this burden estimate or a	any other aspect of this collectio	n of information, including	suggestions for reducing	ng this bui	rden,	
	to the Reports Management Officer, Office of Washington, D.C. 20410-3600 and to the Off Do not send this completed form to either of t	ice of Management and Budget		•	•		
1.	Name of Grantee RIVERSIDE COUNTY ECONOMIC D	EVELOPMENT AGENCY	2. Grant Number M13UC060530	3. Reporting period From 07/01/13	То	06/30/14	
Part I:	Summary of HOME Resources						
1.	Unexpended HOME funds at end of previous	reporting period (Balance from	prior program years)			\$	6,188,309.21
2.	Entitlement Grant from form HUD-7082			M-13-UC-06-0530		\$	1,666,308.00
3.	Prior Period Adjustments (if column is a nega	tive amount, enclose in brackets	5)	W-13-0C-00-0330		\$	
4.	Section 108 Guaranteed Loan Funds (Princip	al Amount)				\$	
5.	Program Income received by:		Grantee (Column A)	Subrecipient (Column B)			
	a. Revolving Funds (PI)		661,974.40	Column Br			
	b. Other (Identify below. If more space is ne	eded use an attachment)					
			-	\$			
				\$		¢	
c	c. Total Program Income (Sum of columns a	,	661,974.40			\$	661,974.40
6. 7.	Prior Period Adjustments (if column is a nega		,			\$	-
	Total HOME Funds available for use during this reporting period (sum of lines 1 through 6) rt II: Summary of HOME Expenditures						8,516,591.61
Fart II . 8.	Total expenditures reported on Activity Summ	any				\$	
9.	Total expended for Planning & Administration			4 40 000 05		Ψ	3,496,395.07
0. 10.	Amount subject to Low/Mod Benefit Calculation			148,286.05 3,348,109.02			
11.	HOME funds used for section 108 principal &	,		3,348,109.02		\$	0
12.	Total expenditures (line 8 plus line 11)					\$	3,496,395.07
13.	Unexpended balance (line 7 minus line 12)					\$	5,020,196.54
Part III	: Low/Mod Benefit This Reporting Period						-,,
14.	Total Low/Mod credit for multi-unit housing ex	penditures				\$	3,348,109.02
15,	Total from all other activities qualifying as low	/mod expenditures				\$	
16.	Total (line 14 plus line 15)					\$	3,348,109.02
17.	Percent benefit to low/mod persons (line 16 d	ivided by line 10 this reporting p	eriod)				100.00%
previo	orm may be reproduced on local office copi us editions are obsolete. this record for 3 years	ers	Page (1) of (2)			form HUD-494 ref Hand	9.3(06/24/93) book 6510.2

	Exhibit 3a
Part IV: Low/Mod Benefit for Multi-Year Certifications (Complete only if certification period includes prior years)	
Program years (PY) covered in certification PY_2013_ PY_2012_ PY_2011_	
18. Cumulative net expenditures subject to program benefit calculation	8,180,011.2
9. Cumulative expenditures benefiting low/mod persons	8,180,011.2
20. Percent benefit to low/mod persons (line I9 divided by line 18)	1009
Part V: For Public Service (PS) Activities Only: Public Service Cap Calculation	
21. Total PS expenditures from column h, form HUD-4949.2A	0.0
22. Total PS unliquidated obligations from column r,form HUD-4949.2A	0.0
23. Sum of line 21 and line 22	0.0
24. Total PS unliquidated obligations reported at the end of the previous reporting period	0.0
25. No obligations for public services (line 23 minus line 24)	0.0
26. Amount of Program Income received in the preceding program year	0.0
27. Entitlement Grant Amount (from line 2)	
28. Sum of line 26 and line 27	
29. Percent funds obligated for Public Service Activities (line 25 divided by line 28)	0.09
Part VI: Planning and Program Administration Cap Calculation	
30. Amount subject to planning and administrative cap (grant amount from line 2 plus line 5c)	2,328,282.4
31. Amount expended for Planning & Administration (from line 9 above)	148,286.0
32. Percent of HOME funds expended for Planning and Admin (line 32 divided by line 31)	6.37
nstructions Name of Grantee: Enter the grantee's name as shown on the approved Grant Agreement (form HUD-7082) for the r completed program year.	most recently
Grant Number: Enter the grant number assigned by HUD to the Community Development Block Grant for the most completed year.	t recently
Period Covered: Enter the beginning date and ending date for the most recently completed program year.	
form HUD-4949.3 (0	6/24/93)
ref Handbool	

6510.2 REV-2 Exhibit 3a

		Housing and Urban Developn nity Planning and Developmen	t	proval No. 2	2506-0077 (Exp.3/31/94)
	Public Reporting Burden for this collection of information is estimated				, , ,
	searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data r	v 1 1	, 0	0	uons,
	comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this		-		
	to the Reports Management Officer, Office of Information Policies an				
	Washington, D.C. 20410-3600 and to the Office of Management and Do not send this completed form to either of these addresses.			ton, D.C. 20	503.
1.	Name of Grantee RIVERSIDE COUNTY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AGENC	2. Grant Number E13UC060506	Reporting period		
	RIVERSIDE COUNTY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AGENC		From 07/01/13	To 06/30)/14
Part I:	Summary of ESG Resources				
1.	Unexpended ESG funds at end of previous reporting period (Balance	e from prior program years)		\$	426,533.08
2.	Entitlement Grant from form HUD-7082		E13UC060506	\$	472,464.00
3.	Prior Period Adjustments (if column is a negative amount, enclose in	brackets)		\$	
4.	Section 108 Guaranteed Loan Funds (Principal Amount)			\$	
5.	Program Income received by:	Grantee (Column A)	Subrecipient (Column B)		
	a. Revolving Funds	0.00			
	b. Other (Identify below. If more space is needed use an attachment)				
		-	\$		
			\$		
	c. Total Program Income (Sum of columns a and b)			\$	
6.	Prior Period Adjustments (if column is a negative amount, enclose in	brackets)		\$	
7.	Total ESG Funds available for use during this reporting period (sum of	of lines 1 through 6)		\$	898,997.08
Part II	Summary of ESG Expenditures				
8.	Total expenditures reported on Activity Summary, forms HUD-4949.2	2 & 4949.2A		\$	809,556.36
9.	Total expended for Planning & Administration, form HUD-4949.2		72,934.73		
10.	Amount subject to Low/Mod Benefit Calculation (line 8 minus line 9)		736,621.63		
11.	ESG funds used for section 108 principal & interest payments			\$	0.00
12.	Total expenditures (line 8 plus line 11)			\$	809,556.36
13.	Unexpended balance (line 7 minus line 12)			\$	89,440.72
	: Low/Mod Benefit This Reporting Period				
14.	Total Low/Mod credit for multi-unit housing expenditures from form H			\$	0.00
15,	Total from all other activities qualifying as low/mod expenditures from	1 forms HUD-4949.2 and 4949.2	A	\$	0.00
16.				\$	0.00
17.	Percent benefit to low/mod persons (line 16 divided by line 10 this rep	porting period)			0.00%
previo	orm may be reproduced on local office copiers us editions are obsolete. this record for 3 years	Page(1) of (2)			UD-4949.3(06/24/93) If Handbook 6510.2

	Exhibit 3a
Part IV: Low/Mod Benefit for Multi-Year Certifications (Complete only if certification period includes prior years)	
Program years (PY) covered in certification PY PY PY	
	0.0
8. Cumulative net expenditures subject to program benefit calculation	
Cumulative expenditures benefiting low/mod persons	0.0
20. Percent benefit to low/mod persons (line I9 divided by line 18) Part V: For Public Service (PS) Activities Only: Public Service Cap Calculation	0'
	0.0
21. Total PS expenditures from column h, form HUD-4949.2A	
22. Total PS unliquidated obligations from column r,form HUD-4949.2A	0.0
23. Sum of line 21 and line 22	0.0
24. Total PS unliquidated obligations reported at the end of the previous reporting period	0.0
25. No obligations for public services (line 23 minus line 24)	0.0
26. Amount of Program Income received in the preceding program year	0.0
27. Entitlement Grant Amount (from line 2)	472,464.0
28. Sum of line 26 and line 27	472,464.0
29. Percent funds obligated for Public Service Activities (line 25 divided by line 28)	0.0
Part VI: Planning and Program Administration Cap Calculation	
30. Amount subject to planning and administrative cap (grant amount from line 2 plus line 5c)	472,464.0
31. Amount expended for Planning & Administration (from line 9 above)	35,43
32. Percent funds expended (line 31 divided by line 30)	7.50
Name of Grantee: Enter the grantee's name as shown on the approved Grant Agreement (form HUD-7082) for th completed program year. Grant Number: Enter the grant number assigned by HUD to the Community Development Block Grant for the me completed year.	
Period Covered: Enter the beginning date and ending date for the most recently completed program year.	
form HUD-4949.3	
ref Handbo	JOK 6510.2

APPENDIX C

SUBSTANTIAL AMENDMENTS/PUBLIC NOTICES

Notice of Public Comment Period Substantial Amendment of the 2013-2014 One Year Action Plan Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program

The County of Riverside hereby notifies concerned members of the public, pursuant to 24 CFR 91.105, 24 CFR 91.505, and the County's Citizens Participation Plan, of its intent to amend the 2013-2014 One-Year Action Plan of the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan by the following actions:

1.	Mecca Tot Lot Improvement Project (4 th District)	\$ 30,000	Add CDBG Project
2.	Winchester Road Improvements (3 rd District)	\$ 200,000	Add CDBG Project
3.	Olive Street Improvements (Wildomar)	\$ 77,500	Add CDBG Project
4.	Community Enhancement Program (Wildomar)	\$ 120,000	Add CDBG Project

The Economic Development Agency has verified that the proposed activities are eligible uses that meet National Objectives under the CDBG program.

A determination regarding the proposed amendments to the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan has been scheduled on or about April 22, 2014, Riverside County Board of Supervisor's meeting, located at 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, California.

Anyone interested in providing comments or obtaining additional information regarding this Consolidated Plan Substantial Amendment may do so by contacting the Economic Development Agency at: 3403 10th Street, Suite 400, Riverside, CA, 92501, (951) 955-8916, or Fax (951) 955-9505. Both oral and written comments for all of the above items must be received no later than 5:00 PM on April 18, 2014.

Input and comments can also be received by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, CPD Division, 611 West Sixth Street, Suite 800, Los Angeles, CA 90017. The telephone number is (213) 894-8000. Comments or objections received after April 18, 2014, will not be considered by HUD.

Accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act are available upon request. Requests must be made at least 72 hours prior to meeting. Later requests will be accommodated to the extent feasible. Please call the Clerk of the Board office at (951) 955-1069, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE	Ad Copy: Notice of Public Comment Period Substantial Amendment of the 2013-2014 One Year Action Plan Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program
THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE 3450 Fourteenth Street Riverside, CA 92501-3878 951-684-1200 951-368-9018 FAX PROOF OF PUBLICATION (2010, 2015.5 C.C.P) Publication(s): The Press-Enterprise PROOF OF PUBLICATION OF Ad Desc.: / I am a citizen of the United States. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am an authorized representative of THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE, a newspaper in general circulation, printed and published daily in the County of Riverside, and which newspaper has been adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Riverside, State of	Notice of Public Comment Period Substantial Amendment of the 2013-2014 One Year Action Plan Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program The County of Riverside hereby notifies concerned members of the public, pursuant to 24 CFR 91.105, 24 CFR 91.305, and the County's Citizens Participation Plan, of its Plan by the following actions: 1. Mecca Tat Lat Improvement Project (4th District) \$ 30,000 Add CDBG Project 2. Winchester Road Improvements (3rd District) \$ 200,000 Add CDBG Project 3. Olive Street Improvements (Wildomar) \$ 77,500 Add CDBG Project 4. Community Enhancement Program (Wildomar) \$ 120,000 Add CDBG Project 5. Olive Street Improvements (Wildomar) \$ 120,000 Add CDBG Project 4. Community Enhancement Program (Wildomar) \$ 120,000 Add CDBG Project 5. T7,500 Add CDBG Project A determination regarding the proposed amendments to the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan has been scheduled on or about April 22, 2014, Riverside County Board of Su- pervisor's meeting, located at 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, California. Anyone interested in providing comments or obtaining additional information regard- ing this Cansolidated Plan Substantial Amendment may do so by contacting the Eco- nomic Development, Agency at 3433 10th Street, Suite 400, Riverside, CA, 92501, (951) 955-8916, or Fax (951) 955-9525. Both oral and written comments for all of the above items must be made of least 72 hours prior to maeting, Larier reguest, and 90017. The telephone number is (213) 894-8000, Comments or objections received af- fer April 18, 2014, will not be cansi
California, under date of April 25, 1952, Case Number 54446, under date of March 29, 1957, Case Number 65673, under date of August 25, 1995, Case Number 267864, under date of February 4, 2013, Case Number RIC 1215735, under date of July 25, 2013, Case Number RIC 1305730, and under date of September 16, 2013, Case Number RIC 1309013; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in said newspaper in accordance with the instructions of the person(s) requesting publication, and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit: 03/10/2014 I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	

N

EDA COMMUNITY PLANNING DIVISIO 3133 MISSION INN AVE RIVERSIDE, CA 92507

Ad Number: 0001238190-01

At: Riverside, California

P.O. Number:

Notice of Public Comment Period Substantial Amendment of the 2013-2014 One Year Action Plan Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program

The County of Riverside hereby notifies concerned members of the public, pursuant to 24 CFR 91.105, 24 CFR 91.505, and the County's Citizens Participation Plan, of its intent to amend the 2013-2014 One-Year Action Plan of the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan by the following actions:

1.	Fairgrounds Event Pavilion ADA Upgrades (4th District)	\$1	00,000	Add CDBG Project
2.	Torres-Martinez Fire Suppression System Improvements (4th District)	\$	8,000	Add CDBG Project

The Economic Development Agency has verified that the proposed activities are eligible uses that meet National Objectives under the CDBG program.

A determination regarding the proposed amendments to the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan has been scheduled on or about July 29, 2014, Riverside County Board of Supervisor's meeting, located at 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, California.

Anyone interested in providing comments or obtaining additional information regarding this Consolidated Plan Substantial Amendment may do so by contacting the Economic Development Agency at: 3403 10th Street, Suite 400, Riverside, CA, 92501, (951) 955-8916, or Fax (951) 955-9505. Both oral and written comments for all of the above items must be received no later than 5:00 PM on July 23, 2014. Interested persons may also provide comment at the Board of Supervisors during the July 29, 2014, meeting.

Input and comments can also be received by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, CPD Division, 611 West Sixth Street, Suite 800, Los Angeles, CA 90017. The telephone number is (213) 894-8000. Comments or objections received after July 23, 2014, will not be considered by HUD.

Accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act are available upon request. Requests must be made at least 72 hours prior to meeting. Later requests will be accommodated to the extent feasible. Please call the Clerk of the Board office at (951) 955-1069, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

The Desert Sun 750 N Gene Autry Trail Palm Springs, CA 92262 760-778-4578 / Fax 760-778-4731

Certificate of Publication

State Of California ss: County of Riverside

Advertiser:

RIVERSIDE COUNTY ECONOMIC PO BOX 1180 RIVERSIDE CA 925021

2000439467

I am over the age of 18 years old, a citizen of the United States and not a party to, or have interest in this matter. I hereby certify that the attached advertisement appeared in said newspaper (set in type not smaller than non pariel) in each and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

Newspaper: .The Desert Sun

6/20/2014

I acknowledge that I am a principal clerk of the printer of The Desert Sun, printed and published weekly in the City of Palm Springs, County of Riverside, State of California, The Desert Sun was adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation on March 24, 1988 by the Superior Court of the County of Riverside, State of California Case No. 191236.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this 20th day of June, 2014 in

Palm Springs, California, Declarant's Signature

No 1012 No 1012 Notice of Public Comment Period Substantial Amendment of the 2013-2014 One Year Action Plan Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program The County of Riverside hereby notifies con-berned members of the public, pursuant to 24 CFR 91.105, 24 CFR 91.505, and the County S Citizens Participation Plan, of its intent to amend the 2013-2014 One-Year Action Plan of the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan by the following actions: 1, Fairgrounds Event Pavilion \$100,000 Add CDBG Project ADA Upgrades (4th District) 2. Torres-Martinez Fire Suppression \$ 8,000 Add CDBG Project System Improvements (4th District) The Economic Development Agency has verified that the proposed activities are eligible uses that meet National Objectives under the CDBG pro-The Economic activities are eligible uses that meet National Objectives under the CDBG pro-gram. A determination regarding the proposed amend-ments to the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan has been scheduled on or about July 29, 2014, River-side County Board of Supervisor's meeting, locat-ed at 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, California. Anyone interested in providing comments or ob-taining additional information regarding this Con-solidated Plan Substantial Amendment may do so by contacting the Economic Development Agency at 3403 10th Street, Suite 400, Riverside, CA, 92501, (951) 955-8816, or Fax (951) 955-9505. Both oral and written, comments for all of the above items must be received no later than 5:00 PM on July 23, 2014, Interested persons may also provide comment at the Board of Supervisors dur-ing the July 29, 2014, meeting. Input and comments can also be received by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Develop-ment. CPD Division, 611 West Sixth Street, Suite 800, Los Angeles, CA 90017. The telephone number is (213) 894-8000. Comments or objec-tions received after July 23, 2014, will not be con-sidered by HUD. Accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act are available upon re-quest. Requests must be made at least 72 hours prior to meeting. Later requests will be accommodated to the extent feasible. Please call the Clerk of the Board office at (951) 955-1069, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday. Published: 6/20/14 Published: 6/20/14

Notice of Public Comment Period Substantial Amendment of the 2013-2014 One Year Action Plan Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program

The County or Riverside hereby notifies concerned members of the public, pursuant to 24 CFR 91.105 and 24 CFR 91.505, of its intent to amend the 2013-2014 One-Year Action Plan of the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan by the following actions:

1.	Blythe Community Center Renovation Project	\$300,000	Add CDBG Project
2.	Hemet Service Center Parking Lot Expansion Site Acquisition and Improvements	\$500,000	Modify CDBG Project and Increase Funding
3.	Desert Hot Springs Library Facility Improvements	\$20,000	Add CDBG Project
4.	Good Hope Demolition and Clearance Project	\$120,000	Add CDBG Project
5.	City of Beaumont Community Pool Renovation Project	\$300,000	Add CDBG Project

A determination regarding the proposed amendments to the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan for activities <u>1 and 2</u> has been scheduled on or about <u>January 7, 2013</u>, Riverside County Board of Supervisor's meeting, located at 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, California. A determination regarding the proposed amendments to the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan for activities <u>3, 4, and 5</u> been scheduled on or about <u>January 14, 2013</u>, Riverside County Board of Supervisor's meeting, located at 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, California.

Anyone interested in providing comments or obtaining additional information regarding this Consolidated Plan Substantial Amendment may do so in writing and orally by contacting the Economic Development Agency at: 3403 10th Street, Suite 400, Riverside, CA, 92501, (951) 955-8916, or Fax (951) 955-9505. Both oral and written comments for all of the above items must be received for no later than 5:00 PM on January 2, 2014.

Input and comments can also be received by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, CPD Division, 611 West Sixth Street, Suite 800, Los Angeles, CA 90017. The telephone number is (213) 894-8000. Comments or objections received after January 2, 2014, will not be considered by HUD.

Accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act are available upon request. Requests must be made at least 72 hours prior to meeting. Later requests will be accommodated to the extent feasible. Please call the Clerk of the Board office at (951) 955-1069, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE

3450 Fourteenth Street Riverside, CA 92501-3878 951-684-1200 951-368-9018 FAX

PROOF OF PUBLICATION (2010, 2015.5 C.C.P)

Publication(s): The Press-Enterprise

PROOF OF PUBLICATION OF

Ad Desc .: / 2013/14 OYAP CDBG

I am a citizen of the United States. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am an authorized representative of THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE, a newspaper in general circulation, printed and published daily in the County of Riverside, and which newspaper has been adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Riverside, State of California, under date of April 25, 1952, Case Number 54446, under date of March 29, 1957, Case Number 65673, under date of August 25, 1995, Case Number 267864, under date of February 4, 2013, Case Number RIC 1215735, under date of July 25, 2013, Case Number RIC 1305730, and under date of September 16, 2013, Case Number RIC 1305730, and under date of September 16, 2013, Case Number RIC 1305730, and under date of nexced is a printed copy, has been published in said newspaper in accordance with the instructions of the person(s) requesting publication, and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

11/27/2013

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: November 27, 2013 At: Riverside, California

EDA COMMUNITY PLANNING DIVISIO 3133 MISSION INN AVE RIVERSIDE, CA 92507

Ad Number: 0001177793-01

P.O. Number: 2013/14 OYAP CDBG

Ad Copy:

Nofice of Public Comment Period Substantial Amendment of the 2013-2014 One Year Action Plan Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program

The County or Riverside hereby notifies concerned members of the public, pursuant to 24 CFR 91,105 and 24 CFR 91,505, of its intent to armend the 2013-2014 One-Year Action Plan of the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan by the following actions:

1. Blythe Community Center Renovation Project	\$300,000	Add CDBG Project	
2. Hemet Service Center Parking Lot Expansion Site Acquisition and Improvements	\$500,000	Modify CDBG Project and Increase Funding	
3. Desert Hot Springs Library Facility Improvements	520,000	Add CDBG Project	
4. Good Hope Demolition and Clearance Project	\$120,000	Add CDBG Project	
5. City of Beaumont Community Pool Renovation Project	\$300,000	Add CDBG Project	

A determination regarding the proposed amendments to the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan for activities <u>1 and 2</u> has been scheduled on or about <u>January 7, 2013</u>, Riverside County Board of Supervisor's meeting, located at 4060 Leman Street, Riverside, California. A determination regarding the proposed amendments to the 2009-2014 Cansolidated Plan for activities <u>3.4, and 5</u> been scheduled an or about <u>January 14, 2013</u>, Riverside County Board of Supervisor's meeting, located at 4080 Leman Street, Riverside, California.

Anyone interested in providing comments or obtaining additional information regarding this Consolidated Plan Substantial Amendment may do so in writing and arally by contracting the Economic Development Agency of 3403 10th Street, Suite 400, Riverside, CA, 92501, (951) 955-9916, or Fax (951) 955-9505. Both oral and written comments for all of the above items must be received for no later than 5:00 PM on Jenuary 2, 2014.

Input and comments can also be received by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, CPD Division, 611 West Stath Street, Suite 600, Los Angeles, CA 90017. The telechone number is (213) 854-8000. Comments or objections received after January 2, 2014, will not be considered by HUD.

Accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act are available upon request. Requests must be made at least 72 heurs prior to meeting. Later requests will be accommodated to the extent feasible. Please call the Clerk of the Board office at (951) 553-1069, fram 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday. 11/27

> 2013 BEC - 3 AM H = 4.8 Accounting & Financ

Front Desk

Noticias de México

radiactivo



A cuatro los acusan cen a una banda que de robo de transporte y equipo médico, transportación de material peligroso y delincuencia organizada; el quinto, por comprar equipo médico en desuso que almacenaba radiactivo material

Un juez federal concedió un arraigo por 40 días en contra de los cinco involucrados en el robo de material médico del IMSS en Hidalgo.

El arraigo se concedió por los probables delitos de robo de transporte y equipo médico, transportación de material peligroso. delincuencia organizada. más lo que resulte.

on trasladados al centro nacional de arraigos.

Según las investigaciones los cuatro que participaron en el robo del material pertene-

se dedica al asala transportistas. to La quinta persona involucrada fue detenida por haberles comprado el equipo médico en desuso que contenía material radioactivo.

La Procuraduría General de la República aclaró que una sexta persona que había sido detenida inicialmente era menor de edad y fue puesto a disposición de las autoridades de Hidalgo.

El menor de edad acompañaba a la perque compró sona el material robado.

Durante el arraigo Los 5 acusados fuer- de 40 días el Ministerio Público Federal intentará recabar más pruebas en contra de los detenidos.

Arraigan a 5 por robo Sergio Alcocer: México no va a descarrilar de material La reforma migratoria la reforma migratoria

El subsecretario para América del Norte de la SRE dijo que México no intervendrá en el proceso de la reforma migratoria. ya que podría afectar a personas en el extranjero

CIUDAD DE MÉXI-CO, México, dic. 9, 2013.-México no intervendrá en el proceso de aprobación de la reforma migratoria en los Estados Unidos, ya que esto podria afectar a los mexicanos en el extranjero, señaló el subsecretario para América del Norte de la Secretaria de Relaciones Exteriores (SRE), Sergio Alcocer.

Sergio Alcocer, subsecretario para América del Norte de la Secretaria de Relaciones Exteriores. díjo: "El error más grande que podría cometer el gobierno de México sería ser un obstáculo, ser un irri-



tante que pudiese descarrilar un proceso o dificultar un proceso, dado que quienes estarían afectados no sería el gobierno de México o la reputación del gobierno de México sino que quien sería afectado son estos millones de personas a los que estamos buscando beneficiar". Durante la inauguración de la Vigésima Segunda reunión del Consejo Consultivo del Instituto de los Mexicanos en el Exterior, el subsecretario Alcocer aseguró que los jóvenes mexicanos se encuentran en el primer

lugar entre los 'dreamers', es decir jóvenes inmigrantes ilegales, a los que el presidente Barak Obama les otorga una suspensión de la deportación para que puedan estudiar en Estados Unidos y son aceptados en las universidades de aquel país

Aviso de Período de comentarios públicos Modificación sustancial del Plan de Acción de Un Año 2013-2014 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program

El Condado de Riverside o les informa los miembros interesados del público, de conformidad con 24 CFR 91.105 y 24 CFR 91.505, de su intención de modificar el Plan de Acción de Un Año 2013-2014 del Plan Con-solidado 2009-2014 por las siguientes acciones.

1 Blythe proyecto de renovación del Centro Comunitario de \$ 300 000 Añadir CDBG Provecto 2 Centro de Servicio Hemet Estacionamiento Expansión Sitio 500.000 dólares Modificar CDBG Provecto Adquisición y mejoras y aumentar la financiación

Mejoras en las instalaciones 3 Desert Hot Springs Biblioteca \$ 20.000 Añadir CDBG Proyecto 4 Bueno Hope Demolición y Liquidación del Proyecto \$ 120,000 Añadir CDBG Proyecto 5 City de Beaumont Piscina Comunitaria Renovación \$ 300.000 Añadir CDBG Proyecto

Una determinación con respecto a las propuestas de enmienda al Plan Consolidado 2009-2014 para las actividades 1 y 2 se ha programado el o alrededor del 07 de enero 2013, el Consejo de la reunión del Supervisor, ubicado en 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, California del Condado de Riverside. Una determinación con respecto a las propuestas de enmienda al Plan Consolidado 2009-2014 para las actividades 3, 4 y 5 ha programado para el 14 de enero de 2013 la Junta de la reunión del Supervisor, ubicado en 4080 Lemon Street , Riverside , California del Condado de Riverside

Cualquier persona interesada en la prestación de los comentarios u obtener información adicional sobre este plan consolidado de modificación sustancial puede hacerlo por escrito y oralmente por ponerse en contacto con la Agencia de Desarrollo Económico en: 3403 10th Street, Suite 400, Riverside, CA, 92501, (951) 955-8916 , o Fax (951) 955-9505 Tanto los comentarios orales y escritos para todos los elementos anteriores deben ser recibidas para antes de las 5:00 pm del 2 de enero de 2014.

Entrada y comentarios también pueden ser recibidos por los EE.UU. Departamento de Vivienda y Desarrollo Urbano , División de CPD , 611 West Sixth Street, Suite 800 . Los Angeles . CA 90017 El número de telefono es (213) 894-8000. Observaciones u objeciones recibidas después del 2 de enero de 2014, no serán considerados por el HUD

Ajustes bajo el Americans with Disabilities Act están disponibles bajo petición Las solicitudes deben hacerse por lo menos 72 horas antes de la reunión. Fuera de ese plazo serán alojados en la medida posible . Por favor, llame a la Secretaria de la oficina de la Junta en el (951) 955-1069 de 8 00 am a 5 00 pm , de lunes a viernes.

Notice of Public Comment Period

Substantial Amendment of the 2013-2014 One Year Action Plan

The County or Riverside hereby notifies concerned members of the public, pursuant to 24 CFR 91.105 and 24 CFR 91.505, of its intent to amend the 2013-2014 One-Year Action Plan of the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan by the following actions:

Lakeland Village Road Improvements	\$305,000	Add CDBG Project
Canyon Lake Facility Improvements	\$ 36,040	Add CDBG Project

A determination regarding the proposed amendment to the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan has been scheduled on or about <u>November 5, 2013</u>, Riverside County Board of Supervisor's meeting, located at 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, California.

Anyone interested in providing comments or obtaining additional information regarding this Consolidated Plan Substantial Amendment may do so in writing and orally by contacting the Economic Development Agency at: 3403 10th Street, Suite 400, Riverside, CA, 92501, (951) 955-8916, or Fax (951) 955-9505. Both oral and written comments must be received for this item no later than 4:00 PM on October 7, 2013.

Input and comments can also be received by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, CPD Division, 611 West Sixth Street, Suite 800, Los Angeles, CA 90017. The telephone number is (213) 894-8000. Comments or objections received after October 7, 2013, will not be considered by HUD.

Accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act are available upon request. Requests must be made at least 72 hours prior to meeting. Later requests will be accommodated to the extent feasible. Please call the Clerk of the Board office at (951) 955-1069, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE

3450 Fourteenth Street Riverside, CA 92501-3878 951-684-1200 951-368-9018 FAX

PROOF OF PUBLICATION (2010, 2015.5 C.C.P)

Publication(s): The Press-Enterprise

PROOF OF PUBLICATION OF

Ad Desc.: /

I am a citizen of the United States. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am an authorized representative of THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE, a newspaper in general circulation, printed and published daily in the County of Riverside, and which newspaper has been adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Riverside, State of California, under date of April 25, 1952, Case Number 54446, under date of March 29, 1957, Case Number 65673, under date of August 25, 1995, Case Number 267864, under date of February 4, 2013, Case Number RIC 1215735, and under date of July 25, 2013, Case Number RIC 1305730; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in said newspaper in accordance with the instructions of the person(s) requesting publication, and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

09/07/2013

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct

Date: September 09, 2013 At: Riverside, California

EDA COMMUNITY PLANNING DIVISIO 3133 MISSION INN AVE RIVERSIDE, CA 92507

Ad Number: 0001123716-01

P.O. Number:

Ad Copy:

Notice of Public Comment Period

Substantial Amendment of the 2013-2014 One Year Action Plan

The County or Riverside hereby natifies concerned members of the public pursuant to 24 CFR 91.105 and 24 CFR 91.505, of its intent to amend the 2013-2014 One-Year Action Plan of the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan by the following actions:

Lakeland Village Road Improvements \$305,000 AddCDBG Project Canyon Lake Facility Improvements \$36,040

Add CDBG Project

A determination regarding the proposed amendment to the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan has been scheduled on or about <u>November 5, 2013</u>, Riverside County Board of Supervisor's meeting, located at 4080 Lennon Street, Riverside, California.

Anyone interested in providing comments or obtaining additional information regard-ing this Consolidated Plan Substantial Amendment ray do so in writing and arally by contacting the Economic Development Agency at 3403 10th Street, Suite 400, River-side, CA, ¥2501, 951) \$55345, or Fax (531) \$55355, Both arol and writen com-ments must be received for this item no later than 4:00 PA on October 7, 2013.

Input and comments can also be received by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, CPD Division, 611 West Stath Street, Suite 800, Los Angeles, CA 9017. The telephone number is (213) 894-8000. Comments or objections received after October 7, 2013, will not be considered by HUD.

Accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act are available upon re-quest. Requests must be made at least 72 hours prior to meeting. Later requests will be accommodated to the extent feasible. Please call the Clerk of the Board office at (951) 955-1069, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday. 9/7

2013 SEP 11 PM 12: 50

Accounting & Finance Front Desk

Notice of Public Comment Period Substantial Amendment of the 2013-2014 One Year Action Plan Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program

The County of Riverside hereby notifies concerned members of the public, pursuant to 24 CFR 91.105, 24 CFR 91.505, and the County's Citizens Participation Plan, of its intent to amend the 2013-2014 One-Year Action Plan of the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan by the following actions:

1.	Lakeland Village Community Center and Park (1st District)	\$1,900,000	Add CDBG Project
2.	Good Hope Demolition and Clearance Project (1 st District)	\$ 120,000	Add CDBG Project

The Economic Development Agency has verified that the proposed activities are eligible uses that meet National Objectives under the CDBG program.

A determination regarding the proposed amendments to the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan has been scheduled on or about May 20, 2014, Riverside County Board of Supervisor's meeting, located at 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, California.

Anyone interested in providing comments or obtaining additional information regarding this Consolidated Plan Substantial Amendment may do so by contacting the Economic Development Agency at: 3403 10th Street, Suite 400, Riverside, CA, 92501, (951) 955-8916, or Fax (951) 955-9505. Both oral and written comments for all of the above items must be received no later than 5:00 PM on May 12, 2014. Interested persons may also provide comment at the Board of Supervisors during the May 20, 2014, meeting.

Input and comments can also be received by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, CPD Division, 611 West Sixth Street, Suite 800, Los Angeles, CA 90017. The telephone number is (213) 894-8000. Comments or objections received after May 12, 2014, will not be considered by HUD.

Accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act are available upon request. Requests must be made at least 72 hours prior to meeting. Later requests will be accommodated to the extent feasible. Please call the Clerk of the Board office at (951) 955-1069, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

\$\CDBG\13-14 CDBG Master Tracking Amendments\Lakeland Village CC and Good Hope Dento\PublicNotice.SubAmend, May 20.2014.docx

THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE

3450 Fourteenth Street Riverside, CA 92501-3878 951-684-1200 951-368-9018 FAX

PROOF OF PUBLICATION (2010, 2015.5 C.C.P)

Publication(s): The Press-Enterprise

PROOF OF PUBLICATION OF

Ad Desc.: /

I am a citizen of the United States. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am an authorized representative of THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE, a newspaper in general circulation, printed and published daily in the County of Riverside, and which newspaper has been adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Riverside, State of California, under date of April 25, 1952, Case Number 54446, under date of March 29, 1957, Case Number 65673, under date of August 25, 1995, Case Number 267864, under date of February 4, 2013, Case Number RIC 1215735, under date of July 25, 2013, Case Number RIC 1305730, and under date of September 16, 2013, Case Number RIC 1309013; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in said newspaper in accordance with the instructions of the person(s) requesting publication, and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

04/09/2014

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: April 09, 2014 At: Riverside, California



EDA COMMUNITY PLANNING DIVISIO 3133 MISSION INN AVE RIVERSIDE, CA 92507

Ad Number: 0001256535-01

P.O. Number:

Ad Copy:

Notice of Public Comment Period Substantial Amendment of the 2013-2014 One Year Action Plan Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program

The County of Riverside hereby notifies concerned members of the public, pursuant to 24 CFR 91.105, 24 CFR 91.505, and the County's Citizens Participation Plan, of its intent to amend the 2013-2014 One-Year Action Plan of the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan by the following actions:

1.	Lakeland Village Community Center	\$1,900,000	Add CDBG Project
	and Park (1st District)		and the second se

2. Good Hope Demolition and Clearance \$ 120,000 Add CDBG Project Project (1st District)

The Economic Development Agency has verified that the proposed activities are eligible uses that meet National Objectives under the CDBG program.

A determination regarding the proposed amendments to the 2009-2014 Consolidated Plan has been scheduled on or about May 20. 2014. Riverside County Board of Supervisor's meeting, located at 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, California.

Anyone interested in providing comments or obtaining additional information regarding this Consolidated Plan Substantial Amendment may do so by contacting the Econamic Development Agency at: 3403 10th Street, Suite 400, Riverside, CA, 92501, (551) 955-8916, or Fax (951) 955-9505. Both oral and written comments for all of the above items must be received no later than 5:00 PM on May 12, 2014. Interested persons may also provide comment at the Board of Supervisors during the May 20, 2014, meeting.

Input and comments can also be received by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. CPD Division, 611 West Sixth Street, Suite 800, Los Angeles, CA 90017. The telephone number is (213) 894-8000. Comments or objections received after May 12, 2014, will not be considered by HUD.

Accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act are available upon request. Requests must be made at least 72 hours prior to meeting. Later requests will be accommodated to the extent feasible. Please call the Clerk of the Board office at (951) 955-1069, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday. 4/9

APPENDIX D

IDIS Reports

PR03-CDBG ACTIVITY REPORT AVAILABLE ONLINE

(OTHER REPORTS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST)

APPENDIX E

Consolidated Plan Tables

		1
Table 1	Housing Market Analysis (ConPlan Only)	N/A
Table 2		24
	Priority Housing Needs	2A
Table 3	Homeless & Special Needs- Continuum of Care	1A
		IA
	Housing and Community Development Activities - CDBG (By Proj &PS by Persons)	
Table 4	Housing and Community Development Activities - HOME	2B
Table 5	Non-Homeless Special Needs - CDBG	
	Non-Homeless Special Needs - HOME	1B
	Summary of Specific Annual Obj/Outcome Performance - CDBG	
Table 6	Summary of Specific Annual Obj/Outcome Performance - HOME	1C,2C,3A
Table 7	Homeless Needs Table-ESG Summary of Specific Homeless/Special Needs Obj- ESG	1C
Table 8		20
AP & CAPER	Annual Housing Completion Goals	3B
Table 9	Summary of Specific Housing/Comm Develop Obj - CDBG	
CAPER	Summary of Specific Housing/Comm Develop Obj - HOME	2C
Table 10 CAPER	Summary of Specific ANNUAL Objectives - CDBG Summary of Specific ANNUAL Objectives - HOME	3A

Priority Housing Needs / Investment Plan Table Table 2A (2)

Priority Need (#1): Provide homeow opportunities for first-time homebuye very low-, low- and moderate-income	rs and for the	5-Yr. Goal Plan	Yea 200 Goal /	9/10	201	ar 2 0/11 Actual	201	ar 3 1/12 Actual	201	ar 4 2/13 Actual	201	ar 5 3/14 Actual	5-Yr. Ac	ctual
First Time Home Buyer (FTHB)	0-30% of MFI			1		3		1		0		0	5	
Program	31-50% of MFI	50	10	4	10	4	10	4	10	3	10	0	15	101
Fiogram	51-80% of MFI			15		16		28		16		6	81	
American Dream Devenser	0-30% of MFI			0		0		0		0		0	0	
American Dream Downpayment	31-50% of MFI	6	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Initiative (ADDI) Program	51-80% of MFI			0		0		0		0		0	0	
	0-30% of MFI			2		2		4		2		0	10	
	31-50% of MFI	100	20	20	20	17	20	18	20	10	20	3	68	407
Mortgage Credit Certificate (MCC)	51-80% of MFI	100	20	53	20	74	20	73	20	31	20	9	240	
	81-120%			17		31		25		11		5	89	
HOME Breakers Solf Help New	0-30% of MFI			0		0		0		0		0	0	
HOME Program - Self-Help New	31-50% of MFI	25	5	0	5	0	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0
Construction	51-80% of MFI			0		0		0		0		0	0	
	0-30% of MFI			0		0		0		0		0	0	
RDA Infill Housing	31-50% of MFI	4	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	1	3	12
	51-80% of MFI			0		0		2		1		6	9	
PDA Single Femily	0-30% of MFI			0		8		0		0		32	40	
RDA Single-Family New Construction	31-50% of MFI	20	5	0	5	17	5	0	5	0	0	58	75	136
	51-80% of MFI			1		20		0		0		0	21	
	0-30% of MFI			0		0		0		0		1	1	
Redevelopment Homeownership	31-50% of MFI	31	10	1	10	0	10	0	1	0	0	0	1	27
Program (RHP)	51-80% of MFI	51	10	2	10	2	10	0	1	0	0	0	4	
	81-120% of MFI			7		12		2		0		0	21	
RDA Low and Moderate Income	0-30% of MFI			0		1		0		0		0	1	
Set-Aside Fund - Single-Family	31-50% of MFI	15	5	0	5	1	5	1	0	0	0	0	2	26
Housing Projects (RDA-1)	51-80% of MFI	15	5	0	5	1	5	3	0	2	Ŭ	0	6	
Housing Projects (RDA-1)	81-120% of MFI			0		10		6		1		0	17	
	Total	251	58	123	58	219	58	167	42	79	35	121	709	709

S:\CDBG\CAPER 2014\Appendix D - Tables\HOME Tables_Appendix_FINAL_ 13-2014 CAPER_YC_8.25.14

Priority Housing Needs / Investment Plan Table Table 2A (2)

Priority Need (#2): Improve the substandard housing and substarental housing affordable to low-	indard existing	5-Yr. Goal Plan	2	Year 1 :009/10 al / Actu	ıal	2	Year 2 :010/11 al / Actu	ıal	2	/ear 3 011/12 I / Actu	al	2	Year 4 012/13 II / Actu	ıal	2	Year 5 2013/14 al / Actu	al	5-Yr	. Actı	ıal
Home Rehabilitation Loan Program (HRLP)/Home Rehabiliation Program (HRP) - CDBG	0-30% of MFI 31-50% of MFI 51-80% of MFI	240	60	3 5 6	14	60	4 2 2	8	60	1 1 1	3	0	1 4 2	7	60	1 0 5	6	10 12 16	38	142
Home Rehabilitation Loan Program (HRLP)/Home Rehabiliation Program (HRP) - RDA	0-30% of MFI 31-50% of MFI 51-80% of MFI	240	0	11 25 28	64	00	8 14 14	36	00	1 3 0	4	Ū	0 0 0	0	00	0 0 0	0	20 42 42	104	142
Senior Home Repair Grant (SHRG) - CDBG	0-30% of MFI 31-50% of MFI 51-80% of MFI	195	60	11 12 0	23	60	7 13 0	20	60	13 12 0	25	0	7 18 0	25	15	2 12 0	14	40 67 0	107	262
Senior Home Repair Grant (SHRG) - RDA	0-30% of MFI 31-50% of MFI 51-80% of MFI	195	00	49 51 0	100	00	18 34 0	52	00	0 3 0	3	0	0 0 0	0	15	0 0 0	0	67 88 0	155	202
Enhanced Home Repair (EHR) Program - CDBG	0-30% of MFI 31-50% of MFI 51-80% of MFI	4	1	1 0 0	1	1	0 1 0	1	1	0 0 0	0	0	0 0 0	0	1	0 0 0	0	1 1 0	2	12
Enhanced Home Repair (EHR) Program - RDA	0-30% of MFI 31-50% of MFI 51-80% of MFI	4	1	1 2 1	4		3 2 0	5	I	0 1 0	1	0	0 0 0	0	1	0 0 0	0	4 5 1	10	12
Home Improvement Program (HIP)	0-30% of MFI 31-50% of MFI 51-80% of MFI	3	1	0 0 0	0	1	1 0 0	1	1	0 0 0	0	0	0 0 0	0	0	0 0 0	0	1 0 0	1	2
Manufactured Home Rreplacement Program (MHRP)	0-30% of MFI 31-50% of MFI 51-80% of MFI	3	1	0 0 0	0	1	0 0 0	0	1	0 0 0	0	0	0 0 0	0	0	0 0 1	1	0 0 1	1	2
Tenant-Occupied Home Rehabilitation Program (THRP)	0-30% of MFI 31-50% of MFI 51-80% of MFI	3	1	0 0 0	0	1	0 0 0	0	1	0 0 0	0	0	0 0 0	0	0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	0	45
RDA Rehabilitation Projects	0-30% of MFI 31-50% of MFI 51-80% of MFI	15	5	0 1 0	1	5	0 0 0	0	5	0 20 24	44	0	0 0 0	0	0	0 0 0	0	0 21 24	45	40
	Total	463	129	207	207	129	123	123	129	80	80	0	32	32	76	21	21	463	463	463

Priority Need (#3): Address farm we farm worker housing needs in wester County and in the Coachella Valley.		5-Yr. Goal Plan		ar 1 9/10 Actual	201	ar 2 0/11 Actual	201	ar 3 1/12 Actual	201	ar 4 2/13 Actual	201	ar 5 3/14 Actual	5-Y Act	
Mobile Home Tenant Loan	0-30% of MFI			16		9		0		60		0	85	
(MHTL) Assistance Program	31-50% of MFI	50	10	15	10	8	10	1	10	120	0	0	144	229
(MITTE) Assistance Program	51-80% of MFI			0		0		0		0		0	0	
Fee Land Mobile Home Loan	0-30% of MFI			0		6		0		0		0	6	
(FLMHL) Program	31-50% of MFI	50	10	0	10	19	10	0	10	1	0	0	20	26
	51-80% of MFI			0		0		0		0		0	0	
	0-30% of MFI			0		0		0		0		21	21	
HOME Program - Farm Worker Housing	31-50% of MFI	50	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	31	31	52
Farm worker Housing	51-80% of MFI			0		0	1	0		0	1	0	0	
	0-30% of MFI			0		11		0		0		32	43	
RDA - Farm Worker Housing	31-50% of MFI	40	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	58	58	191
	51-80% of MFI			0		90		0		0		0	90	
	Total	190	40	31	40	143	40	1	40	181	10	142	498	498
Priority Need (#4): Expand the affor housing stock for low-income and sp households.		5-Yr. Goal Plan	200	ar 1 9/10 Actual	201	ar 2 0/11	201	ar 3 1/12 Actual	201	ar 4 2/13	201	ar 5 3/14	5-Y Act	
	0-30% of MFI	Fiall	Goal /		Goal /		Goal /		Goal /	24	Goal /	Actual 21	45	
HOME Program - Multi-family and	31-50% of MFI	200	40	0	40	130	40	0	40	24	40	31	189	234
Special Needs	51-80% of MFI	200	40	0	40	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	204
	0-30% of MFI			0		14		0		8		8	30	
RDA - Multi-family and Special	31-50% of MFI	200	40	1	40	71	40	0	40	31	40	0	103	174
Needs	51-80% of MFI		-	0	-	41		0	_	0		0	41	
	Total	400	80	1	80	256	80	0	80	91	80	60	408	408
Priority Need (#5): Shelter the hom	eless	5-Yr.	Ye	ar 1	Ye	ar 2	Ye	ar 3	Ye	ar 4	Ye	ar 5		
(Transitional to permanent housing,		Goal		9/10		0/11	_	1/12		2/13		3/14	5-Y	
shelter)		Plan	Goal /			Actual				Actual			Act	ual
,	0-30% of MFI			0		0		0		0		0	0	
HOME - Special Needs	31-50% of MFI	50	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0
New Construction	51-80% of MFI			0		0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	
RDA - Special Needs	0-30% of MFI			0		0		0		0		0	0	
New Construction	31-50% of MFI	40	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	0	0
	51-80% of MFI			0		0		0		0		0	0	
	Total	90	20	0	20	0	20	0	20	0	10	0	0	0

Priority Housing Needs / Investment Plan Table Table 2A (2)

Priority Housing Needs / Investment Plan Table

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Year 4 2012/13 oal / Actual	ır 3 I/12 Actual G	20	2/13 2	Year 5 013/14 Il / Actual	5-Yr. Ac	ctual
NSP - 2 Acquisition/Rehab/Rental 31-50% of MFI 51-80% of MFI 10 4 0 3 1 3 0 0 NSP - 3 Neighborhood Stabilization Homeownership Program (NSHP) 0-30% of MFI 31-50% of MFI 81-120% of MFI 10 4 0 3 1 3 0 0 NSP - 4 Acquisition/Rehab of 0-30% of MFI 190 64 1 63 3 1 5 0	0	2 13 27	0	0 38 28	0 18 2 10	3 100 82 102	287
NSP - 3 31-50% of MFI 190 64 1 0 5 3 0 Neighborhood Stabilization 51-80% of MFI 190 64 1 7 63 3 63 3 3 0 Homeownership Program (NSHP) 81-120% of MFI 12 13 11 11 NSP - 4 Acquisition/Rehab of 0-30% of MFI 0 10 7	0 0 0	-	0	0 0	0 0 0	1 1 0	2
	0 0 6 9	3	0	1 6	0 0 0	2 7 19 45	73
or New Construction 51-80% of MFI 0 0 0 0 0 Total 470 158 32 156 149 156 121 0	0 0 0 0 82	15 0		0 0 0	0 0 0 30	17 35 0 414	52 414

Section 215 (HOME, HOME FTHB, CDBG and NSP)	5-Yr. Goal Plan	200	ar 1 9/10 Actual	201	ar 2 0/11 Actual		ar 3 1/12 Actual	201	ar 4 2/13 Actual	201	ar 5 3/14 Actual	5-Yr. Actual
215 Renter	200	40	0	40	608	40	23	40	33	40	52	716
215 Owner	75	15	114	15	141	15	159	15	85	15	55	554
Total	275	55	114	55	749	55	182	55	118	55	107	1270

HOMELESS & SPECIAL NEEDS POPULATIONS - TABLE 1A (TAB

(TABLE 3)

Continuum of Care Homeless Population and Subpopulations Chart

		Sheltered* from	n 2014 HIC	Un-sheltered* From		
Par	t 1: Homeless Population	Emergency	Transitional	2013 PIT	Total	Data Quality
1.	Homeless Individuals	575	482	1888	2945	Statistically reliable data
2.	Homeless Households with Children	77	144	45	266	obtained from (N) County of Riverside HMIS 2014
2a.	Children	236	241	144	621	Housing Inventory Chart
2b.	Persons in Households with only Children	18	0	4	22	and PIT 2013 Unsheltered Homeless Count and
	Total (LINES 1+2a+2b)	829	723	2036	3588	Survey
Par	t 2: Homeless Subpopulations	Sheltered* from	m 2013 PIT	Un-sheltered* From 2013 PIT	Total	Data Quality
1	Chronically Homeless	30		995	1025	
2	Severely Mentally III	84		452	536	
63	Chronic Substance Abuse	98		506	604	Statistically reliable data
4	l Veterans	104		181	285	obtained from (N) County of Riverside 2013 Sheltered
5	Persons with HIV/AIDS	0		18	18	and Unsheltered Homeless
6	Victims of Domestic Violence	91		326	417	Count and Survey
		90		109	199	1
7	Unaccompanied Youth (18-24)	90		105		

										5-Year	Quantit	ies					Tota	1		
						Year 1	(09/10)	Year 2	(10/11)	Year 3	(11/12)	Year 4	(12/13)	Year 5	(13/14)		1010			
							С		С		С		С		С			%		
							0		0		0		0		0					
Part	3: Homeless Needs Table:						m		m		m		m		m			0		
Indi	viduals						р		р		р		р		р		A	f		
						G	1	<u> </u>	1	6	1	6	1	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	c t	G		
		Original				G 0	e +	G	e t	G	е +	G	e t	G	e +	G	ι 1	G 0		Plan to
		Goal	Currently	Currently		a	e	a	e	a	۲ e	a	e	a	e	a	a	a	Priority	Fund Y
		Needs	Goal Needs	Available	Gap	ĩ	d	ĩ	d	ĩ	d	ĩ	d	ĩ	d	ĩ	ĩ	Ĩ	H,M,L	/ N
В	Emergency Shelters	1567	777	777	0	15	25	15	0	15	0	15	101	15	94	75	220	293.33%	Н	Y
е	Transitional Housing	1714	736	720	16	23	0	23	0	23	62	23	0	23	0	113	62	55.11%	Н	Y
d	Permanent Supportive Housing	1211	3296	998	2298	50	12	50	32	50	25	50	50	50	99	250	218	87.20%	Н	Y
s	Total	4492	4809	2495	2314	87.5	37	87.5	32	87.5	87	87.5	151	87.5	193	437.5	500	435.64%		
Chr	onically Homeless	1025																		

Part 3 Notes

Original Goal Needs - N/A

Current Goal Needs - Currently Available plus Gap

Currently Available - Total from 2014 Housing Inventory (HIC)

Gap - 2013 HIC Unmet Need Chart

Goal - 10 Year Plan (Corrected Goals to reflect 5 years instead of 10 years)

Community				5 - Year Q	uantities	(Propose	d Accomp	olishment	Units - Ll	MC & LMA	A Person	s)	
-	Priority	Yea	ar 1	Yea	r 2	Yea	ar 3	Yea	ar 4	Yea	nr 5	Cumu	ulative
Development Activities	Need	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual
Services													
Operating Costs of Homeless/AIDS Patients Programs	н	350	226	350	802	350	490	350	127	350	732	1,750	2,377
Public Services (General) 570.201(e)	Н	27,449	37,437	27,449	78,500	27,449	139,943	27,449	176,496	27,449	181,747	137,245	614,123
Senior Services 570.201(e)	Н	4,000	3,232	4,000	4,400	4,000	4,205	4,000	6,453	4,000	8,749	20,000	27,039
Handicapped Services 570.201(e)	Н	280	402	280	199	280	302	280	190	280	1,227	1,400	2,320
Youth Services 570.201(e)	н	3,063	49	3,063	66	3,063	55	3,063	186	3,062	160	15,314	516
Substance Abuse Services 570.201(e)	н	225	172	225	8	225	9	225	25	225	14	1,125	228
Battered and Abused Spouses 570.201(e)	Н	1,144	490	1,144	760	1,144	204	1,144	552	1,144	1,232	5,720	3,238
Child Care Services 570.201(e)	Н	47	163	47	28	47	29	47	51	47	39	235	310
Health Services 570.201(e)	Н	15,991	144	15,991	242	15,991	221	15,990	36	15,990	29	79,953	672
Abused and Neglected Children 570.201(e)	Н	240	241	240	141	240	13	240	71	239	75	1,199	541
Mental Health Services	Н	-	-	-	-	-	36		135		123		294
TOTALS		52,789	42,556	52,789	85,146	52,789	145,507	52,788	184,322	52,786	194,127	263,941	651,658
	Operating Costs of Homeless/AIDS Patients Programs Public Services (General) 570.201(e) Senior Services 570.201(e) Handicapped Services 570.201(e) Youth Services 570.201(e) Substance Abuse Services 570.201(e) Battered and Abused Spouses 570.201(e) Child Care Services 570.201(e) Health Services 570.201(e) Mental Health Services	Development ActivitiesPriority NeedServicesOperating Costs of Homeless/AIDS Patients ProgramsHPublic Services (General) 570.201(e)HSenior Services 570.201(e)HHandicapped Services 570.201(e)HYouth Services 570.201(e)HSubstance Abuse Services 570.201(e)HBattered and Abused Spouses 570.201(e)HChild Care Services 570.201(e)HHealth Services 570.201(e)HMental Health Services 570.201(e)HMental Health Services 570.201(e)H	Development ActivitiesPriority NeedYeadGoalServicesIOperating Costs of Homeless/AIDS Patients ProgramsH350Public Services (General) 570.201(e)H27,449Senior Services 570.201(e)H4,000Handicapped Services 570.201(e)H280Youth Services 570.201(e)H3,063Substance Abuse Services 570.201(e)H1,144Child Care Services 570.201(e)H11,144Child Care Services 570.201(e)H15,991Abused and Neglected Children 570.201(e)H240Mental Health ServicesH-Statered and Neglected Children 570.201(e)H52,789	Development ActivitiesPriority NeedYear 1GoalActualServicesIIOperating Costs of Homeless/AIDS Patients ProgramsH350Public Services (General) 570.201(e)H27,449Senior Services 570.201(e)H4,000Handicapped Services 570.201(e)H280Youth Services 570.201(e)H3,063Substance Abuse Services 570.201(e)H3,063Substance Abuse Services 570.201(e)H1,144AugeH1,144Abused and Abused Spouses 570.201(e)H15,991Health Services 570.201(e)H240Mental Health Services570.201(e)HMental Health Services570.201(e)<	Development ActivitiesPriority NeedYearYearGoalActualGoalServicesI350226Operating Costs of Homeless/AIDS Patients ProgramsH350226Public Services (General) 570.201(e)H4,0003,2324,000Handicapped Services 570.201(e)H2803402280Youth Services 570.201(e)H3,063493,063Substance Abuse Services 570.201(e)H3,063493,063Substance Abuse Services 570.201(e)H1,1444901,144Child Care Services 570.201(e)H1,1444901,144Child Care Services 570.201(e)H15,99114415,991Abused and Neglected Children 570.201(e)H240240240Mental Health Services570.201(e)H240241Mental Health Services570.201(e)H52,78942,556	Development ActivitiesPriority NeedIvear1Year2GoalActualGoalActualGoalActualServicesIIIIIIOperating Costs of Homeless/AIDS Patients ProgramsH350226350802Public Services (General) 570.201(e)H27,44937,43727,44978,500Senior Services 570.201(e)H4,0003,2324,0004,400Handicapped Services 570.201(e)H3,0634022280199Youth Services 570.201(e)H3,0631493,06366Substance Abuse Services 570.201(e)H2251722258Battered and Abused Spouse 570.201(e)H1,14444901,144760Child Care Services 570.201(e)H15,99111,44240242Abused and Neglected Children 570.201(e)H240241240241Abused and Neglected Children 570.201(e)H240241141Mental Health Services570.201(e)H240241141Mental Health Services570.201(e)H240241240141Mental Health Services570.201(e)H240241240141Mental Health Services570.201(e)H240241240141Mental Health Services570.201(e)H52,78942,5652,78985,146	Priority Need Year Year Year Goal Actual Goal Goal	Development ActivitiesPriority NeedIIIIIIGoalActualGoalActualGoalActualGoalActualServicesIIIIIIIIIIOperating Costs of Homeless/AIDS Patients ProgramsII <t< td=""><td>Priority Need Priority Need Priority Goal Priority Actual Oreat Oreat Goal Actual Actual</td><td>Priority NeedPriority NeedVertGoalActualGoal<</td><td>Priority Priority Ver Ver Ver Ver Ver Ver Ver Ver Development Activities Nead Actual Goal Goal</td><td>Priore NeedYear</td><td>Prior Prior <math>(Portor) <math>(Porto) $(Porto)$</math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></td></t<>	Priority Need Priority Need Priority Goal Priority Actual Oreat Oreat Goal Actual Actual	Priority NeedPriority NeedVertGoalActualGoal<	Priority Priority Ver Ver Ver Ver Ver Ver Ver Ver Development Activities Nead Actual Goal Goal	Priore NeedYear	Prior Prior $(Portor) (Portor) (Porto) (Porto) $

(Refer to PR03 Summary/Refer to pgs 73-74)

Other														
06	Interim Assistance 570.201(f)	Н	117,582	100,647	117,582	100,647	117,582	100,210	117,582	-	117,582	188,639	587,910	490,143
	Code Enforcement 570.202	Н	18,014	54,172	18,014	27,282	18,014	41,266	18,014	206,103	18,014	110,797	90,070	439,620

(Refer to PR03 Summary/Refer to pgs 67 -72)

												Table 2B //	\												
Housing and								5 - Voar O)uantitios (Conse		Table 2B (4 Plan numb		acad P	rojecte	/Estimated	Funding)								
Community			,	Year 1 (2009/10)			١	/ear 2 (2010/11)		Conse		ear 3 (2011/12		JSeur		ear 4 (2012/13)	•/		Y	ear 5 (2013/14)		Cum	ulative		
Development Activities	Priority Need	Goal	Actual	Est. Dollar Amt.	Actual Expended	Goal	Actual	Est. Dollar Amt.	Actual Expended	Goal	Actual	Est. Dollar Amt.	Actual Expended	Goal	Actual	Est. Dollar Amt.	Actual Expended	Goal	Actual	Est. Dollar Amt.	Actual Expended	Goal	Actual	Cumulative Estimated dollar amount	Cumulative Expended dollar amount
Acquisition of Real Property 01 570.201(a)	м	1	1	\$500,000	\$231,860	1	0	\$500,000	\$0	1	0	\$500,000	\$0	1	0	\$500,000	\$0	1	0	\$500,000	\$0	5	1	\$2,500,000	\$231,860
Public Facilities and Improve	ement	S																							
Public Facilities and Improvements (General)							_				_				_										
03 570.201 ('c) 03A Senior Centers 570.201(c)	н	6	4			6	5			6	9			6	5			6	6			30 5	29		
Handicapped Centers 03B 570.201 (c)	н	2	2			1	2			1	3			1	0			1	1			6	6		
Homeless Facilities (not						-					1								1						
03C operating costs) 570.201 (c)	н	0	0			1	0			0	0			1	0			0	0			2	0		
03D Youth Centers 570.201 (c) Neighborhood Facilities 03E 570.201 (c)	н	1	0			2	0			1	1			2	0			1	0			7	1		
Parks, Recreational Facilities 03F 570.201 (c)	н	2	2			2	2			2	2			2	3			2	1			10 25	10 19		
03G Parking Improvements	м	1	2			0	0			0	0			0	0			0	0			1	2		
Flood Drain Improvements 03I 570.201 (c)	н	1	0			0	0			1	0			0	0			0	0			2	0		
Water/Sewer Improvements 03J 570.201 (c)	н	2	0			1	0			1	2			1	0			1	0			6	2		
Street Improvements 03K 570.201 (c)	н	3	3			4	4			3	2			4	2			3	1			17	12		
_{03L} Sidewalks 570.201 (c)	н	2	0			2	2			2	1			2	2			2	1			10	6		
Child Care Centers 570.201 03M (c)	н	3	2			1	1			1	1			1	2			1	2			7	8		
Fire Stations/Equipment 030 570.201 (c)	м	0	0			0	0			1	0			0	0			1	0			2	0		
03P Health Facilities 570.201 (c)	н	1	0			1	1			1	1			1	0			1	0			5	2		
Facilities fir AIDS Patients (not 03S operating costs) 570.201 (c)	н	1	2			1	1			0	0			0	1			0	1			2	5		
TOTAL Public Facilities and Improvements		31	23	\$5,085,000	\$7,548,825	28	22	\$5,085,000	\$4,941,520	26	26	\$5,085,000	\$4,207,345	27	21	\$5,085,000	\$2,387,537	25	17	\$5,085,000	\$2,027,164	137	109	\$25,425,000	\$21,112,391
Clearance and Demolition 04 570.201(d)	м	0	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	\$0	\$0	1	0	\$40,000	\$0	1	0	\$40,000	\$1,215	1	2	\$40,000	\$67,140	3	2	\$120,000	\$68,355

Public Services																									
Operating Costs of Homeless/AIDS Patients 03T Programs	н	2	2			2	5			2	2			2	1			2	4			10	14		
Public Services (General) 05 570.201(e)	н	20	29			20	31			20	31			20	26			20	24			100	141		
05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	н	10	11			10	13			10	9			10	7			10	8			50	48		
Handicapped Services 05B 570.201(e)	н	4	6			4	4			4	4			4	2			4	3			20	19		
05D Youth Services 570.201(e)	н	14	2			14	2			14	2			14	3			14	4			70	13		
Substance Abuse Services 05F 570.201(e)	н	2	2			2	1			2	1			2	1			2	1			10	6		
Battered and Abused Spouses 05G 570.201(e)	н	2	1			2	2			2	2			2	3			2	2			10	10		
Child Care Services 05L 570.201(e)	н	2	1			2	1			3	1			3	1			3	1			13	5		
05M Health Services 570.201(e)	н	3	1			3	2			3	2			3	1			3	1			15	7		
Abused and Neglected 05N Children 570.201(e)	н	4	4			4	2			4	1			4	2			4	2			20	11		
050 Mental Health Services	н	0	0			0	0			0	2			0	1			0	1			0	4		
TOTAL Public Services		63	59	\$1,400,000	\$1,356,901	63	63	\$1,400,000	\$1,461,630	64	57	\$1,400,000	\$1,212,703	64	48	\$1,400,000	\$1,064,207	64	51	\$1,400,000	\$1,189,646	318	278	\$7,000,000	\$6,285,087
Interim Assistance 06 570.201(f)	н	6	1	\$816,000	\$650,356	6	5	\$700,000	\$1,163,157	6	5	\$650,000	\$368,906	6	0	\$650,000	\$508,023	6	2	\$600,000	\$484,967	30	13	\$3,416,000	\$3,175,409
Direct Homeownership 13 Assistance 570.201(n)	н	0	0	\$0	\$0	0	2	\$0	\$0	0	1	\$0	\$0	0	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	\$0	\$0	0	3	\$0	\$0
Rehab; Single-Unit 14A Residential 570.202	н	43	38	\$425,000	\$382,759	43	32	\$425,000	\$335,667	40	28	\$400,000	\$188,907	40	32	\$400,000	\$326,530	40	22	\$400,000	\$148,677	206	152	\$2,050,000	\$1,382,540
Rehab-Other Pub owned 14D Residential Bldg	н	-0	0	\$0	\$0	-15	0	\$0	\$000,007	-0	0	\$0	\$100,007	0	0	\$ 1 00,000	\$020,000	0	0	\$400,000	\$0	0	0	\$2,000,000	\$0
14H Rehab: Admin	н	0	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	\$0	\$0	1	1	\$0	\$31,996	0	0		\$0	0	0		\$0	1	1	\$0	\$31,996
15 Code Enforcement 570.202	н	1	2	\$25,000	\$70,367	1	1	\$25,000	\$75,000	4	3	\$25,000	\$940,535	1	10	\$25,000	\$710,084	1	4	\$25,000	\$626,174	Ę	20	\$125,000	
ED- Direct Financial Assitance	п		2	\$23,000	\$70,367	1		\$25,000	\$75,000		3	\$23,000	\$940,535	1	10	\$23,000	\$710,084	1	4	\$25,000	\$020,174	5	20	\$125,000	\$2,422,160
to For-Profit Businesses 18A 570.203(b)	н	1	0	\$375,000	\$0	1	0	\$375,000	\$843	0	0	\$0	\$6,412	0	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	\$0	\$0	2	0	\$750,000	\$7,255
ED- Technical Assistance 18B (570.203(b)	н	0	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	\$0	\$0	0	1	\$0	\$35,000	0	1	\$0	\$250,000	0	0	\$0	\$0	0	2	\$0	\$285,000
Micro-Enterprise Assistance 18C 570.201(o)	н	0	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	\$0	\$21,044	0	2	\$0	\$29,903	0	1	\$0	\$15,000	0	1	\$0	\$12,500	0	4	\$0	\$78,447
21A/ Program Administration 21D 570.206	н	2	1	\$1,900,000	\$1,619,221	2	1	\$1,900,000	\$1,610,204	2	3	\$1,900,000	\$1,751,682	2	3	\$1,900,000	\$1,324,843	2	3	\$1,900,000	\$1,505,611	10	11	\$9,500,000	\$7,811,561
TOTAL CDBG	10-6-	148	125	\$10,526,000 61-63, 65, 67-6	\$11,860,289	145	126	\$10,410,000	\$9,609,065	142	127	\$10,000,000	\$8,773,389	142	116	\$10,000,000	\$6,587,439	140	102	\$9,950,000	\$6,061,879	717	596	\$50,886,000	\$42,892,061

-	and Communit	-			Year 1				Year 2				Year 3				Year 4				Year 5		Cum	ulative		
F		Priority Need	Goal	Actual	Est. Dollar Amt.	Actual Expended	Goal	Actual	Est. Dollar Amt.	Actual Expended	Goal	Actual	Est. Dollar Amt.	Actual Expended	Goal	Actual	Est. Dollar Amt.	Actual Expended	Goal	Actual	Est. Dollar Amt.	Actual Expended	Goal	Actual	Cumulative Estimated dollar amount	Cumulative Expended dollar amount
Acquisition o units	of existing rental	н	16				16	0		\$0	16			\$0	16			\$0	16	0		\$0	80	0		\$0
Production of	of new rental units	н	80				80	130		\$2,900,000	80			\$0	80	215		\$3,358,000	80	135		\$1,500,000	400	480		\$7,758,000
Production o	of new owner units	н	5		\$2,084,000		5	0	\$2,084,000	\$0	5		\$2,084,000	\$0	5		\$1,292,000	\$0	5	0	\$1,292,000	\$0	25	0	\$8,836,000	\$0
Homeowners (FTHB & MH	rship assistance HTL)	н	10	20	\$500,000	\$726,178	10	23	\$500,000	\$643,352	10	34	\$500,000	\$1,004,008	20	19	\$1,292,000	\$710,700	20	6	\$1,292,000	\$180,600	70	102	\$4,084,000	\$3,264,838
тот	AL HOME		111	20	\$2,584,000	\$726,178	111	153	\$2,584,000	\$3,543,352	111	34	\$2,584,000	\$1,004,008	121	234	\$2,584,000	\$4,068,700	121	141	\$2,584,000	\$1,680,600	575	582	\$12,920,000	\$11,022,838

													Tab	le 1B	(5)																
	nty of Riverside Funding ce: CDBG Public Service																														
		of							5 Ye	ear Qua	ntities	- Num	ber o	f Proje	ects/F	Person	5							Cu	mulat	ive Total			∠ Ľ	N Y	ther
No	n-Homeless Special	ds - er c ons		Ye	ar 1			Yea	ır 2			Yea	r 3			Ye	ar 4			Yea	r 5			Cu	mulat	ve rota			ÿ	, cpu	HOME JOME
	Needs	Needs - Number of Persons	Pro	ioals ojects/ ersons	Pro	nplete jects/ rsons	Proj	oals ects/ sons	Com Proje Pers	ects/	Proj	oals ects/ rsons	Proj	plete ects/ sons	Pro	oals jects/ rsons	Com Proje Pers	ects/	Pro	oals jects/ rsons	Proj	plete ects/ sons	Pro	Goals Djects/ ersons	Pro	nplete njects/ rsons	% of	Goal	Priority Ne	Plan to Fu	Fund Source: CDBG, HOME, HOPWA, ESG, Oth
	52./53. Elderly/Frail Elderly	-																													l
PG NG	54. Persons w/ Severe Mental Illness	-																													l
FUNDING Ig Needed	55./56. Disabled	-																													I
IUI Ng N	57. Alcohol/Other Drug Addicted	-																													I
HOME Housin	58. Persons w/ HIV/AIDS & their famili	-																													I
웃 문	59. Public Housing Residents	-																													I
	Total	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%	0%			
																_															
es	60./61. Elderly/Frail Elderly (05A)	139,061	9	4,000	11	3232	9	4,000	13	4400	9	4,000	9	4205	9	4,000	7	6453	9	4,000	8	8749	45	20,000	48	27039	107%	135%	н	Y	CDBG
Servic	62. Persons w/ Severe Mental Illness (050)	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	36	0		1	135	0		1	123	o	-	4	294	0%	0%	н	Y	CDBG
oortive	63./64. Disabled (05B)	96,464	3	280	6	402	3	280	4	199	3	280	4	302	3	280	2	190	3	280	3	1227	15	1,400	19	2320	127%	166%	н	Y	CDBG
G Supp Veeded	65. Alcohol/Other Drug Addicted (05F)	17,623	1	225	2	172	1	225	1	8	1	225	1	9	1	225	1	25	1	225	1	14	5	1,125	6	228	120%	20%	н	Y	CDBG
FUNDING	66. Persons w/ HIV/AIDS & their famili	-																													
CDBG	67. Public Housing Residents																														
c	Total	253,148	13	4505	19	3806	13	4505	18	4607	13	4505	16	4552	13	4505	11	6803	13	4505	13	10113	65	22,525	77	29881	118%	133%			

(Refer to PR03 Summary/Refer to pgs 70-74)

	County of Riverside unding Source: HOME																														
		L.							5 Year	r Quar	ntities	- Numb	oer of	Proje	cts/F	Persons									C	nulative 1	Total		_		
		nbe		Year 1 (fy09-1	0)	Ņ	rear 2 (f	y10-11)	,	Year 3 (f	y11-12)	`	Year 4 (fy	(12-13))		Year 5 (f	y13-14	1)			Cum	ulative			H, M, L	z ≻	ESG
No	n-Homeless Special Needs (Table 5)	Needs - Number of Persons	Pro	oals jects/ rsons	Pro	nplete jects/ rsons	Pro	oals jects/ rsons	Proj	plete ects/ sons	Pro	ioals bjects/ rsons	Com Proje Pers	ects/	Pro	oals jects/ rsons	Comp Proje Pers	ects/	Proj	oals jects/ rsons	Proj	nplete jects/ rsons	Pro	oals jects/ rsons	Proj	nplete ects/ rsons	% 0	f Goal	Priority Need: H	Plan to Fund?	Fund Source: CDBG, HOME, HOPWA, ESG, Other
	52./53. Elderly/Frail Elderly																														
_	54. Persons w/ Severe Mental Illness																														
Needed	55./56. Disabled																														
Housing N	57. Alcohol/Other Drug Addicted																														
Ноц	58. Persons w/ HIV/AIDS & their fami	244,950	1	80	2	2	0	0	6	41	1	80	1	1	0	80	4	9	1	80	2	12	3	320	15	65	500%	20%	н	Y	HOME
	59. Public Housing Residents	-	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		o	0	0	о	0%	0%			
	Total	-	1	80	2	2	0	0	6	41	1	80	1	1	0	80	4	9	1	80	2	12	3	320	15	65	0%	0%			
																											_				
sec	60./61. Elderly/Frail Elderly	-																													
2 S	62. Persons w/ Severe Mental Illness	-																													
Supportive Services Needed	63./64. Disabled	-																													
'tive Nee	65. Alcohol/Other Drug Addicted	-																													
pdc L	66. Persons w/ HIV/AIDS & their fami	-																													
Sup	67. Public Housing Residents	-																													
	Total	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					_

HOME - Summary of Specific Annual Objectives

(Table 1C, 2C 3A) (6)

		Availa	bility / Accessit	pility of Decent Housi	ng (DH-1)		
	Specific Objective	Source of Funds	Year	Performance Indicators	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Achieved
DH	Housing activities focused primarily on improving the quality of, or access to, housing.	HOME CDBG RDA	2009-10 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14	Number of Households Served	129 129 129 0 76 463	207 123 80 32 21 463	160% 95% 62% N/A 28% 100%
Note:	[Priority #2]		Affordahilitu ol				
DH	Housing activities focused primarily on affordability of the units. [Priority #1, #3, #4 & #5]	HOME RDA	2009-10 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14	Decent Housing (DH Number of Total Housing Units -YEAR GOAL	-2) 198 198 198 182 135 911	63 494 48 351 323 1279	32% 249% 24% 193% 239% 140%

CDBG - Summary of Specific Annual Objectives

Table 1C,2C,3A (6)

DH - 1 A	vailability/Accessibility of Decent	Housing					
Specific Obj. #	Specific Annual Objectives	Source of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number Projects	Actual Number Projects	Percent Completed
DH - 1	Specific Objective:			2009 - 10	1	0	0%
	Home Repair Program	CDBG -	Number of projects	2010 - 11	1	1	100%
	(2010-11 City of Norco idis#4586)	Refer to HOME	completed that assisted low-	2011 - 12	1	0	0%
		Table 6	income households	2012 - 13	1	0	0%
			[2013 - 14	1	0	0%
			MULTI-YEAR GOAL		5	1	20%

Specific Obj. #	Specific Annual Objectives	Source of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number Projects	Actual Number Projects	Percent Completed
DH - 2	Specific Objective:			2009 - 10	1	0	0%
	Down-Payment Assistance		Number of projects that	2010 - 11	1	3	300%
	Program (IDA) & Migrant	CDBG	assisted persons with new or improved access to affordable	2011 - 12	1	2	200%
	Farmworker Housing		housing	2012 - 13	1	1	100%
			nousing	2013 - 14	1	0	0%
			MULTI-YEAR GOAL		5	6	120%

CDBG - Summary of Specific Annual Objectives

Table 1C,2C,3A (6)

SL-1 Avalla	bility/Accessibility of a Suitable Living En	vironment					F
Specific Obj. #	Specific Annual Objectives	Source of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number of Projects	Actual Number Projects	Percent Completed
SL - 1 (1)	Specific Objective: Substance Abuse		Number of projects assisting	2009 - 10	60	52	87%
	Programs, Services for Battered and		households that received emergency assistance to	2010 - 11	60	61	102%
	Abused Spouses, Health Services, Senior	CDBG	prevent homelessness, over-	2011 - 12	60	58	97%
	Centers, Community Centers, Facilities,	CDBC	night shelter, supportive	2012 - 13	60	52	87%
	New or Improved Infrastructure, Food		services and long-term housing and number of persons with	2013 - 14	60	50	83%
	and Homeless Programs, Educational		new or improved access to				
	and/or Childcare Programs, Recreational						
	Programs.						
			MULTI-YEAR GOAL		300	273	91%
SL-2 Afford	ability of a Suitable Living Environment						
Specific Obj. #	Specific Annual Objectives	Source of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number of Projects	Actual Number Projects	Percent Completed
SL - 2 (1)	Specific Objective: Senior Activities,		Number of success that	2009 - 10	15	17	113%
	Services for handicapped, Childcare,		Number of projects that assisted persons with new or	2010 - 11	15	11	73%
	Youth, and Services for abused and	CDBG	improved access to a service	2011 - 12	15	9	60%
	neglected children for the betterment of		and number that no longer have	2012 - 13	15	9	60%
	communities and areas.		access to a substandard service.	2013 - 14	15	13	87%
			MULTI-YEAR GOAL		75	59	79%
SL -3 Sustair	nability of a Suitable Living Environment		· · ·				
Specific Obj. #	Specific Annual Objectives	Source of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number of Projects	Actual Number Projects	Percent Completed
SL -3 (1)	Specific Objective: Street/Road		Number of projects that	2009 - 10	65	18	28%
	Improvements, Park Improvements,		assisted persons with new or	2010 - 11	65	18	28%
	Facility Improvements, Code	CDBG	improved access to a service	2011 - 12	65	23	35%
	Enforcement, and Interim Assistance.		and number that no longer have access to a substandard service.	2012 - 13	65	17	26%
				2013 - 14	65	13	20%
			MULTI-YEAR GOAL		325	89	27%

(Refer to PR03 Summary/Refer to pg 7)

CDBG - Summary of Specific Annual Objectives

Table 1C,2C,3A (6)

EO - 1 Av	ailability/Accessibility of Economic	Opportunit	y				
Specific Obj. #	Specific Annual Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number of Projects	Actual Number Projects	Percent Completed
EO - 1 (1)	Specific Objective:			2009 - 10	2	0	0%
	Business Development and Job		Number of projects that	2010 - 11	0	0	0%
	Creation	CDBG	created jobs and/or assisted	2011 - 12	0	3	0%
			new or existing businesses	2012 - 13	0	2	0%
				2013 - 14	0	1	0%
			MULTI-YEAR GOAL		2	6	300%

(Refer to PR03 Summary/Refer to pg 7)

Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) Homeless Needs Table: Individuals

Table 1C (7)

						5-Year Q	uantities					Cu	mulative To	otal
		Yea	ar 1	Yea	nr 2	*Ye	ar 3	Yea	ar 4	Yea	ar 5	Cu		Jai
			С		С		С		С		С			%
ESG Homeles			o m		o m		o m		o m		o m			o
Needs Table:			p		p		p		p		p		А	f
Individuals			i		Ì		I		i		I		с	
		G	e	G	e	G	e	G	e	G	e	G	t	G
		o a	t e	o a	t e	o a	t e	o a	t e	o a	t e	o a	u a	o a
		Ĩ	d	I	d	-	d	I	d	I	d	Ĩ	Ĩ	-
Emergency She	elters	920	2730	920	688	920	1055	920	799	920	2899	4600	8171	177.63%
Transitional Ho	U U	765	1177	765	480	765	790	765	337	765	150	3825	2934	76.71%
Supportive/Out Services	treach	157	419	157	0	157	0	157	0	157	45	785	464	59.11%
Rapid Re-Hous	sing	0		0		0		0	54		191	0	245	0.00%
Homeless Prev	vention	0		50	110	50	110	50	137	50	40	200	397	198.50%
То	otal	1842	4326	1892	1278	1892	1955	1892	1327	1892	3325	9410	12211	129.77%

(*Year 3- Emergency Shelter and Transitional Housing are combined in IDIS for reporting purposes, refer to pages 97-98)

OUTCOME PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS Table 1C (7) (Source: IDIS PR03 Report) Summary of Specific Homeless/Special Needs Objectives for FY 12/13

Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Proposed to Serve	Actual Served	Outcome/ Objective*
Homeless Objectives	I unuo	maioutors		Jervea	objective
Operating Costs of Homeless/AIDS Patients Programs (03T)	CDBG	Number persons that received emergency assistance to prevent homelessness	676	732	SL-1
*Emergency Shelters	ESG	Number of persons provided overnight shelter	497	2,899	SL-1
*Transitional Housing	ESG	Number of persons provided long-term housing	150	150	SL-1
Outreach Services	ESG	Number of persons provided outreach services	45	45	SL-1
Homeless Prevention Services	ESG	Number of persons provided Homeless Prevention services	65	40	DH-2
Rapid Re-Housing	ESG	Number of persons provided Rapid Re- Housing services	35	191	DH-2
Special Needs Objectives					
Handicapped Services (05B)	CDBG	Number of persons assisted with new or improved access	1,204	1,227	SL-1 SL-2

* Transitional Housing has been combined with the Emergency Shelter category as of FY 2011-2012 in IDIS for reporting purposes. Refer to <u>2013-14 ESG Funding Homeless Programs and Activities</u> <u>Table **pgs. 97-98**/Matrix 03T/05B **pg. 70-71**</u>

	Availability/Accessibility	Affordability	Sustainability
Suitable Living Environment	SL-1	SL-2	SL-3
Decent Housing	DH-1	DH-2	DH-3
Economic Opportunity	EO-1	EO-2	EO-3

		Table 3B (8)					
Grantee Name: Riverside County Program Year: 2013/2014	Expected Annual Number of Units To Be Completed	Actual Annual Number of Units Completed	R CDBG	esources HOME	used durin ESG	g the peri NSP	od HOPWA
ANNUAL AFFORDABLE HOUSING							
GOALS (SEC. 215)						1	1
Homeless households	0	0					
Non-homeless households	0	0					
Special needs households (Priority #3, #4 & #5)	100	202		x			
ANNUAL AFFORDABLE RENTAL HOUSING GOALS (SEC. 215)							
Acquisition of existing units	0	0					
Production of new units (Priority #4 HOME Rental)	40	52		x			
Rehabilitation of existing units (NSP-4 & NSP-2)	0	0				x	
Rental Assistance	0	0					
Total Sec. 215 Affordable Rental	40	52		x		x	
ANNUAL AFFORDABLE OWNER HOUSING GOALS (SEC. 215)							
Acquisition of existing units	0	0					
Production of new units (HOME Self Help)	5	0					
Rehabilitation of existing units (NSP-1, Priority #2)	0	21	x				
Homebuyer Assistance (FTHB, ADDI, NSP-3)	10	121		x		x	
Total Sec. 215 Affordable Owner	15	142	x	x		x	
ANNUAL AFFORDABLE HOUSING GOALS (SEC. 215)							
Acquisition of existing units	0	0					
Production of new units	45	52		x			
Rehabilitation of existing units	0	21	x	x			
Rental & Homebuyer Assistance	10	121		x		x	
Total Sec. 215 Affordable Housing	55	194	x	x		x	
ANNUAL HOUSING GOALS							
Annual Rental Housing Goal	40	52		x			
Annual Owner Housing Goal	15	142	x	x		x	
Total Annual Housing Goal	55	194	x	x		x	

Annual Housing Completion Goals

OUTCOME PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS

Table 2C (9)(Source: IDIS PR03 Report)

Summary of Specific Housing/Community Development Objectives FY 2013-2014

	Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Proposed Number 2013-2014	Actual Number 2013-2014	Outcome/ Objective*
	Community Development					
01, 03E, 03F, 03M	Provide for stable, lively neighborhoods where diversity is valued, residents feel secure and empowered through strong organizations, access to quality services, school activities, work and recreation.	CDBG	Number of <u>projects</u> with new or improved access to a service. Number that no longer have access to a substandard service.	6 Projects	6 Projects	SL - 1 SL - 3
	Public Facilities					
03, 03A , 03B, 03D, 03G, 03P, 03S	Provide an appropriate level of public facilities in order to enhance the quality of life.	CDBG	Number of <u>projects</u> assisted with new or improved access to a facility benefit. Number that no longer have access to a substandard facility.	18 Projects	9 Projects	SL - 1 SL - 3
	Public Services					
03T, 05, 05A, 05B, 05D, 05F, 05G, 05L, 05M, 05N, 05O	Complement and supplement existing City and community-wide efforts to ensure diverse and balanced neighborhoods.	CDBG	Number of persons with new or improved access to a service. Number that no longer have access to a substandard service.	193,283 Persons	194,127 Persons	SL - 1 SL - 2 DH - 2
	Infrastructure Objectives					
03I, 03J, 03K, 03L	Improve the infrastructure in neighborhoods and other areas throughout the County to ensure safe and clean environments.	CDBG	Number of <u>projects</u> that will provide new or improved access to an infrastructure benefit. Number that no longer have access to a substandard infrastructure.	6 Project	2 Projects	SL - 1 SL - 3
	Other					
04, 06, 15	Improve the communities or neighborhoods and other areas throughout the County to ensure safe and clean environments.	CDBG	Number of persons assisted with new or improved access to an infrastructure benefit. Number that no longer have access to a substandard infrastructure.	6 Projects	8 Projects	SL – 3
	Housing					
14A	Provide grants/loans for the rehabilitation of single-unit residential privately owned homes.	CDBG SHR/HRP	Number of units rehabilitated or improved for low- and moderate-income persons including seniors and/or persons with disabilities.	0 Activities	22 Activities	DH - 1
13, 14D	13-Provide down-payment assistance 14D-Provide seniors with a suitable living environment.	CDBG	Number of projects that provided down-payment assistance or seniors with a suitable living environment.	0	0	
18A, 18B, 18C	Provide Business Development and Job Creation	CDBG	Number of businesses assisted for the purpose of creating and retaining jobs.	0 Jobs 12 Businesses	0 Jobs 8 Businesses	EO - 1

*Outcome/Objective Codes

	Availability/Accessibility	Affordability	Sustainability
Suitable Living Environment	SL-1	SL-2	SL-3
Decent Housing	DH-1	DH-2	DH-3
Economic Opportunity	EO-1	EO-2	EO-3

(Refer to PR03 Summary/pgs. 58-59, 61-63, 65, 67-68, 70-72)

OUTCOME PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS

Table 2C (9)Summary of Specific Housing/Community Development Objectives FY 2013-14

Availability	/ Accessibi	lity of Decent Housing (DH-1)			
Specific Objective	Source of Funds	Performance Indicators	2013-14 Expected Number	2013-14 Actual Number	
Home Rehabilitation Program (HRP)/Home Rehabilitation Loan Program (HRLP)	CDBG RDA	Number of Households Served	0	6 0	6
Senior Home Repair Grant (SHRG) Program	CDBG RDA	Number of Households Served	0	14 0	14
Enhanced Home Repair (EHR) Program	CDBG RDA	Number of Households Served	0	0	0
Home Improvement Program (HIP)	CDBG	Number of Households Served	0	0	0
Manufactured Home Rreplacement Program (MHRP)	RDA	Number of Households Served	0	1	1
Tenant-Occupied Home Rehabilitation Program (THRP)	RDA	Number of Households Served	0	0	0
RDA Rehabilitation Projects	RDA	Number of Households Served	0	0	0
			0	21	21
Note: Housing activities focused primarily on improvi [Priority #2]	ing the quality o	of, or access to, housing.			
Affo	rdability of I	Decent Housing (DH-2)			
Specific Objective	Source of Funds	Deufermenes Indiactors	2013-14 Expected Number	2013-14 Actual	
Specific Objective First Time Home Buyer (FTHB) Program	HOME	Performance Indicators Number of Households Assisted	10	Number 6	
American Dream Downpayment Initiative (ADDI) Program	HOME	Number of Households Assisted	0	0	
HOME Program - Self-Help New Construction	HOME	Number of Households Assisted	5	0	
RDA Infill Housing	RDA	Number of Households Assisted	1	7	
RDA Single-Family New Construction	RDA	Number of Households Assisted	5	18	31
Redevelopment Homeownership Program (RHP)	RDA	Number of Households Assisted	1	1	
RDA Low and Moderate Income Set-Aside Fund - Single-Family Housing Projects (RDA-1)	RDA	Number of Households Assisted	0	0 0	
Mobile Home Tenant Loan (MHTL) Assistance Program	RDA	Number of Households Assisted	10	0	
Fee Land Mobile Home Loan (FLMHL) Program	RDA	Number of Households Assisted	10	0	
Farm Worker Housing	HOME	Number of Households Assisted	10)
-		Number of Households Assisted Number of Households Assisted	10)
Multi-family and Special Needs	HOME RDA	Number of Households Assisted	40 40		2
One siel Neede New Construction	HOME	Number of Households Assisted	10)
Special Needs New Construction	RDA	Number of Households Assisted	10		6
			162	3	04
Note: Housing activities focused primarily on afforda [Priority #1, #3, #4, #5 & #6]	bility of the unit	is.			

Summary of CDBG Specific Annual Objectives YTD 2009-2014 Table 3A (10)

		Table 3A (10)			
Specific Annual Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Proposed Accomplishment 2009- 14	Actual Completed YTD 2009-14	Outcome/ Objective*
Homeless					
03T	CDBG	Number of persons that received emergency assistance to prevent homelessness, over-night shelter, supportive services, and long-term housing.	1,750	2,377	SL-1 DH-2
Special Needs					
05B	CDBG	Number of persons assisted with new or improved access.	1,400	2,320	SL-1
Community Development					
01, 03F, 03E, 03M	CDBG	Number of Projects that will provide persons with new or improved access to a service. Number that no longer have access to a substandard service.	47	37	SL-1 SL-3
Infrastructure					
03I, 03J, 03K, 03L	CDBG	Number of Projects that will provide persons with new or improved access to an infrastructure benefit and no longer have access to a substandard infrastructure.	35	20	SL-1 SL-3
Public Facilities					
03, 03A, 03B, 03C, 03D, 03G, 03O, 03P, 03S	CDBG	Number of Projects that will provide persons with new or improved access to a facility benefit. Number that no longer have access to a substandard facility	60	52	SL-1 SL-3
Public Services					
05, 05A, 05D, 05E, 05F, 05G, 05L, 05M, 05N, 05O	CDBG	Number of persons with new or improved access to a service. Number that no longer have access to a substandard service.	260,791	646,961	SL-1 SL-2
Economic Development					
18A, 18B, 18C	CDBG	Number of Activities that will provide persons with new or improved access to a services or jobs.	1	6	EO-1
Housing					
13, 14D	CDBG	Number of projects that provided down-payment assistance or seniors with a suitable living environment.	0	1	SL-1 DH-2
Other					
04, 06, 15	CDBG	Number of Activities that will improve communities, neighborhoods, and other areas throughout the County to sustain a safe, clean, and suitable living environment.	38	35	SL-3

*Outcome/Objective Codes

	Availability/Accessibility	Affordability	Sustainability
Suitable Living Environment	SL - 1	SL - 2	SL - 3
Decent Housing	DH - 1	DH - 2	DH - 3
Economic Opportunity	EO - 1	EO - 2	EO - 3

Summary of Specific Annual Objectives YTD 2009-2014 Table 3A (10)

	Accessibi	lity of Decent Housing (DH-1)	2000 44		
	Source of		2009-14 Expected	2009-14 Actual Number	
Specific Objective	Funds	Performance Indicators	Number		
Home Rehabilitation Program (HRP)/Home	CDBG	Terrormance indicators	28		IDei
Rehabilitation Loan Program (HRLP)	RDA	Number of Households Served	240	104	142
Senior Home Repair (SHR) Program	CDBG RDA	Number of Households Served	195	107 155	262
Enhanced Home Repair (EHR) Program	CDBG	Number of Households Served	4	135 2 10	12
Home Improvement Program (HIP)	CDBG	Number of Households Served	3	1	1
Manufactured Home Rreplacement Program (MHRP)	RDA	Number of Households Served	3	0	0
Tenant-Occupied Home Rehabilitation Program (THRP)	RDA	Number of Households Served	3	0	0
RDA Rehabilitation Projects	RDA	Number of Households Served	15	45	45
			463	462	462
Note: Housing activities focused primarily on improv [Priority #2]	ing the quality	of, or access to, housing.			
Affo	ordability of I	Decent Housing (DH-2)			
			2009-14		
	Source of		Expected	2009-14	
Specific Objective	Funds	Performance Indicators	Number		nber
First Time Home Buyer (FTHB) Program American Dream Downpayment Initiative (ADDI)	HOME	Number of Households Assisted	50	1	01
Program	HOME	Number of Households Assisted	6	(D
HOME Program - Self-Help New Construction	HOME	Number of Households Assisted	25	(0
RDA Infill Housing	RDA	Number of Households Assisted	4	1	2
RDA Single-Family New Construction	RDA	Number of Households Assisted	20	1	36
Redevelopment Homeownership Program (RHP)	RDA	Number of Households Assisted	31	27	
RDA Low and Moderate Income Set-Aside Fund - Single-Family Housing Projects (RDA-1)	RDA	Number of Households Assisted	15	26	
Mobile Home Tenant Loan (MHTL) Assistance Program	RDA	Number of Households Assisted	50	229	
Fee Land Mobile Home Loan (FLMHL) Program	RDA	Number of Households Assisted	50	26	
	HOME	Number of Households Assisted	50	52	
Form Worker Housing	RDA	Number of Households Assisted	40	191	
Farm Worker Housing		Number of Households Assisted	200		34
	HOME				
	HOME RDA	Number of Households Assisted	200	1	74
Farm Worker Housing Multi-family and Special Needs Special Needs New Construction	HOME RDA HOME	Number of Households Assisted Number of Households Assisted	50	(0
	HOME RDA	Number of Households Assisted		(

APPENDIX F

Housing Charts for FY 2013-2014

Figure 1 - Total Funds Expended on Housing by Program 7/1/2013 - 6/30/2014




Figure 2 - Funds Expended by Type of Funding 7/1/2013 - 6/30/2014




Figure 3 - Total Housing Units by Program and Housing Type for all activities completed for FY 2013 - 2014




Figure 4 - Average Assistance Expended Per Unit by Program for all activities completed for FY 2013 - 2014




Figure 5 - Units Served Under Home Ownership Programs for all activities completed for FY 2013 - 2014




Figure 6 - Units Served Under Home Rehabilitation Programs for all activities completed for FY 2013 - 2014




Figure 7 - Total First Time Home Buyer Units Served by Race and Ethnicity for all activities completed for FY 2013 - 2014




Figure 8 - Total Home Rehabilitation Units Served by Race and Ethnicity

for all activities completed for FY 2013 - 2014





Figure 9 - Income Levels Served by Program for all activities completed for FY 2013 - 2014



■ FTHB ■ HOME ■ HRLP ■ INF ■ MCC □ MHRP ■ NSP1 H □ NSP3H □ RDA □ RHP ■ SHRG




Figure 10 - Funds Expended on Housing Projects by Supervisorial District for all activities completed for FY 2013 - 2014

Figure 10a - Funds Expended on Housing Projects by Supervisorial District in the Unincorporated Areas for all activities completed for FY 2013 - 2014




Figure 10b - Funds Expended on Housing Projects by Supervisorial District in the Cooperating Cities of Riverside County

for all activities completed for FY 2013 - 2014





Figure 11 - Total Housing Units Served by District for all activities completed for FY 2013 - 2014






Figure 12 - Comparative Program Performance

EHR (Enhanced Home Repair)


HIP (Home Improvement Program)

HRP/HRLP (Home Rehabilitation Program/Home Rehabilitation Loan Program)

MHRP (Manufactured Home Replacement Program)

SHR/SHRG (Senior Home Repair/Senior Home Repair Grant)

Figure 13 - Comparative Program Performance Total Housing Units Served - Home Ownership (five Fiscal Years)



FLMHL (Fee Land Mobile Home Loans) FTHB (First Time Home Buyer) MCC (Morgage Credit Certificate) MHTL (Mobile Home Tenant Loan) NSHP (Neighborhood Stabilization Homeownership Program) RHP (Redevelopment Homeownership Program)

Figure 14a - Comparative Program Performance Total Funds Expended in the Unincorporated Areas for Housing Projects (last four Fiscal Years)






Figure 14b - Comparative Program Performance Total Funds Expended Within the City Limits for Housing Projects (last four Fiscal Years)




■FY10-11 ■FY11-12 ■FY12-13 ■FY13-14

Figure 15 - Total CDBG Funds Expended Under the Home Rehabilitation Programs in Cooperating Cities for FY 2013 - 2014



EHR (Enhanced Home Repair) SHR (Senior Home Repair) HIP (Home Improvement Loan Program) HRP (Home Rehabilitation Progra

Figure 16 - Total HOME Funds Expended Under the First Time Home Buyer Program in Cooperating Cities for FY 2013 - 2014







Figure 17 - Funds Expended Under the Neighborhood Stabilization Program in Cooperating Cities

for FY 2013 - 2014

Figure 18 - Total HOME Funds Expended Under the HOME Multi-Family Program in Cooperating Cities for FY 2013 - 2014



\$1,000,000 1400000-1200000 1000000 800000 600000-85 400000-200000-0 Indio ■ HOME Funds ■ # of Units

Figure 18 - Total HOME Funds Expended Under the HOME Multi-Family Program in the Unincorporated Areas and NonCooperating Cities

for FY 2013 - 2014